

2023

ISSN 1015-5589, Vol. XXXII, No. 3

World Safety Journal

© Copyright 2023 | WSO



The ESG and the Multi-Employer Worksite: Protecting Workers and Best Practices in Construction by David Gilkey and Lorri Birkenbuel

Sustainable Tobacco Production Assessment: Navigating Environmental, Social, and Economic Dimensions for Responsible Practices by Venugopala Rao

Navigating Uncharted Waters: The Disruption and Resilience of Elective Surgery Amidst the COVID-19 Pandemic in Lebanon

by Hayat Akoum, Elias M. Choueiri, and Hikmat Akoum

Lessons Learned from the Styrene Gas Leak at LG Polymers: Enhancing Industrial Safety, Regulatory Compliance, and Community Resilience! by Jayandran Mohan

Integrating STEM Education and Occupational Health and Safety: A Comprehensive Approach for Effective Learning! by Mireille B. Choueiri and Elias M. Choueiri

WSO's Certification Program is accredited, in compliance with the ISO/IEC 17024:2012



World Safety Organization

Statement of Purpose and Objectives

WSO's purpose is to internationalize all safety fields, including occupational and environmental safety and health, accident prevention movement, etc., and to disseminate throughout the world the practices skills, arts, and technologies of safety and accident prevention.

WSO's objective is to protect people, property, resources, and the environment on local, regional, national, and international levels. WSO membership is open to all individuals and entities involved in the safety and accident prevention field, regardless of race, color, creed, ideology, religion, social status, sex, or political beliefs.

WSO is in Consultative Category II Status (Non-Governmental Organization - NGO) to the Economic and Social Council of the United Countries.

WSO is a Not-for-Profit Corporation (Missouri, USA), non-sectarian, non-political movement dedicated to:

"Making Safety a Way of Life ... Worldwide."



Editor-in-Chief

Prof. Dr. Elias M. Choueiri

Member of the WSO Board of Directors and WSO Liaison Officer to the United Countries

Associate Editor

Dr. Janis K. Jansz

Curtin University, Western Australia Director of the WSO National Office for Australia, and Member of the WSO Board of Directors

Editorial Board

Dr. David P. Gilkey

Montana Tech University, USA

Dr. Richard Franklin

James Cook University, Queensland, Australia

Dr. Charles H. Baker

World Safety Organization, USA

Dr. Milos Nedved

Central Queensland University, Australia

Dr. Michael Thomas

Department of Transportation (FAA), USA

Cover Photo

Since even the smallest construction projects involve numerous people and details, it is important for managers to make full use of the various tools and technology available to them. From keeping employees safe to paying bills, these and other details are encountered in a typical day. Nearly three million nonfatal work-related accidents were reported to the federal government in 2012 alone, and nearly half of those required the injured employee to miss at least one day of work.

By incorporating the steps alluded to in the link shown below (like Preventing Accidents and Injuries, Inspecting the Site Daily, Coordinating Delivery Schedules, Utilizing state-of-the-art Project Management Software, Monitoring Inspections and Licensing, ...) into a worksite management plan, chances are that one will have a project that comes in under budget, on time, and produces a very satisfied client.

Retrieved from:

https://prideoneconstruction.com/5-ways-to-manage-your-worksite-better/

Table of Contents

Article Submission

The World Safety Journal (WSJ) is a quarterly refereed journal (ISSN: 1015-5589) that includes original full-length papers, review articles and case studies on the most recent outcomes of advanced research in any occupational safety and health fields.

All articles shall be written in concise English and typed with a minimum font size of 12 point. Articles should have an abstract of not more than 300 words. Articles shall be submitted as Times New Roman print and presented in the form the writer wants published. On a separate page, the author should supply the author's name, contact details, professional qualifications, current employment position, a brief bio, and a photo of the author. This should be submitted with the article.

Writers should include all references and acknowledgments. Authors are responsible for ensuring that their works do not infringe on any copyright. Failure to do so can result in the writer being accountable for breach of copyright. The accuracy of the references is the author's responsibility.

References

Articles should be referenced according to the <u>Publication Manual of the American Psychological Association</u>, 7th ed.

Books are referenced as follows:

Author. (Year of publication). Title of publication. Publisher.

Articles are referenced as follows:

Author (Year). Title of article. Name of Journal. Volume (Issue), Page numbers of article.

Internet information is referenced as follows:

Name of author. (Year of publication). $\it Title. DOI$ or web address if no DOI.

Submissions should be mailed to:

WSO, World Management Center
Attn: Editorial Staff /
Prof. Dr. Elias M. Choueiri
World Management Center, 106 W Young St #F

Warrensburg, MO 64093, USA or emailed to: elias.choueiri@gmail.com

Articles, wherever possible, must be up-to-date and relevant to the Safety Industry. All articles are Blind Peer Reviewed by at least two referees before being accepted for publication.

Disclaimer

Opinions expressed by contributors in articles, or reproduced articles, are the individual opinions of such contributors or the authors and not necessarily those of the World Safety Organization. Reproduction of articles or abstracts contained in this journal is approved, providing the source is acknowledged.



World Safety Journal

A peer-reviewed journal, published by the World Safety Organization

Journal Homepage: https://worldsafety.org/wso-world-safety-journal/



The ESG and the Multi-Employer Worksite: Protecting Workers and Best Practices in Construction

David Gilkey^{1*} and Lorri Birkenbuel¹

¹ Department of Safety, Health and Industrial Hygiene, Montana Technological University, Butte, MT 59701

KEYWORDS

ESG Construction Multi-Employer Worksite Safety GC Duty

ABSTRACT

Construction is a high-risk industry. The built environment is a major source of carbon emissions and energy consumption. The time is now for this industry to embrace environmental, social, and governance (ESG). The construction industry lags behind many industries in their adoption of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) and Sustainability Reporting (SR) with strategies to preserve human health and the environment in perpetuity. The multiemployer construction worksite is unique in that responsibility for ESG and Occupational Safety and Health (OSH) are often delegated to those subcontractors who have the least resources to practice CSR, SR, and effective OSH. The General Contractor (GC) has the power and responsibility to coordinate and support CSR, SR, and effective OSH. Organizations such as BREEA, IWBI-WELL, GBC, LEED, Green Globes, ISO, and others are available to assist companies in becoming good environmental citizens. In the US, OSHA has promulgated regulations to protect workers. The multiemployer worksite is controlled by the GC, who can ensure that all subcontractors are compliant with OSH regulations, as well as encourage and coordinate ESG initiatives. Best practices have been developed and disseminated to the construction industry. Humanity's future is at stake, and the construction industry must step forward and become leaders in ESG and OSH.

1. INTRODUCTION

rofitability and success are foundational goals for most businesses in today's competitive markets. Construction companies in the US and around the world operate within highly competitive environments, conditions, and circumstances and look for competitive advantages on all levels. Companies seek effective approaches to stability, profitability, and growth, sometimes at the cost of good OSH management, worker safety, health, and wellbeing. One industry approach to increasing the competitive advantage is the integration of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) through Sustainability Reporting (SR) also linked with Socially Responsible Investment (SRI) (Soares and Pereira, 2022). There is an increasing demand for greater transparency in environmental, social, and governance (ESG) disclosures in all industries (Siew, 2017).

^{*} Corresponding author: dgilkey@mtech.edu

ESG factors are frameworks that can be used to measure and evaluate corporate activities, health, and responsibility in today's global marketplace. The environmental frame looks at metrics such as energy used, waste streams, waste management, pollution, resource consumption, and impacts to communities and the planet; see Figure 1 (Assef and Mangold, 2022; Kim and Chang, 2022; Siew, 2017). Construction methods are a major ESG environmental factor in the building industry, as are materials, water consumption, and future-proofing design to mitigate climate change (Assef and Mangold, 2022).




Figure 1. ESG Integration Framework (Kim and Chang, 2022, p. 238)

The social frame includes factors such as social responsibility for transparency and ethical behaviors of people, institutions, and communities (Kim and Chang, 2022) including working conditions, labor practices, health and safety, and community engagement (Siew, 2017). Construction should consider community impacts such as transportation and access for the disabled (Assef and Mangold, 2022). The governance frame looks at organizational leadership, management, and decision-making that reflects ethical practices in compliance with all laws and to satisfy stakeholders (Kim and Chang, 2022) including executive pay, board diversity and structure, donations, bribery, and corruption (Siew, 2017), procurement and supply chain, and stakeholder engagement (Assef and Mangold, 2022). ESG is being used to improve markets, industries, corporate images, and social and environmental citizenship. Companies that practice ESG principles have proven to be more sustainable, develop resources for long-term stability and prosperity, and focus on continuous improvement, optimizing activities, initiatives, and financial outcomes (Balatbat, Siew, and Carmichael, 2012; Soares and Pereira, 2022). The Global Reporting Initiative (GRI) has developed tools and training to assist companies committed to CSR in SR with 41 established disclosure standards (GRI, 2023). Managing the triple bottom line of economic, social, and environmental concerns is a competitive action that creates market advantages and is key to developing CSR (Glass, 2012; Siew, 2017). The multi-employer worksite challenges the

commitment of CSR and SR by delegating the responsibility for incident reporting and safety and health to the subcontractors, who have a paucity of resources to address these needs and responsibilities. Companies must be able to assign personnel, coordinate, and communicate CSR concepts, SR practices, and safe work behaviors among the many trades typically seen on construction sites.

2. THE CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY IN THE US

An estimated 7.8 million workers are employed in the US construction industry, contributing 4.3% to the gross domestic product (GDP) (Kolmar, 2023) valued at \$2.1 trillion (Bokum, 2023). Construction work remains among the highest-risk industries year after year. An estimated 5,190 workers from all industries died in 2021 in job-related accidents (BLS, 2022). The construction industry ranked #1 in loss of life in 2021 with 986 fatalities, comprising 19% of all work-related deaths (BLS, 2023) and yet the industry made up only 2.8% of the total labor market (BLS, 2022) with 680,000 employers (Klomar, 2023). Falls continue to be a leading cause of death in construction, with 370 lives lost in 2021. Lack of or improper fall protection was the #1 safety violation in 2021, resulting in OSHA citation (OSHA, 2023).

Construction is a dynamic and rapidly changing work process that is replete with hazards and risks that must be proactively managed to protect the health and well-being of onsite workers and maximize business returns. Safety pays. Experts evaluated 18 construction case studies to investigate business performance in relation to safety and found that 66% (2%–104%) increases were seen in productivity, 44% (4%–73%) in quality, 82% (52%–100%) in safety records, and 71% (38%–100%) in cost benefits (Maudgalya, Genaidy, and Shell, 2008). Effective safety and health management is a competitive advantage (Rechenthin, 2004).

The decentralized multiemployer business model with owners, developers, general contractors, subcontractors, and sub-subcontractors does not effectively meet individual and collective stakeholder needs and responsibilities. The lack of effective and committed central management on multi-employer worksites creates challenges for ESG and SR as well as numerous safety challenges not seen in other types of construction operations managed by the general contractor.

The 1992 Earth Summit in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, yielded Agenda 21 to support sustainability (UN, 1992). The agenda was supported by the International Council for Research and Innovation in Building and Construction (CIB). The CIB is organized into a network of over 1,351 experts from more than 75 member organizations across 53 countries (CIB, 2023). The organization encourages multidisciplinary collaboration to address complex challenges such as sustainability. The CIB is sponsoring the World Sustainable Built Environment virtual conference scheduled for June 12-14, 2024. Collaboration is ever more important on the multiemployer worksite. The construction industry has been slow to adopt Agenda 21 principles due to the fragmented nature of work and the multidisciplinary nature of worksite design (Siew, 2017).

3. THE MULTIEMPLOYER WORKSITE IN CONSTRUCTION

The multiemployer worksite is common to construction and must be managed centrally, or it creates barriers to CSR, SR, effective OSH, and meeting the needs and responsibilities of all internal and external stakeholders. Central management by the general contractor is the most effective approach to ensuring safety compliance, reducing losses and liability, improving company reputation, and

achieving a competitive advantage (Glass, 2012). The National Association of Home Builders states that there are "five basic root causes" for safety and health failures: 1) lack of management commitment and practice; 2) lack of continuous training and education; 3) lack of safe work values; 4) lack of proper rules and procedures; and 5) lack of accountability and responsibility (Gilkey et al., 2003; NAHB, 1995). The residential multiemployer worksites are among the highest-risk construction operations and need central management from the GC to both coordinate safety and health among the many subcontractors and develop a commitment to ESG.

Management commitment to safety and health is critical to the ongoing success of construction companies and projects, especially on multiemployer worksites. The owners, superintendents, and GCs create the safety climate and culture by setting the tone, establishing the expectations and standards of operation, and communicating and enforcing the rules, thus guiding the day-to-day work practices through their policies and behaviors (Gilkey et al., 2012). The GC must have a written policy, effective communication skills, and exemplary practices for project management and effective safety and health (Gilkey et al., 2003). Companies must integrate safety and health into their management structure as a value, thus enhancing the safety climate on the site and effectively building cooperative relationships between all parties to ensure a safe and healthy worksite.

The owner, superintendent, and general contractor have the greatest power to affect production schedules, safe work practices and conditions, coordinate change, designate responsibility, and hold stakeholders accountable for not only deadlines, materials, and equipment but also ensuring safety and health compliance. General contractors are recognized for having high levels of site control and moderate levels of task expertise, safety expertise, worker interaction, and aggregate ability to address the root causes of occupational injury and illness (Toole, 2002).

The senior stakeholders have access to the greatest resources available to meet capital, personnel, equipment, and training needs. General contractors are responsible for the overall coordination of construction projects. They are in charge of hiring personnel, managing subcontractors, ordering materials and equipment, obtaining permits and licenses, managing liabilities, and keeping the project on schedule while meeting budgets (Indeed, 2023; The Contractor, 2021). They must be excellent planners, communicators, and managers with clear, attainable goals and objectives to keep on task and achieve deadlines and milestones, ensuring profitability. The final and most important skill is to practice ongoing evaluation and measurement of the work process for efficiency, progress toward goals, effective use of resources, and assurance of deliverables as contracted and agreed upon, in addition to effective safety and health compliance (Gilkey et al., 2003). OSHA has published their interpretations of the role of the general contractor on a multiemployer worksite and concluded that they are a controlling party and required to assure the compliance of all subcontractors with occupational safety and health laws (OSHA, 2012). The GC is likely to be cited for violations of subcontractors (Nygren, Jakobsson, Andersson, and Johansson, 2017).

4. ESG IN CONSTRUCTION

Buildings consume 40% of human-produced energy, 25% of potable water, and emit 30% of greenhouse gases (Assef and Mangold, 2022). The construction industry must focus on sustainability, durability, resilience, energy efficiency, waste reduction, and water conservation (Assef and Mangold, 2022). CSR and SR have become ever more important to all industries, including construction. Using ESG as economic indicators of performance, companies can measure progress toward achieving sustainable goals, long-term growth, and prosperity (Henriksson, Livnat, Pfeifer, and Stumpp, 2019;

Kocmanova and Doceklova, 2012). ESG actions facilitate and support ethical business operations, allowing companies to communicate sustainability practices to stakeholders, ensure compliance with environmental, health, and safety laws, and reduce risks and liabilities while maintaining and building their reputation and competitive advantage (Glass, 2012). The United Nations Sustainable Goals number three and eight address health and well-being and decent work for all (UN, 2023).

Effective approaches to CSR and ESG reporting include managing safety on job sites and projects in addition to waste production and carbon emissions (Kim and Chang, 2022). For example, the Hyundai Construction Company made an effort to adopt smart safety technologies to enhance communication using the newest ICTs within the company at the same time they invested in green energy technologies (Hyundai Engineering & Construction, 2020). It was reported that European construction companies moved forward faster toward SR than other regions, with 90% of companies participating, followed by 83% of Japanese companies, and 50% of Australian companies reporting, while only 35% of US companies practice SR (Glass, 2012). The movement toward SR has also been slow in Malaysia (Siew, 2017). It was reported among 120 companies (42 construction and 78 property) that no construction companies were providing SR as of 2017, and only 3.3% of property companies in Malaysia did participate in SR (Siew, 2017). Key expectations for SR relate to governance, stakeholder engagement, disclosure, performance, and reporting of material data.

Barriers to SR in construction have been identified and include a lack of a consistent and coherent approach, a lack of common definitions, measures, and consensus on reporting indicators, a lack of a clear process for establishing materiality and stakeholder engagement, the use of the concepts of sustainability in varied ways, and the need for greater awareness within the industry for SR (Glass, 2012). Despite barriers, companies in the UK used key performance indicators (KPIs) of community engagement, ethical practice, health and safety performance, environmental actions, carbon emission and savings, resource management, supply chain, and workforce (Glass, 2012). Recommendations to grow ESG and SR in construction must include support from trade associations and governing bodies. Tools to help companies effectively understand what can be reported, methods to capture data, and templates for reporting (Glass, 2012).

5. B-CORPORATIONS

The B-Corporation came into existence in 2006 and was first certified as a corporation in 2007 (Foley, 2019). There will be over 6,000 certified corporations globally in 2023 (B-Lab, 2023a). The intent of seeking certification was to broaden the perceived value of corporate behavior by expanding their commitment to not only stockholders but their employees as well, local communities, and the environment (Kim et al., 2016). The B-corporation model embodies ESG, CSR and OSH. The movement provided companies with an opportunity to simultaneously "stand out" and fit into" the business landscape. Making a commitment to social and planetary needs was a means to be different from C-Corporations by serving society, making the world a better place, and moving toward sustainability (Gehman and Grimes, 2017).

The B-corporation represents a company with a mission and values to practice stewardship of Mother Earth and, in doing so, be branded unique because of their contextual distinctiveness, thus having a competitive edge (Gehman and Grimes, 2017). In 2015, the United Nations adopted sustainable goals that aim to foster responsible business practices (Brown, 2017). Becoming a B-corporation is a path to corporate responsibility and a new paradigm for doing business. The B-corporations are actively demonstrating that ethical behavior is profitable and good business (Winkler et al., 2019). The B-

Corporation community has grown dramatically, creating a thriving business community of members (Tabares, 2021).

The construction industry has been slow to adopt ESG and CSR best practices compared to other industries (Glass, 2012; Kim and Chang, 2022). There appear to be continuing arguments against adopting ESG practices, sustainable financing, and reporting for fear that profits would be adversely impacted (Kim and Chang, 2022). Chandos Construction Company is proving that being a B-Corporation is good for business and profitable on all levels. The Canadian-based company is recognized as one of North America's most innovative and collaborative general contractors (B-Lab, 2023b). Chandos Construction is the first large commercial general contractor company to be certified as a B-corporation and has become a leading champion of efficiency, cost savings, and innovative collaboration to enhance the working experience of all stakeholders (B-Lab, 2023b). Their best practices have led to recognition as a great company for the workers. The employee-owned corporation has > 700 employees that are committed to inclusiveness, collaboration, innovation, and being courageous; no job is too complex (B-Lab, 2023b). Their business model was built on lean principles, eliminating waste. Their commitment to continuous improvement has made them a force to be reckoned with and a great collaborator.

6. LEGAL TUG OF WAR

The responsibilities of the GC have been at the center of legal debates for decades (Gonzales and White, 2008; Kagerer and Garcia, 2005). The multiemployer worksite rules and regulations were established by the OSH Act in 1971 and evolved over time (Marx, 1978; Schumacher, 2017). In the beginning, interpretations and OSHA-issued citations were based on 29 CFR 1910.12(a), which required employers to protect their employees and focused on who created or exposed the workers to the hazard. Over time, changes in citations stemmed from new interpretations by the Secretary of Labor, Administrative Law Judges, and various Appellate Courts (Schumacher, 2017). A significant change was made in 1976 when the Secretary of Labor issued an updated interpretation of the doctrine to include the controlling party. The result was an expansion of citations that included those who were not only the party that created hazards or exposed workers to hazards but also those who were a controlling party on the worksite, such as the GC (Kagerer and Garcia, 2005; Schumacher, 2017). The GC has been interpreted as a controlling party and thus has a duty of care and liability (Ivensky, 2015a, b). "Control-generated duty may be retained intentionally as a conscious business decision or unintentionally, without complete understanding or related liability implications, and effective to minimize the risk" (Ivensky, 2015, p. 45); see Figures 2 and 3.

The changes in interpretation led to increased liability for the GC, which could have resulted in OSHA citations, legal claims, lawsuits, regulatory fines, lost business opportunities, and even criminal prosecutions for not exercising the proper duty of care associated with subcontractors (Ivensky, 2015a, b). Another interpretation in the early 1990s by the Secretary of Labor added the "correcting employer" to the list of responsible parties that were obligated to protect workers, further implicating the GC. The GC should be correcting the hazards recognized on their site. The Occupational Safety and Health Review Commission held the opinion that an employer that had control also has a responsibility to prevent hazards and correct hazards, even if the workers exposed were those of other employers (Schumacher, 2017). This applies to the multiemployer worksite because it is a "common" worksite where many subcontractors are engaged in operations. The duty of the GC extends to the entire site. The GC has been held responsible because of their critical role in generally supervising, coordinating, and controlling the worksite (Schumacher, 2017). The GC is likely to be cited by OSHA for violations

that he or she could have reasonably prevented, detected, and corrected. Under the Construction Safety Act, the GC is interpreted as the employer by way of the contractual agreement between he or she and the subcontractors, unless explicitly excluded. While there remains debate and varied rulings, it is prudent for the GC to manage safety and health in their projects (Schumacher, 2017).




Figure 2. Intentional Statutory Duty on the Multiemployer Worksite (Ivensky, 2015b, page 46)




Figure 3. Unintentional Statutory Duty on the Multiemployer Worksite (Ivensky, 2015b, p 46)

7. BEST PRACTICES

Approaches to engaging ESG and managing safety and health on the multiemployer construction worksite range widely from well-structured, centralized coordination to absolute delegation. There remains some disagreement among design engineers, GCs, and subcontractors on the responsibilities of safety and health on the multiemployer construction work site. Best practices of GCs include diligent supervision of the entire project, coordination of all parties onsite, systematic screening of all subcontractors, reviewing loss histories, and OSH performance. It is important for the GC to review the subcontractor's Experience Modification Factor (ExMod) for levels above 1.0 that represent poor OSH management and greater losses than the industry average (Nelson, 2001). Companies with ExMods lower than 1 have good OSH management practices, losses lower than the industry average, and reduced workers' compensation premiums.

The GC should also review the company's safety and health program for completeness and compliance to OSH rules, regulations, and laws. In addition, the general contractor should provide a site orientation meeting for all subcontractors and require compliance with all OSH laws and standards. To ensure safe working conditions and practices, ongoing audits must confirm compliance at all project phases. If subcontractors are found to be out of compliance, a penalty should be levied to incentivize compliance with all OSH laws and standards. If a pattern of noncompliance is seen, the subcontractor should be released from the job site and the contract cancelled.

The GC should fulfill the following responsibilities (Burg, 2014):

- Assure the contractor has site-specific safety rules for each task.
- Assure that the site-specific safety rules are communicated to all parties.
- Assure reasonable monitoring of the contractor to ensure certain rules are being followed, and
- Assure that enforcement action will be taken if rules are not being followed.

The GC should also fulfill the following responsibilities (The Constructor, 2021, p. 1):

- Plan important project development and implementation in advance.
- Determination and estimation of various issues in the project, such as needed materials, equipment.
- Anticipation of any potential modification to the project.
- Making sure that health and safety specifications are followed.
- Practicing excellent communication between all parties involved in the construction project, such as the client and subcontractors.
- Determine legal and regulatory requirements.

The general contractor is key to developing coordinated action plans that relate to both ESG and OSH. The concept of project-based ESG has been promoted (Kim and Chang, 2022).

Manu, Ankrah, Proverbs, and Suresh (2013) suggest best practices that include limiting the number of subcontractors on site, selecting and working with a list of familiar subcontractors with good safety and health records, implementing an incentive program that supports safe work practices, and insisting that non-working subcontractor foremen are taking responsibility for safety and health among their crews. They also recommend OSH training and orientation for all subcontractors, cooperation and communication between subcontractors, and periodic consultation and audits of subcontractors to assure competence and compliance with site safety rules.

The emergence of ISO standards 14001 (ISO, 2023a) and 45001-2018 (ISO, 2023b) has established management systems for addressing internal and external factors, both environmental and OSH-related practices associated with workplace conditions, safe work practices and operations, hazard identification, hazard mitigation or abatement, and OSH training for employees (ISO, 2023a and 2023b). More than 300,000 companies in 171 countries are now ISO 14000 certified, and over 16,000 companies in 80 countries are ISO 45001-2018 certified. Both ISO standards are data-driven and built on continuous improvement models.

A number of organizations have developed over the years to work with companies that embrace ESG, CSR, and SR. This has resulted in several approaches to practice and reporting. A long-term sustainability assessment method is BREEAM (Assef and Mangold, 2022). BREEAM was established in 1990 and offers a holistic approach to ESG (BREEAM, 2023). They are a world leader in sciencebased validation and certification of the sustainable built environment. Millions of buildings around the world are registered with BREEAM and working toward ESG, health, and net zero carbon emissions (BREEAM, 2023). BREEAM has published technical standards for new construction, refurbishment and fit-out, in-use communities, and infrastructure. They advocate solutions such as net zero carbon emissions, whole-life performance of buildings, health and social impacts, circularity and resilience, biodiversity, and disclosures through reporting. For example, circularity and resilience are measured by the selection of durable designs built for resilience and longevity. BREEAM also incorporates the EU Taxonomy for Sustainability, which include actions taken to address objectives aimed at reducing climate change and supporting sustainability, such as reduced energy consumption, lower carbon emissions, health and wellbeing, reduced water consumption and pollution, materials used to build environments, and resulting waste streams. A major objective is to reduce climate change. BREEAM will estimate your percentage of taxonomy compliance (BREEAM, 2023).

Another resource organization available to help any construction company move toward ESG is the International Well Building Institute (IWBI) through the WELL Building StandardsTM 1 & 2 (WELL) (IWBI, 2023). WELL provides a roadmap to sustainability by applying the science of physical and social environments to benefit humanity. The WELL Building StandardsTM aims to improve the physical, psychological, and emotional experience of occupants while building a culture of health and well-being in construction. Their strategies advance the human experience through well-thought-out, intentional design and operational protocols based on scientific understandings (IWBI, 2023). The WELL standards provide metrics for evaluating wellness, safety, accessibility, performance, equity, and community, leading to certification. The IWBU provides templates, tools, and resources for company success, including knowledge, articles, education, stakeholder templates, directories, certification scorecards, and application guidance for WELL, LEED, and BREEAM certifications.

The LEED certification has established itself as a premier standard in energy and environmental design, with more than 100,000 certified buildings in 190 countries (Green Building Council, 2023). LEED-certified buildings may fall into one of four categories based on features and performance: Platinum (highest), Gold, Silver, or Certified (lowest) based on point ratings. LEED-certified buildings save money because of their energy efficiency, low carbon emissions, and overall healthier environments for occupants (Green Building Council, 2023). LEED provides assistance to companies seeking certification through an integrative process using a credit library that provides ratings or scores for a number of items, including the neighborhood development plan, land protection, equitable development, surrounding density and diversity, access to public transportation, bicycle accommodation, electric vehicle use, pollution prevention, construction activity, site assessment, habitat, open space, rainwater management, heat island reduction, water use reductions, thermal controls, energy use, and performance (Green Building Council, 2023).

One additional organization is Green Globes, which offers certification for sustainable tourism (Green Globes, 2023). Their 30-year history has made them a leading certifying organization in travel and tourism. Using sustainable criteria, Green Globes certifies three levels: Platinum (highest), Gold, and Certified Member (lowest). Sustainable criteria include four general areas: sustainable management, social and economic, cultural heritage, and environmental (Green Globes, 2023). Documentation of a sustainable management system or plan must identify the long-term commitment and practice, environmental, sociocultural, quality, health, and safety factors. Management must also document compliance with all labor laws, including the health and safety of workers and tourists. The social and economic domain includes documentation of active support for infrastructure, local community development, education, health, and sanitation; fair trade; local employment; equitable hiring; employee protection; access to basic services; and a policy that prohibits bribery and corruption (Green Globes, 2023). Cultural heritage documentation must include evidence of respect for cultures and historical artifacts and sites, a code of behavior that complements the heritage of the site, and the preservation and protection of historical artifacts and sites. The environmental domain includes documentation of items such as the purchasing of sustainable building materials and other goods, energy consumption, water consumption, food and beverage management, purchasing, and consumption, pollution prevention (3 Rs: Reduce, Reuse, and Recycle), greenhouse gas emissions, wastewater, waste management plans, non-toxic or low-toxic chemicals, biodiversity, landscaping, and wildlife protection (Green Globes, 2023).

8. CONCLUSIONS

ESG and OSH are on the move! The challenges of climate change, increasing global population, forever chemicals, high levels of air pollution, increasing wildfire smoke, water degradation, food scarcity, emerging disease, the recent pandemic, and the global burden of work-related injury, illness, and fatalities have made it ever more important for companies and individuals to embrace ESG and participate in SR. The built environment is a major source of carbon emissions and energy consumption. The construction industry in the US and around the world must embrace sustainability, green building methods, and effective OSH. The multiemployer worksite challenges the sustainability model and the safety and health of workers. There is an essential need for central coordination, management, and promotion of ESG and OSH. The GC is critical to the success of ESG and OSH in construction if we are to achieve sustainability and protect the health and wellbeing of workers.

The UN goals for sustainability provide the framework and path for human existence into the next millennia. The multiemployer worksite is fragmented and sabotages the collective efforts of the many participants in construction. We've seen the growth of organizations committed to ESG, CSR, SI, and OSH. We've seen an increase in organizations committed to assisting companies in their transformation to become better environmental citizens, such as BREEA, IWBI-WELL, GBC, LEED, Green Globes, ISO, and others. Business and industry have experienced expanding rules, regulations, and standards that guide safe work practices to protect workers. We have also seen models for best practices in OSH such as ISO 18001. The practices of environmental citizenship, sustainability, and OSH will improve the triple bottom line and make the world a better place.

REFERENCES

Assef, D., & Mangold, G. (2022). Building on change: ESG considerations for the construction industry. Civil Engineering= Sivilee Ingenieurswese, 30(6), 36-37.

- B-Lab. (2023a). FAQ How many certified B corps are there around the world? Retrieved on 7/15/2023 How many Certified B Corps are there around the world? (bcorporation.net).
- B-Lab. (2023b). Chandos construction. Retrieved on 7/15/2023 https://www.bcorporation.net/en-us/find-a-b-corp/company/chandos-construction/.
- Balatbat, M., Siew, R., & Carmichael, D. (2012, September). ESG scores and its influence on firm performance: Australian evidence. In Australian school of business school of accounting, school of accounting seminar series semester (Vol. 2, pp. 1-30). Sydney, Australia: University of New South Wales.
- Bokum, C. (2023). US contraction by the numbers: 100+ construction statistics to know in 2023. Retrieved 7/19/2023 US Construction By the Numbers: 100+ Construction Statistics You Need to Know in 2023 (levelset.com).
- BREEAM. (2023). The world's leading science-based suite of validation and certification systems for a sustainable built environment. Retrieved 7/24/2023 BREEAM BRE Group.
- Bureau of Labor and Statistics (BLS). (2022). Survey of occupational injury and illness. Retrieved on 6/11/2023. https://www.bls.gov/respondents/iif/.
- Bureau of Labor and Statistics (BLS). (2023). Census of fatal occupation injury. Retrieved on 6/12/2023. https://www.bls.gov/opub/ted/2023/a-look-at-workplace-deaths-and-nonfatal-injuries-and-illnesses-for-workers-memorial-day.htm.
- Burg, F. (2014, June). Contractor and Subcontractor Safety Responsibilities on Multi-Employer Worksites. In ASSE Professional Development Conference and Exposition. OnePetro.
- CIB International Council for Research and Innovation in Building and Construction (CIB). (2023). Collaborating for innovation in the built environment. Retrieved on 7/21/2023 Home CIB (cibworld.org).
- Foley, K. (2019, May). The Certified B Corporation: A Definition and Brief History of How It All Started? Retrieved 8/5/2023 https://www.valleytosummit.net/the-certified-b-corporation-a-definition-and-brief-history-of-how-it-all-started.
- Gehman, J., & Grimes, M. (2017). Hidden badge of honor: How contextual distinctiveness affects category promotion among certified B corporations. Academy of Management Journal, 60(6), 2294-2320.
- Gilkey, D., Keefe, T., Hautaluoma, J., Bigelow, P., Herron, R., and Stanley, S. (2003). Management commitment to safety and health in residential construction: HomeSafe spending trends 1991 1999. Work: A Journal of Prevention, Assessment and Rehabilitation, 20, 35 44.
- Gilkey, D., Lopez del Puerto, C., Keefe, T., Bigelow, P., Herron, R., Rosecrance, J., and Chen, P. (2012). Safety culture in residential construction: Managers vs workers. Journal of Construction Engineering and Management, 138, 1044-1052.
- Glass, J. (2012). The state of sustainability reporting in the construction sector. Smart and sustainable built environment, 1(1), 87-104.
- Gonzales, J. J., & White, K. R. (2008). Challenging OSHA's Multi-Employer Citation Policy. Colorado Lawyer, 37(3), 31.
- Green Building Council. (2023). LEED. Retrieved on 8/5/2023 https://www.usgbc.org/.
- Green Globes. (2023). The global leader in sustainable tourism certification. Retrieved on 7/27/2023 Green Globe.
- GRI. (2023). The global leader for impact reporting. Retrieved 8/5/2023 https://www.globalreporting.org/.
- Hyundai Engineering & Construction. (2020). 2020 Hyundai Engineering & Construction
- Sustainability Report. Retrieved from https://www.hdec.kr/kr/company/library_03.aspx?
- Indeed. (2023). General contractor job description: Top duties and qualifications. Retrieved on 7/16/2023 https://www.indeed.com/hire/job-description/general-contractor.
- International WELL Building Institute (IWBI). (2023). WELL. Retrieved 8/5/2023. https://www.wellcertified.com/.
- ISO. (2023a). ISO 140001 and related standards environmental management. Retrieved 7/27/2023 ISO ISO 14001 and related standards Environmental management.

- ISO. (2023b). Occupational health hand safety management systems Requirements with guidance for use. Retrieved on 7/27/2023 ISO 45001:2018 Occupational health and safety management systems Requirements with guidance for use
- Ivensky, V. (2015a). Statutory Duty of Safety Care to Subcontractors: US, Canadian & UK Perspectives. Professional Safety, 60(07), 38-45.
- Ivensky, V. (2015b). Multiemployer sites in the US: Project control & duty of safety care. Professional Safety, 60(05), 44-50.
- Kagerer, P. A., & Garcia, D. (2005, June). Who Is Controlling Your Construction Jobsite? Managing Responsibilities. In ASSE Professional Development Conference and Exposition. OnePetro.
- Kim, S., & Chang, S. (2022). Construction Project Level-based Environmental, Social, and Governance (C-ESG): A Review. EPiC Series in Built Environment, 3, 236-244.
- Kim, S., Karlesky, M. J., Myers, C. G., & Schifeling, T. (2016). Why companies are becoming B corporations. Harvard Business Review, 17, 1-5.
- Kocmanová, A., & Dočekalová, M. (2012). Construction of the economic indicators of performance in relation to environmental, social and corporate governance (ESG) factors. Acta Universitatis Agriculturae et Silviculturae Mendelianae Brunensis, 60(4), 195-206.
- Kolmar, C. (2023). 25 essential US construction industry statistics [2023]: Data, trends and more. Zippia. Retrieved on 6/12/2023. https://www.zippia.com/advice/us-construction-industry-statistics/#:~:text=Approximately% 207.8% 20million% 20people% 20are, 12.8% 25% 20increase% 20from% 20J anuary% 202022.
- Manu, P., Ankrah, N., Proverbs, D., & Suresh, S. (2013). Mitigating the health and safety influence of subcontracting in construction: The approach of main contractors. International Journal of Project Management, 31(7), 1017-1026.
- Marx, G. S. (1978). The Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970 as Applied to the Construction Industry: The Multi-Employer Worksite Problem. Wash. & Lee L. Rev., 35, 173.
- Maudgalya, T., Genaidy, A., & Shell, R. (2008). Productivity–quality–costs–safety: A sustained approach to competitive advantage—a systematic review of the national safety council's case studies in safety and productivity. Human Factors and Ergonomics in Manufacturing & Service Industries, 18(2), 152-179.
- National Association of Home Builders (1995). The Model Safety and Health Program for the Building Industry, 2nd ed, NAHB, Washington D.C.
- Nelson, D. J. (2001). Who is responsible for safety? Professional Safety, 46(4), 21.
- OSHA. (2012). Standard interpretations / application of the multiemployer policy to particular construction standards. Retrieved on 7/16/2023 https://www.osha.gov/laws-regs/standardinterpretations/2012-02-06.
- OSHA. (2023). Commonly used statistics. Retrieved from https://www.osha.gov/data/commonstats.
- Rechenthin, D. (2004). Project safety as a sustainable competitive advantage. Journal of safety research, 35(3), 297-308.
- Schumacher, J. H. (2017). Ripe for Appeal: Who Is Considered an" Employer" When Determining Liability for Multi-Employer Worksite Safety Violations? (Doctoral dissertation, University of Nevada, Reno).
- Siew, R. Y., Balatbat, M. C., & Carmichael, D. G. (2013). The relationship between sustainability practices and financial performance of construction companies. Smart and Sustainable Built Environment, 2(1), 6-27.
- Siew, R. Y. J. (2017). Critical evaluation of environmental, social and governance disclosures of Malaysian property and construction companies. Construction Economics and Building, 17(2), 81-91.
- Soares, G. G., & Pereira, F. H. (2022). Study of ESG criteria and metrics for the construction industry.
- Tabares, S. (2021). Certified B corporations: An approach to tensions of sustainable-driven hybrid business models in an emerging economy. Journal of Cleaner Production, 317, 128380.
- The Contractor. (2021). Contractor roles and responsibilities in construction. Retrieved on 7/16/2023 https://theconstructor.org/construction/contractor-roles-responsibilities-construction/37538/.

Toole, T. M. (2002). Construction site safety roles. Journal of construction engineering and management, 128(3), 203-210.

United Nations. (1992). United Nations Conference on Environment and Development, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, 3-14 June 1992. Retrieved 7/21/2023. United Nations Conference on Environment and Development, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, 3-14 June 1992 | United Nations.

United Nations. (2023). The 17 goals. Retrieved on 6/15/2023. Department of Economic and Social Affairs. THE 17 GOALS | Sustainable Development (un.org).

Winkler, A. L. P., Brown, J. A., & Finegold, D. L. (2019). Employees as conduits for effective stakeholder engagement: An example from B corporations. Journal of Business Ethics, 160, 913-936.

AUTHORS

Dr. David Gilkey is a professor at Montana Technological University in Butte, MT, USA. Dr. Gilkey earned his Doctor of Chiropractic degree from Southern California Health Sciences University and his Ph.D. from Colorado State University, with a focus on occupational and environmental health, safety, industrial hygiene, and ergonomics. He is a Certified Professional Ergonomist (CPE), a Certified Safety Professional (CSP), and a Registered Environmental Health Specialist (REHS/RS). Dr. Gilkey has authored and/or coauthored 45 articles in peer-reviewed scientific journals, more than 60 articles in trade journals, and provided four book chapter contributions in the areas of ergonomics, occupational safety, and environmental health. His research has focused on construction and mining safety climate research, with



an emphasis on assisting companies in developing interventions to improve safety in their operations. Dr. Gilkey also focuses on translational (R2P) research looking at methods to enhance safe work practices in agriculture where recreational off-road vehicles (ATV and UTV) are used in farm and ranch operations.



Lorri Birkenbuel is an Associate Professor at Montana Technological University in Butte, MT, USA. Ms. Birkenbuel earned her M.S. in Industrial Hygiene and B.S. in Occupational Safety and Health from Montana Technological University. She is a Certified Safety Professional (CSP), a Certified Industrial Hygienist (CIH), and a Certified Instructional Trainer (CIT). Prior to joining academia, Ms. Birkenbuel had 20 years of experience in safety, health, and industrial hygiene (IH) where she specialized in the development, review, and assessment of multiple corporate and contractor HSE management systems (MS), and served as a subject-matter expert for IH programs. Her research has primarily focused on respiratory protection and construction. Ms. Birkenbuel has coauthored articles related to construction,

recreational off-road vehicles, and mining safety climate, and she has provided contributions to two book chapters.

CITATION:

Gilkey, D., & Birkenbuel, L. (2023). *The ESG and the Multi-Employer Worksite: Protecting Workers and Best Practices in Construction*. World Safety Journal, XXXII(3), 1–13. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.8372034



World Safety Journal

A peer-reviewed journal, published by the World Safety Organization

Journal Homepage: https://worldsafety.org/wso-world-safety-journal/



Sustainable Tobacco Production Assessment: Navigating Environmental, Social, and Economic Dimensions for Responsible Practices

Venugopala Rao^{1*}

¹A Certified Auditor in Health, Safety, and the Environment

KEYWORDS

ABSTRACT

Sustainable Tobacco Production Assessment Methodologies Ecological Footprint Social Implications Economic Viability Recent methods for assessing the tobacco industry's impact on the environment, society, and economy have become essential. These methods provide standardized frameworks for quantifying and assessing an industry's environmental impact, labor conditions, economic viability, and community engagement. This article critically analyzes various methodologies, highlighting their strengths, weaknesses, and possibilities for development. It provides a holistic view of sustainable tobacco production and suggests future studies.

1. INTRODUCTION

Today's fast-changing world prioritizes environmental protection, so tobacco manufacturing sustainability is crucial. The tobacco industry, known for its harmful impacts on the environment, society, and economy, is under pressure to change its business methods to meet the global Sustainable Development Goals. Because tobacco farming causes deforestation, water depletion, pesticide use, and social issues, more attention is paid to whether it is sustainable.

Tobacco cultivation, processing, and distribution should prioritize environmental, community, and future health. This is sustainable tobacco production; it aims to maximize positive contributions to ecological health, social fairness, and economic prosperity while avoiding environmental harm. This definition recognizes that tobacco, often criticized for its harmful effects, may become a responsible and sustainable sector that solves many problems. This definition recognizes that tobacco may reduce smoking-related health hazards. Sustainable tobacco production evaluation's ability to provide a logical and comprehensive understanding of the tobacco industry's multifaceted effects is its main strength. Assessment methods are expanding to include social elements to protect the rights and well-being of employees, local communities, and vulnerable populations. The environmental assessment approach has traditionally focused on environmental issues. Economic aspects are also considered, with a focus on the long-term viability of cost-effective, ethical solutions (UN, 2022).

This article examines the different methods used to assess tobacco production's viability and how they might be used to address the sector's many issues.

https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.8372056

^{*} Corresponding Author: vvakada@gmail.com

2. ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGIES

Environmental evaluation approaches are crucial to analyzing the ecological impact of tobacco growing, processing, and distribution in sustainable tobacco production assessments. These methods structure industry resource usage, emissions, and other environmental issues. Stakeholders may improve, implement sustainable practices, and make the tobacco sector more environmentally friendly by measuring these impacts (US-DHHS, 2006).

2.1 Life Cycle Assessment (LCA)

The Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) is used to study the long-term effects of tobacco production on the environment. This study examines cultivation, processing, packing, distribution, and disposal. Energy and water use, greenhouse gas and other pollution emissions, and waste production are all considered in the LCA. Combining data points, LCA provides a complete picture of the industry's environmental impact. This image identifies "hotspots" where changes can have the greatest environmental impact.

2.2 Carbon Footprint Assessment

The life cycle assessment's carbon footprint assessment estimates tobacco manufacturing's greenhouse gas emissions, mostly carbon dioxide. This method considers the tobacco industry's contribution to climate change and assesses how much agricultural, energy, and transportation strategies can reduce emissions. The assessment of a company's carbon footprint highlights ways to reduce emissions and encourages greener practices.

2.3 Water Footprint Assessment

A water footprint assessment measures the amount of water used to grow and produce tobacco. It accounts for direct (irrigation) and indirect (fertilizer) water use. Water shortages and environmental concerns led to this evaluation. This evaluation shows how the sector has affected local water supplies and how it may contribute to water issues. This assessment guides efforts to enhance water efficiency and avoid water-related impacts.

2.4 Biodiversity and Habitat Preservation

The effects of land use alterations, chemical inputs, and monoculture farming on local ecosystems must be examined to assess the effects of tobacco farming on biodiversity and natural habitats. This assessment approach determines how tobacco farming operations may damage natural habitats, injure species, or degrade soil. Increasing agricultural forestation, rotating crops, and lowering chemical inputs are mitigating methods.

Last but not least, environmental assessment provides a wide range of tools for assessing the environmental impacts of environmentally responsible tobacco production. These methods structure informed decision-making and positive transformation. Their method involves assessing tobacco product longevity, emissions, and water usage and considering their impact on biodiversity. As the tobacco industry strives towards sustainability, these evaluation tools help keep environmental considerations at the forefront of its efforts.

3. SOCIAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGIES

Methods of social impact assessment play an important part in determining the extent to which the tobacco industry has a negative influence not only on the people who work in it but also on the communities in which it is located and on society as a whole. These methodologies provide a structured framework for analyzing labor conditions, worker rights, community engagement, and ethical considerations (WHO, 2013; WHO, 2014; WHO, 2015). This ensures that the industry's practices align with social responsibility and positively contribute to the well-being of individuals and communities.

3.1 Labor Conditions and Worker Rights

The investigation of working conditions as well as the rights of employees is an essential component of social impact assessment. The individuals involved in tobacco cultivation and processing are the focus of this evaluation, which takes into consideration issues such as working hours, pay, safety conditions, and access to medical treatment. Stakeholders can identify and address instances of unfair treatment, exploitative practices, or violations of fundamental labor rights by evaluating the aforementioned components, which will allow for this to happen.

3.2 Elimination of Child Labor and Exploitative Practices

The inclusion of a focus on the eradication of child labor and other forms of exploitative practices as a core component of a social impact evaluation is essential. The tobacco business has, throughout its history, been the target of criticism due to its involvement in child labor. Assessment approaches center on identifying and avoiding exploitative practices and child labor that may occur anywhere along the supply chain, with the ultimate goal of promoting the rights and well-being of children and other vulnerable populations.

3.3 Community Engagement

Assessment approaches for community involvement put an emphasis on the contact that occurs between the tobacco industry and local communities. The purpose of this analysis is to determine whether the production of tobacco contributes positively to the socioeconomic development of communities by fostering the growth of local economies and providing chances for employment. On the other hand, it takes into consideration the potential adverse effects that tobacco farming could have on communities, such as conflicts over land usage or environmental deterioration.

3.4 Ethical Considerations

Within the context of the social impact assessment, addressing ethical considerations entails conducting an investigation into the industry's dedication to maintaining health and safety standards for both workers and customers. This evaluation strategy is especially pertinent in the context of an industry that has been criticized on the basis of its effect on people's health due to smoking. The tobacco business demonstrates its commitment to ethical behavior by ensuring that tobacco products are manufactured and sold with due concern for the safety of consumers.

Last but not least, the approaches to social impact assessment provide a prism through which the tobacco business can examine its effects on workers, local communities, and society as a whole. These approaches make it possible for industry stakeholders to promote justice, responsibility, and ethical

behavior by analyzing working conditions, worker rights, community engagement, and ethical issues. The incorporation of social factors into the industry's transition toward sustainability ensures that the tobacco sector will develop not just in terms of its influence on the environment but also in terms of its contribution to the well-being of society. This development will take place as the industry navigates its transformation toward sustainability.

4. ECONOMIC ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGIES

Methods of economic evaluation are essential components of the analysis of the viability of sustainable tobacco production because they shed light on the practices of the tobacco industry in terms of both their short-term and long-term viability from an economic standpoint. These procedures provide a structured strategy for analyzing the costs and advantages associated with implementing sustainable methods, connecting the profitability of the industry with responsible practices (CDCP, 2023).

4.1 Cost-Benefit Analysis

In the tobacco industry, conducting a cost-benefit analysis is one of the most important methodologies that can be used to evaluate the financial benefits of adopting sustainable practices. It entails contrasting the expenses involved in putting sustainable practices into action with the potential advantages gained. These benefits can include a lower consumption of resources, an improvement in reputation, and the possibility of cost reductions in areas like water and electricity usage. A cost-benefit analysis helps stakeholders comprehend the possible economic gains that could result from giving sustainability higher priority by quantifying the issues in question.

4.2 Market Opportunities and Risks

Assessment approaches also take into account the market potential and hazards associated with the sustainable production of tobacco. The market for environmentally friendly and socially responsible tobacco products is expanding as customer preferences move toward environmentally friendly and socially responsible products. These techniques investigate both the possible demand for such products and the competitive advantages offered by the companies that manufacture them. On the other hand, they take into account the dangers that come with failing to adjust to the shifting dynamics of the market and the possible repercussions that this could have on the long-term economic survival of the industry.

4.3 Economic Viability of Alternative Practices

In the context of economic methodology, the evaluation of alternative practices entails investigating whether it is possible to switch to methods that are more environmentally friendly. Investigating the costs and possible benefits connected with organic farming, agroforestry, or integrating technology to boost productivity could fall under this category. Stakeholders are able to make educated judgments on the most economically viable and environmentally responsible courses of action to follow if they have a thorough understanding of the economic implications of adopting these various alternatives.

4.4 Integration with Corporate Sustainability Goals

Methodologies of economic analysis should also take into account the incorporation of environmentally friendly policies and procedures into the overall company sustainability agenda. Businesses that have

made a public commitment to sustainability typically integrate their operations with broader social and environmental goals. This alignment not only helps the industry contribute to global sustainability initiatives, but it also improves the reputation of the organization and makes it more competitive.

Last but not least, economic assessment approaches offer the tobacco industry a crucial lens through which it may examine the monetary aspects of sustainable practices. The empowerment of stakeholders to make decisions that not only match responsible environmental and social goals but also ensure the industry's long-term economic viability comes from quantifying the costs, benefits, market opportunities, and risks connected with sustainability. As a result of the progression of the sector, the incorporation of economic factors into assessment procedures has become an essential factor in the production of beneficial change and responsible decision-making.

5. STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT

Engaging stakeholders is an essential component of sustainable tobacco production assessment procedures. This highlights how important it is to include a wide variety of people and groups in the evaluation process. These techniques emphasize that solving complex sustainability concerns involves collaboration across a wide variety of stakeholders, including tobacco producers, governments, non-governmental organizations (NGOs), local communities, consumers, and experts (WHO, 2013; WHO, 2014; WHO, 2015). Additionally, these methodologies acknowledge that, in order to effectively address these challenges, collaboration among these stakeholders is necessary.

5.1 Importance of Stakeholder Engagement

The engagement of stakeholders signifies an acknowledgment that the responsibility for sustainability is shared. Incorporating a variety of viewpoints results in an analysis that is both more thorough and well-rounded in terms of the potential effects of the sector as well as the potential solutions. When stakeholders are involved in decision-making, it increases openness, accountability, and inclusivity, which, in the end, leads to better-educated decision-making and results that reflect a wider range of concerns.

5.2 Collaborative Approach to Sustainability Assessment

Methodologies for evaluating sustainable tobacco production that foster stakeholder involvement promote a cooperative approach in which a variety of stakeholders actively participate in creating assessment criteria, collecting data, and interpreting outcomes. Because of this teamwork, assessment frameworks are guaranteed to be pertinent, credible, and usable in a wide variety of settings.

5.3 Roles of Different Stakeholders

The stakeholders involved in assessing the sustainability of tobacco production are:

• **Tobacco Producers**: Producers have a critical role in providing on-the-ground insights into the practical problems and opportunities for sustainable practices. Producers can provide these insights by providing feedback directly from their operations. Because of their participation, assessment methodologies will, as a result, take into account the reality of tobacco cultivation and production.

- **Governments**: Governments help by offering regulatory insights, establishing standards, and advocating policies that accord with the aims of sustainability. Their contributions contribute to ensuring that the practices of the sector are in accordance with broader national and international aims.
- NGOs and Experts: Non-governmental organizations and subject-matter experts offer vital expertise in areas such as the protection of the environment, the advancement of workers' rights, and the maintenance of public health. Their participation in the evaluation process brings a more comprehensive viewpoint to the table.
- Local Communities: Local communities, particularly those that have a direct impact on tobacco farming, offer insights into the social and economic aspects of the practices used by the industry. Participating in the affairs of local communities enables one to address their issues and guarantees that their voices are heard.
- **Consumers**: The desires of consumers are progressively directing industries toward methods that are more environmentally friendly. Consumer engagement helps industrial practices become more aligned with the changing needs for environmentally sustainable and socially responsible products.

5.4 Challenges and Considerations

The engagement of stakeholders is not without its difficulties. It can be difficult to strike a balance between competing viewpoints, manage competing interests, and ensure that everyone is represented equally. To conquer these obstacles, effective communication and the cultivation of mutual trust among the various stakeholders are absolutely necessary.

5.5 Benefits of Stakeholder Engagement

Participation by stakeholders promotes a sense of ownership and contributes to a sense of shared responsibility for sustainability. It improves the credibility of assessment procedures, which increases the likelihood that the findings will be accepted and put into practice. In addition, involvement encourages awareness, learning, and collaboration, which makes it possible for the industry to adapt and develop in response to changing demands related to sustainability.

Last but not least, the participation of stakeholders is an essential component of environmentally responsible tobacco production assessment procedures. These approaches ensure a more complete and relevant examination of industrial practices by integrating a variety of stakeholders in a collaborative approach. The insights that were uncovered as a result contribute to responsible practices, informed decision-making, and the creation of initiatives that align the tobacco business with broader societal and environmental goals.

6. ASSESSMENT OF THE DIFFICULTIES AND CONSIDERATIONS IN SUSTAINABLE TOBACCO PRODUCTION

Although assessment methodologies for sustainable tobacco production provide invaluable insights into the environmental, social, and economic impact of the industry, they also present a number of challenges and considerations that need to be addressed for effective implementation and meaningful outcomes (CDCP, 2023; UN, 2022; US-DHHS, 2006; WHO, 2013; WHO, 2014; WHO, 2015).

6.1 Data Availability and Reliability

The accessibility and dependability of data present one of the most significant obstacles. It is impossible to make reliable assessments without data that is both accurate and thorough. Nevertheless, data collection can be hampered by a variety of circumstances, including a lack of transparency, inconsistent reporting, and limited access to information. The process of ensuring that data is accurate and reliable across the entirety of the supply chain can be a big task that calls for the collaboration and cooperation of a variety of stakeholders.

6.2 Context-Specific Implementation

It is absolutely necessary to put sustainable tobacco production assessment approaches into practice in a way that is relevant to the context. The ecological, social, and economic situations of many places vary greatly from one another. It's possible that an approach that works well in one area may not be applicable in another in the same way. For this reason, techniques need to be adaptable enough to accept these variances while adhering to the fundamental concepts of sustainable development.

6.3 Standardization and Comparability

It is a difficult challenge to achieve a balance between the necessity for implementation that is distinctive to the environment and the goal of standardization and comparison. It takes careful planning and an in-depth comprehension of the most important indicators and metrics to develop evaluation procedures that are flexible enough to be applied in a variety of settings while maintaining the capacity to make meaningful comparisons between distinct projects, geographical areas, or time periods.

6.4 Inclusive Stakeholder Engagement

The involvement of a wide variety of stakeholders is essential, yet doing so can be difficult at times. Effective communication and approaches that encourage participation are required in order to guarantee that the opinions of all key stakeholders, such as local communities, workers, and specialists in a variety of sectors, are taken into consideration. It might be difficult to find a balance between opposing interests and ensure that everyone is represented equally.

6.5 Limited Resources and Expertise

It's possible that many firms, particularly smaller producers, don't have the means, experience, or capacity to put in place comprehensive assessment techniques. To overcome these restrictions, accessible guidance, measures to increase expertise, and assistance from governments, non-governmental organizations (NGOs), and industry groups are required.

6.6 Long-Term Commitment

An investment in the long term is necessary to make advances in sustainability that are relevant. Making sustainable changes typically takes some time to bear fruit, and the benefits may not be immediately evident. This necessitates an ongoing dedication on the part of the stakeholders as well as a concentration on both the short-term and the long-term strategic goals.

6.7 Integration with Regulatory Frameworks

It can be difficult to align evaluation procedures with the regulatory frameworks that are already in place, especially when there is regional variation in the norms and standards that are in place. Coordination among the many stakeholders is required in order to achieve the goals of harmonizing evaluation methodologies with regulatory requirements and encouraging industry-wide adoption.

Although sustainable tobacco production assessment approaches provide a route to behaviors that are responsible and mindful of the environment, in order for these methodologies to be successfully implemented, one must first overcome the hurdles and take into account the relevant factors. In order to prevail over these challenges, teamwork, transparency, adaptability, and a dedication to consistently enhancing evaluation procedures are all necessary components. Taking on these difficulties is necessary to guarantee that evaluation methodologies will continue to function as useful instruments in directing the tobacco business toward a future that is more sustainable and socially responsible.

7. FUTURE DIRECTIONS AND IMPLICATIONS OF SUSTAINABLE TOBACCO PRODUCTION ASSESSMENT

There will be substantial repercussions for the tobacco business, as well as for society and the environment, as a result of the development of sustainable tobacco production assessment approaches. As these techniques continue to develop, they mold the practices of the sector, contribute to the aims of global sustainability, and create good change in a variety of different ways (UN, 2022; CDCP, 2023).

7.1 Integration of Technology

It is expected that there will be a greater incorporation of technology in the future assessment of environmentally responsible tobacco production. The precision and effectiveness of evaluations can be improved with the application of advanced data collection technologies, remote sensing, and data analytics. Technology can also make real-time monitoring of practices possible, which enables prompt course corrections to be made in order to reduce the severity of any adverse effects.

7.2 Holistic Approaches

The development of these procedures will almost certainly result in the creation of more holistic approaches that take into account a wider variety of indicators and circumstances. Methodologies may contain interdependencies between environmental, social, and economic factors in order to provide a more thorough knowledge of the industry's effects as awareness of interconnection rises.

7.3 Greater Stakeholder Engagement

Participation from stakeholders is likely going to become even more essential. In the future, approaches might place more of an emphasis on inclusive engagement tactics, making use of technology to make virtual debates, collaborative decision-making, and public input more accessible. This increased engagement can lead to more trustworthy judgments, which in turn can lead to better-informed decisions.

7.4 Supply Chain Transparency

It is possible that approaches may begin to prioritize supply chain openness as the demand for transparency increases on a global scale. Consumers are becoming more and more curious about the background of the products they buy and the working conditions under which they are manufactured. Assessment approaches could incorporate mechanisms to monitor and confirm sustainability claims at various points along the supply chain.

7.5 Industry Transformation

The development of new methods for evaluating sustainable tobacco production has the potential to trigger a significant industry revolution. As businesses attempt to improve their assessment scores and align themselves with sustainability criteria, they may introduce creative methods that lessen their negative effects on the environment, guarantee that fair labor practices are followed, and support the well-being of communities.

7.6 Policy and Regulation Impact

Methodologies for evaluating sustainable tobacco production have the potential to influence policy and regulations. Robust assessment results can provide insights that are based on evidence, which can enable the formulation of legislation that promotes sustainable practices. Governments might encourage industry participants to adopt these practices by putting in place regulatory controls, financial incentives, or requirements for mandatory certification.

7.7 Global Sustainability Agendas

The ramifications of sustainable tobacco production evaluation methodologies are intricately connected to worldwide goals for sustainability. As the tobacco industry works to align itself with goals such as the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) of the United Nations and international climate pledges, evaluation techniques play a crucial role in determining how far the sector has come and ensuring that it is held accountable for its actions.

There will be repercussions felt far and wide as a result of the future directions of sustainable tobacco production assessment approaches. They will continue to steer the industry toward practices that are environmentally responsible, mold the preferences of consumers, exert influence over governmental decisions, and contribute to the overarching global aim of preserving the environment and improving the welfare of society. As these methods continue to advance, the tobacco industry is in a position to readily accept positive change and realign itself with a future that is more responsible and sustainable.

8. CONCLUSION

The culmination of sustainable tobacco production assessment methodology represents a key moment in the tobacco industry's journey toward responsible, ecologically conscientious, and socially equitable practices. This juncture is a result of the culmination of sustainable tobacco production assessment methodologies. These techniques give a holistic lens through which the industry can evaluate its impacts, make educated decisions, and positively contribute to the overall aims of global sustainability by integrating environmental, social, and economic factors. The multifaceted nature of these research approaches highlights how the tobacco industry is adapting to its changing role within society.

The industry is recognizing that it is responsible for addressing complex concerns such as the degradation of the environment, the rights of workers, and the wellbeing of communities. This means that it is no longer merely limited to economic activities. By conducting an analysis of its activities through the aforementioned lenses, the sector makes a significant step toward living up to its obligations as a responsible member of the business community.

The ramifications of implementing assessment procedures for sustainable tobacco production have farreaching implications that go well beyond the industry itself. Integration of technology, comprehensive stakeholder involvement, and alignment with global sustainability agendas are all indications of a larger trend toward a global business landscape that is more responsible and accountable. These techniques, as they progress, clear the way for learning that takes place across industries, innovation, and the development of business practices that go beyond the goal of profit and embrace social and environmental responsibility.

On the other hand, getting to a point where tobacco production is sustainable won't be easy, and there will be obstacles along the way. The complexity of data collection, the variations in context, and the need to strike a balance between the various stakeholder perspectives present challenges for the sector. In order to triumph over these challenges, you will need to work together, have open communication, and be committed to making constant improvements.

Last but not least,, the incorporation and development of new methods for evaluating sustainable tobacco production are indicative of a transitional moment for the tobacco business. These techniques go beyond the traditional practices of the industry, enabling it to become a constructive force in the process of sculpting a sustainable future. By embracing these techniques, the sector is taking steps toward upgrading its reputation, aligning itself with broader societal ambitions, and contributing to the worldwide effort to conserve the environment, uphold social equality, and generate economic prosperity for present and future generations.

REFERENCES

- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention CDCP (2023). Smoking & Tobacco Use: Economic Trends in Tobacco. Retrieved from: https://www.cdc.gov/tobacco/data_statistics/fact_sheets/economics/econ_facts
- UN (2022, December). WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control. Retrieved from: https://sustainabledevelopment.un.org/index.php?page=view&type=30022&nr=186&menu=3170
- US Department of Health and Human Services DHHS (2006). The health consequences of involuntary exposure to tobacco smoke: a report of the surgeon general.
- WHO (2015, January). Framework Convention on Tobacco Control: an overview. Retrieved from: http://www.who.int/fctc/about/WHO_FCTC_summary_January2015.pdf?ua=1
- WHO (2014, October 13–18). Report of the sixth session of the Conference of the Parties to the WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control. Retrieved from: http://www.who.int/fctc/cop/sessions/COP6_report_FINAL_04122014.pdf
- WHO (2013). WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control: guidelines for implementation. Retrieved from: http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/80510/1/9789241505185_eng.pdf?ua=1

AUTHOR

Venugopala Rao is a certified auditor in health, safety, and the environment. He has over 35 years of experience in the petrochemical, organophosphate pesticide, and tobacco industries. He is a certified WSO Safety Specialist and an associate member of the International Institute of Risk and Safety Management, United Kingdom. Further, he is a certified safety auditor by the Government of India and an ISO 14000, OHSAS 18001 and SA 8000 lead auditor. For a good number of years, he had worked as group manager of risk and secure real-time transport for a UK-based premium tobacco plc, as well as head of EHS at British American Tobacco (BAT) plc, India.



CITATION:

Rao, V. (2023). Sustainable Tobacco Production Assessment: Navigating Environmental, Social, and Economic Dimensions for Responsible Practices. World Safety Journal, XXXII(3), 14–24. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.8372056



World Safety Journal

A peer-reviewed journal, published by the World Safety Organization

Journal Homepage: https://worldsafety.org/wso-world-safety-journal/



Navigating Uncharted Waters: The Disruption and Resilience of Elective Surgery Amidst the COVID-19 Pandemic in Lebanon

Hayat Akoum¹, Elias M. Choueiri², and Hikmat Akoum^{1*}

- ¹ Professor, Faculty of Public Health, Lebanese University, Lebanon
- ² WSO Board Member and Liaison Officer to the United Nations;

Professor at several Lebanese universities

KEYWORDS

ABSTRACT

COVID-19 Pandemic Elective Surgery Lebanon The COVID-19 pandemic ushered in previously unseen difficulties across the entirety of the world's healthcare system, dramatically reshaping several facets of clinical medicine in the process. Among the industries that were hit particularly hard was elective surgery, which is a fundamental component of medical systems all across the world. In the present study, we investigate the complex relationship between the widespread COVID-19 epidemic and the effects that it has had on elective surgery in the particular setting of Lebanon. This paper seeks to provide insights that can inform future healthcare preparedness and contribute to the ongoing efforts to build a resilient healthcare system that is capable of effectively navigating crises while ensuring the delivery of essential medical services by examining the challenges, adaptations, and implications. The goal of this paper is to provide these insights by examining the challenges, adaptations, and implications.

1. INTRODUCTION

As a result of the unprecedented global upheaval caused by the COVID-19 pandemic, healthcare systems all over the world faced significant challenges. Elective surgery is one of the industries deeply impacted by change. This requires a complete reevaluation of existing healthcare practices and priorities. This study examines the complex relationship between COVID-19 and elective surgeries in Lebanon.

Lebanon's healthcare system has relied on elective surgeries to manage chronic illnesses and improve patients' health and quality of life. Hip replacements, aesthetic treatments, and cardiac procedures have improved health and lowered costs. COVID-19 presented a new challenge to global healthcare systems. Quick, vital decisions were needed to manage resources. As in many countries, elective treatments were postponed in Lebanon to focus on COVID-19 patients and limit viral spread in healthcare institutions. This was done because several countries stopped elective treatments. The nation's healthcare priorities shifted after this suspension exposed the necessity to deploy beds, personnel, and medical equipment to meet epidemic demands. As the crisis deteriorated, these suspensions' effects

* Corresponding Author: h_akoum@yahoo.com

became clear. The elective surgery backlog complicated patient care, resource allocation, and surgical scheduling. This backlog made healthcare providers slower to intervene and required novel patient prioritization (Khalife, 2022).

Postponing elective surgeries had a major impact on the budget. Lack of these therapies caused hospitals and medical staff to lose a lot of money, which affected their financial stability and ability to provide quality care. Delayed treatments also increased the financial burden and the possibility of rising healthcare expenses. Healthcare practitioners and institutions quickly implemented changes and innovations in response to the changing environment. Telemedicine and virtual consultations are essential for pre-surgical evaluations and remote patient care. This reduces the number of times patients must see their doctors while ensuring continuous medical care. Strict safety and infection control standards have changed surgical methods. Changes were made to protect patients and healthcare workers. The pandemic's psychological and emotional impact on patients and healthcare workers highlighted its numerous effects. Due to concerns about getting the virus during medical care and the postponement of their procedure, patients felt more anxious and apprehensive. Managing patient care while ensuring their own and their families' well-being caused stress for healthcare professionals (Khalife, 2022).

The lessons that can be learned from this experience have significant ramifications for the development of future healthcare systems and emergency preparedness measures. In order for Lebanon to strengthen the resilience of its healthcare system, it is necessary for the country to adopt adaptability in the process of anticipating and responding to crises, cultivate transparent communication in order to guide decision-making, and cultivate collaborative approaches that transcend both institutional and geographical boundaries. This paper, therefore, navigates the intricate terrain of the COVID-19 pandemic's impact on elective surgeries in Lebanon, offering, in sections 2 to 7, insights into the challenges faced, the adaptations undertaken, and the pathways towards a more resilient and adaptable healthcare landscape (Bez et al., 2020; Bitar et al., 2020; Cheaito et al., 2020; Moujaess et al., 2020; Sabbagh Dit Hawasli et al., 2020; Abu Shakra et al., 2021; Albaini et al., 2021; Al-Riyami et al., 2021; Bizri et al., 2021; Hajjar et al., 2021; Hassan et al., 2020; Karak et al., 2021; Mjaess et al., 2021; Moussa et al., 2021; Bizri et al., 2022; Noureldin et al., 2022; Khalife, M., 2022; Downey et al., 2023; Seif Rabiei et al., 2023). The information that is presented here is meant to be illustrative and is in no way meant to be thorough; nevertheless, it does cover the most important aspects.

2. BEFORE THE PANDEMIC, EXPLOSION OF ELECTIVE SURGERY IN LEBANON'S LANDSCAPE

Before the COVID-19 pandemic, medical breakthroughs, patient needs, and healthcare infrastructure shaped Lebanon's elective surgery environment. Preexisting healthcare systems in the country had this dynamic interaction. The nation's healthcare system relies on elective surgery to manage acute, chronic, and quality-of-life issues. These processes addressed many difficulties. Elective surgeries included life-improving cosmetic surgery, joint replacements, and heart treatments. These planned interventions, unlike emergency procedures, were meticulously organized, allowing healthcare facilities to allocate resources and patients to prepare.

The relevance of optional interventions in Lebanon's healthcare system can be attributed to a wide variety of contributing variables. They offered vital medical care for chronic disorders, such as orthopedic issues, cataracts, and cardiac conditions, which frequently required rapid intervention to prevent exacerbation and deterioration. These conditions included cataracts and orthopedic problems. In addition, elective procedures have a substantial impact on the overall quality of life of patients by

enabling them to regain mobility, ease discomfort, and reclaim their functional independence. The numbers collected before the pandemic provided evidence of the vital role that elective procedures played in Lebanon's healthcare system. Patients are guaranteed to receive personalized and highly specialized care thanks to the fact that hospitals and other types of medical facilities have committed particular departments and employees to the conduct of elective procedures. These surgical treatments had a major economic contribution, which contributed to the revenue streams of healthcare institutions and helped facilitate the continuous investment in medical infrastructure and technology.

In addition, elective surgeries were a major contributor to Lebanon's success in luring medical tourists. Patients from the surrounding areas and further afield often go to this country for elective treatments because of its well-deserved reputation for offering high-quality medical care. In addition, the country's healthcare experts and facilities are among the most advanced in the world. The increase in patients not only helped to strengthen the nation's healthcare business, but it also helped to strengthen the economy. Prior to the pandemic, the elective surgical scene in Lebanon was defined by a dynamic equilibrium between patient demand, physician knowledge, and institutional capacity. This dynamic equilibrium existed before the pandemic. The well-established structure not only enabled patients to have access to vital care and improve their overall health, but it also made a substantial contribution to Lebanon's standing in the medical landscape of the surrounding region. However, the introduction of the COVID-19 pandemic threw this equilibrium off, which led to a paradigm shift in the priority placed on healthcare and a set of issues that had never been seen before, necessitating the development of fresh reactions and flexible strategies.

3. DISRUPTION OF ELECTIVE SURGERIES DURING COVID-19

The COVID-19 epidemic created a seismic shift in the topography of elective operations in Lebanon, which in turn triggered a cascade of repercussions that echoed across the country's healthcare systems as well as among medical personnel and patients. As a vital response to the enormous challenges faced by the pandemic, governments and healthcare authorities attempted to protect public health and prioritize resources for controlling the viral epidemic. As a result, elective procedures were put on hold until the pandemic could be brought under control. There were a variety of factors that led to the termination of elective surgical procedures. Hospitals and other medical facilities were ordered to stop performing non-emergency treatments after the government issued regulations and recommendations requiring them to do so. This allowed for the reallocation of resources, manpower, and critical care capacities in order to meet the growing demands of COVID-19 patients. These precautions had to be taken because there was an immediate need to prepare healthcare facilities for the possibility of an epidemic of COVID-19 and to lessen the likelihood that patients would be exposed to the virus while they were in the hospital. Although this moratorium was necessary for preventing further transmission of the virus, it did have a substantial impact on both the patients and the medical staff. Patients who needed immediate treatment for medical issues that weren't immediately life-threatening but still required it felt fear, anxiety, and uncertainty. Delays in treatments such as joint replacements and tumor removals had the potential to make patients' existing medical issues worse and had a detrimental influence on the patients' overall health and well-being.

Within a short amount of time, healthcare organizations reorganized their priorities. The operating rooms that had been set aside for elective surgeries were swiftly reallocated to treat patients affected by COVID-19. In order to meet the difficulties of the pandemic, vital resources such as personal protective equipment (PPE), ventilators, and medical professionals were diverted. Despite the fact that it was necessary, the reallocation of these resources presented a challenge to the existing healthcare ecosystem and required a prompt reaction from the various institutions. Infection control concerns were another

factor that led to the postponement of elective procedures. During surgical procedures, the close contact between patients and healthcare staff raised the potential for viral transmission. Because of this heightened risk, a cautious approach was required in order to prevent infections that were not planned. The difficulties of restarting surgical procedures were compounded by the need to reevaluate surgical protocols, sterile practices, and post-operative care in order to protect both patients and medical staff. This was necessary in order to ensure that everyone would be safe.

The move from economic concerns to healthcare delivery unexpectedly affected the economy. Hospitals, surgical teams, and other medical professionals lost significant money when elective treatments were stopped. Due to financial constraints, institutions had to find new means to produce resources while continuing surgical procedures during a pandemic. The COVID-19 epidemic disrupted elective surgeries in Lebanon, illustrating the global need to reconcile crisis response and healthcare conservation. The sickness needed to be stopped by striking this balance. It was vital to cease elective surgeries to preserve public health and allocate resources, but doing so faced issues beyond clinical considerations. Rethinking patient care, surgical procedures, resource allocation, and strategic decision-making was needed to overcome this upheaval. Each of these factors shaped Lebanon's healthcare throughout an exceptional crisis.

4. IMPACT ON PATIENTS AND THE HEALTHCARE SYSTEM

The COVID-19 pandemic disrupted healthcare delivery, patient outcomes, and financial stability in Lebanon. This disruption had a significant impact on both patients and the healthcare system.

- **Delayed and Postponed Surgeries**: A considerable number of procedures were either delayed or postponed. Patients who required therapies for chronic diseases, pain alleviation, or improved quality of life were tormented by uncertainty. Many patients who had their procedures postponed had a deterioration of their health problems, which ranged from greater pain and suffering to the likely advancement of their disease. This was in addition to the temporary inconvenience that the postponement caused.
- Accumulation of Surgical Backlog: The suspension of elective surgeries has led to a rising accumulation of cases, which presents a substantial issue for healthcare facilities. In order to resolve the existing backlog, careful triage and prioritization of patients were required, with the criteria being medical urgency and the likelihood of suffering injury as a result of the delay. Administrators and surgical teams were faced with the formidable problem of juggling the competing needs of patients who were waiting for postponed surgery and new patients who required emergency assistance.
- **Financial Implications**: The disruption of elective procedures resulted in major income losses for medical institutions and practitioners. This has repercussions for the finances of the healthcare industry. These treatments were an essential source of revenue for hospitals, which enabled them to maintain high standards of patient care and make investments in the expansion of medical facilities. Patients frequently faced additional costs as a result of delayed therapies as well as the demand for continued medical management as a consequence of their condition.
- Adaptation and Resource Allocation: In order to combat the epidemic and manage the
 backlog of postponed surgeries, the healthcare system needed to quickly respond to the new
 reality by reallocating resources. This modification required the making of strategic decisions
 in order to guarantee the judicious and efficient use of the resources that were available. In

order to address the needs of COVID-19 patients as well as those of patients who required elective surgery, it was necessary to allocate resources in a way that struck a delicate balance.

- Patient Psychological Impact: This effect extended to both the patients' mental and emotional well-being. Patients who had their surgeries postponed had a heightened level of anxiety, uncertainty, and mental suffering as a result. The fact that it was unclear when they would receive the necessary care added another layer of complexity to a situation that was already challenging.
- **Healthcare Provider Strain**: People who work in the healthcare business have the dual responsibility of ensuring that patients receive exceptional care while also watching out for their personal well-being. Taking care of patients infected with COVID-19, managing postponed surgeries, and watching out for their personal safety all took a toll on their mental and emotional well-being. The difficulties of the work they do on the job make healthcare professionals feel more stressed and exhausted in emergency situations.
- Backlog Management Strategies: In order to handle the surgical backlog, novel strategies were required. Prioritizing patients, maintaining efficient schedules, and ensuring patient safety were all considerations that healthcare facilities needed to make in order to effectively implement infection control measures. Collaboration among the surgical teams, the use of virtual consultations, and the optimization of operating room schedules were all critical components in the successful management of the accumulating cases.

In addition to procedural delays, the influence of COVID-19 on elective surgeries in Lebanon extended to the health of patients, the financial stability of healthcare institutions, the distribution of resources, and the emotional well-being of patients as well as healthcare staff. This event brought to light the necessity of flexible healthcare systems that are able to adjust to obstacles that were not foreseen, all while preserving patient-centered treatment and encouraging intervention measures for potential future healthcare crises.

5. ADAPTATIONS AND INNOVATIONS

The COVID-19 pandemic in Lebanon caused a disruption in elective surgery, which in turn spurred a flood of adaptations and advances in the medical field. In order to successfully traverse the fast-shifting environment of patient care and surgical procedures in the face of unprecedented challenges, healthcare institutions, medical personnel, and patients alike have all begun to implement innovative tactics.

- Telemedicine and Virtual Consultations: The introduction of telemedicine and virtual consultations marked a key turning point in preoperative examinations and the contacts between doctors and their patients. Patients were able to obtain consultations and have discussions about surgery plans through the use of digital platforms, which allowed healthcare experts to do remote evaluations and eliminate the need for in-person visits. This invention not only reduced the hazards of exposure but also sped up the process of decision-making, which ensured that patients received prompt medical care.
- Stringent Safety Protocols and Infection Control Measures: In response to the necessity of infection control, considerable modifications were made to surgical practices. These changes included both the protocols and the measures that were put in place to prevent infection. The possibility of a virus being passed from patient to patient has led to the implementation of stringent safety precautions. These protocols include stricter processes for sterilization, an increase in the usage of personal protective equipment (PPE), and alterations to surgical

procedures. In the operating room, the safety of both patients and members of the medical staff was improved as a result of these precautions.

- Phased Resumption of Elective Surgeries: As the nature of the pandemic changed throughout the course of its progression, medical facilities began to implement a strategy that involved gradually restarting elective surgical procedures. Criteria were painstakingly devised in order to prioritize cases in accordance with medical urgency, the risk of injury caused by delays, and the availability of resources. The surgical backlog was supposed to be cleared up with the help of this strategic resumption, and while doing so, patient safety and compliance with infection control laws were to be maintained.
- Virtual Surgical Training and Education: The field of medicine has embraced the use of
 virtual platforms for educational and training purposes in surgical procedures. Surgeons and
 other medical professionals were able to continue sharpening their abilities and staying up-todate with the most recent breakthroughs in surgical techniques and procedures by participating
 in virtual seminars, conferences, and training sessions.
- Innovative Operating Room Layouts: These modifications were made to the operating room layouts in order to ensure that there is a physical separation between patients undergoing surgical procedures and to limit the danger of viral transmission. Ingenious spatial arrangements were put into place so that members of the surgical team could maintain safe distances from one another while still maximizing the efficiency of the workflow and the accessibility of the equipment.
- **Hybrid Care Models**: These new models of care mix in-person consultations and interventions with remote monitoring and follow-up. Hybrid models of care are becoming increasingly popular. This technique not only decreased the number of times patients visited healthcare institutions, but it also streamlined the paths via which patients received care, which led to an increase in the effective utilization of available resources.
- **Digital Health Records and Communication**: During the pandemic, electronic medical records took on an increased level of significance. Streamlining communication and information exchange between patients, healthcare professionals, and the many departments became essential in order to sustain coordinated care and facilitate effective decision-making.

The accumulation of these shifts in practice and the introduction of new procedures resulted in a shift in the nature of elective surgery in Lebanon. They brought attention to the durability of the healthcare business in the face of unprecedented problems, demonstrating the sector's ability to deploy adaptive solutions that strike a balance between the requirements of patients, worries about their safety, and the most effective use of available resources. These innovations not only addressed urgent difficulties but also paved the way for future breakthroughs in healthcare delivery and patient care. As a result, they provided significant knowledge on handling crises while maintaining a high level of medical service.

6. IMPACT ON ONE'S PSYCHOLOGICAL AND EMOTIONAL STATE

The COVID-19 pandemic disrupted elective operations, casting a shadow beyond the physical world. This shadow damaged Lebanon's patients and healthcare workers' mental and emotional health. Unexpected challenges and uncertainties caused fresh stressors during the pandemic. These pressures have enhanced psychological and emotional effects across the healthcare system.

- Patient Anxiety and Uncertainty: The epidemic increased fear and unpredictability among elective surgery patients. Many of them had to decide whether to undergo the required surgery due to concerns about hospital virus exposure. Not knowing when their postponed operations would take place increased their anxiety.
- Coping with Surgery Postponements: Postponing procedures caused patients emotional distress as they tried to cope with the consequences. Their mental health suffered from the indefinite postponement of pain alleviation, quality of life, or physical improvement. Patients' psychological stress rose due to the long waiting period because they couldn't estimate when their surgeries would be.
- **Healthcare Provider Stress**: The healthcare industry also experienced a significant amount of mental strain as a result of the epidemic. The emotional strain that was placed on medical workers as a result of the necessity of balancing the care of patients with the inherent hazards of getting the virus itself was significant. Due to constant exposure to patients' suffering and the difficulties of managing COVID-19 cases, healthcare workers' mental health and general well-being suffered.
- Emotional Burden of Witnessing Suffering: The emotional toll that was taken on healthcare providers as a result of having to witness the suffering of patients whose surgeries had to be postponed was significant. These professionals had to bear the emotional weight of seeing the impacts of these delays directly. The empathy that exists between patients and medical professionals sheds light on the moral challenges and emotional strain that those who are in charge of managing patient care face.
- Managing Personal Safety and Patient Care: Healthcare personnel face everyday challenges in striking a balance between providing care for patients and protecting their own safety. The necessity of maintaining stringent infection control measures and the employment of personal protective equipment (PPE) while providing quality care provided an additional layer of tension and mental strain for medical personnel as they juggled their dual obligations for the well-being of patients and for self-preservation.
- Psychological Resilience and Support: In spite of the challenges, the pandemic brought to light the tremendous psychological resilience that patients and medical workers displayed during the outbreak. Patients adapted to the new reality by seeking emotional support from their families, friends, and the professionals who work in the healthcare industry. Camaraderie, collaborative efforts, and support networks provided medical professionals with a sense of consolation within their professional groups.
- Importance of Mental Health Care: The epidemic brought to light the crucial need for successfully meeting mental health care standards. Patients and healthcare practitioners alike have stressed the importance of having readily available mental health support, counseling, and tools to assist in managing the emotional complications of postponed procedures and the greater challenges brought by the pandemic.

During the COVID-19 pandemic, the disruption of elective interventions had a significant psychological and emotional impact, which cannot be overstated. The postponed procedures caused patients as well as healthcare workers to experience anxiety, uncertainty, and a strain on their emotional well-being. This experience brought to light the necessity of providing comprehensive support for mental health as well as the value of offering resources to aid individuals in coping with the challenges provided by unprecedented crises, with the ultimate goal of boosting the health of patients and healthcare personnel.

7. PREPARATION FOR THE FUTURE AND LESSONS TO BE LEARNED

The COVID-19 pandemic in Lebanon caused a disruption in elective surgeries, which brought to light the critical importance of future preparedness and conveyed invaluable lessons that will help the healthcare landscape navigate similar challenges and emerge more resilient in the face of uncertainty.

- Building Flexible Healthcare Systems: One of the most significant takeaways from this experience is the significance of adding inherent flexibility to healthcare systems. Being ready for unanticipated emergencies requires having the ability to rapidly adjust to changing conditions, such as redistributing resources, reusing facilities, and adapting care delivery methods to accommodate shifting needs.
- Effective Communication and Information Dissemination: It quickly became clear that the foundation of efficient crisis management was clear and concise communication. In the event of future crises, appropriate solutions will need to include the formulation of open rules for the restarting of elective surgical procedures, the transmission of truthful information to patients, and the education of the general public about preventative measures. By ensuring that both patients and healthcare practitioners are well-informed and on the same page, this promotes a sense of confidence and compliance in the healthcare system.
- Collaborative Approaches and Partnerships: The epidemic brought to light the usefulness of collaborative approaches. The exchange of resources, knowledge, and skills was made easier as a result of both international cooperation and public-private partnerships. Fostering collaborations between government agencies, healthcare institutions, medical associations, and other stakeholders in order to increase the community's overall capacity to respond quickly and effectively to emergencies is one way to accomplish this goal.
- Surge Capacity Planning: It is absolutely necessary to plan for surge capacity in order to deal with sudden interruptions like pandemics. In order for hospitals to rapidly increase their capacities, they need to be able to transform regular wards into intensive care units, hire extra staff, and obtain the appropriate equipment. These plans ensure that healthcare institutions are able to absorb a rise in the number of patients without compromising the quality of care that they provide.
- Resilience in the Healthcare Workforce: During the course of the crisis, the health and safety of those who work in the healthcare industry became a primary concern. It is absolutely necessary to place a high priority on the mental, emotional, and physical health of those who work in the medical field. This involves ensuring that they have access to tools for mental health, encouraging a healthy work-life balance, and developing workplaces that recognize and meet their requirements.
- Balancing Emergency Response and Essential Care: It is essential to find a balance
 between the management of impending crises and the provision of essential medical services.
 A comprehensive crisis response strategy that takes into account the preservation of standard
 healthcare services should ensure that patients with chronic diseases and those undergoing
 elective surgery continue to receive prompt care.
- Harnessing Technology for Resilience: The epidemic brought to light the potential for technology to effect radical change. Continuous investments in telemedicine, electronic health records, remote patient monitoring, and virtual training platforms help to strengthen the agility of the healthcare system and provide continuity of care, even in the face of interruptions.

In Lebanon, the challenges brought on by the COVID-19 pandemic have resulted in the acquisition of priceless knowledge that will serve to direct the future of elective treatments and healthcare readiness. Adaptable healthcare systems that prioritize patient care, protect the well-being of healthcare providers, and remain resilient in the face of unanticipated obstacles are extremely important to construct, as the experiences of resource allocation, crisis communication, collaboration, and technological innovation have shown. These lessons will be essential to the establishment of a more robust and responsive healthcare environment in Lebanon as the country works toward the goal of cultivating a healthcare ecosystem that thrives even in the midst of a crisis.

8. CONCLUSION

The impact of the COVID-19 epidemic on elective surgeries in Lebanon has left an indelible mark on the landscape of healthcare, illuminating a complex web of problems, adaptations, and lessons that will have an effect on the future of patient care and the resiliency of the healthcare system.

- Complex Intersection of Challenges: The disruption of elective procedures brought on by the pandemic shed light on the complicated intersection of the priorities of healthcare, the needs of patients, and the distribution of resources. The suspension of surgeries, while essential for maintaining public health, revealed a complex web of unexpected repercussions, some of which concerned the health of patients, the safety of healthcare providers, and the viability of the healthcare system as a whole.
- Lessons in Adaptation and Innovation: The epidemic produced a wave of technologies that transformed the delivery of healthcare. These breakthroughs were made possible as a result of lessons in adaptation and creativity. Telemedicine emerged as a vital resource; virtual consultations expedited pre-operative examinations; and stringent infection control completely revamped the operating room setting. These changes demonstrated the healthcare industry's capacity to quickly adapt in the face of unforeseen obstacles.
- Importance of Psychological Resilience: The psychological and emotional repercussions of the pandemic underlined the significance of the need to encourage psychological resilience and provide assistance for mental health. Both patients and medical staff reported feelings of anxiety, uncertainty, and emotional strain, which emphasizes the need for comprehensive support systems that deal with the emotional challenges of delayed procedures and crisis-induced stress.
- Navigating Future Preparedness: The lessons that were learned from the epidemic provide essential insights for mapping out future preparations. It is impossible to overstate the value of creating adaptable healthcare systems, efficient communication, creative relationships, and preparing for surge capacity. The capability of a robust healthcare ecosystem to strike a balance between the provision of necessary care and the handling of emergency situations is one of its defining characteristics.
- A Path Forward: The disruption of elective surgeries caused by the COVID-19 outbreak put Lebanon's healthcare system to the test of its resiliency and adaptability in the face of unanticipated emergencies. In addition to this, it shed light on a way forward that may be navigated with the help of the transformative power of teamwork, creativity, and a unified dedication to patient care. As the nature of the healthcare environment continues to shift, the insights gained from this experience will act as guiding lights, illuminating the way toward a future that is more equipped, more responsive, and more robust.

The healthcare business is positioned to emerge stronger as a result of this significant disruption, with a deeper knowledge of the significance of adaptability, readiness, and patient-centered care. As Lebanon continues to develop, it is armed with the understanding that the difficulties of today can be transformed into the stepping stones of tomorrow. This creates the framework for a healthcare landscape that is not only capable of withstanding crises but also thriving in the midst of them.

REFERENCES

- Abu Shakra, I., Bez, M., Ganam, S., Francis, R., Muati, A., Bickel, A., ... & Kakiashvili, E. (2021). The volume of general surgery emergency cases in a government hospital during the COVID-19 pandemic and two other periods: a comparative, retrospective study. BMC surgery, 21, 1-6.
- Albaini, O., Jardaly, A., Husni, R., & Safadi, B. (2021). COVID-19 Infection Post-Laparoscopic Sleeve Gastrectomy: A Case Report and Review of Literature. International Journal of Clinical Research, 2(1), 37-44.
- Al-Riyami, A. Z., Abdella, Y. E., Badawi, M. A., Panchatcharam, S. M., Ghaleb, Y., Maghsudlu, M., ... & Raouf, M. (2021). The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on blood supplies and transfusion services in the Eastern Mediterranean Region. Transfusion Clinique et Biologique, 28(1), 16-24.
- Bez, M., Ganam, S., Francis, R., Muati, A., Bickel, A., Merei, F., ... & Kakiashvili, E. (2020). The volume of general surgery emergency cases in a government hospital during the COVID-19 pandemic and two other periods: A comparative study.
- Bitar, N., Kattan, J., Kourie, H. R., Mukherji, D., Nagi, S., & Hassan, H. (2020). Covid-19 Pandemic. Lebanese Medical Journal, 68(1-2), 1-2.
- Bizri, L., & Badr, N. G. (2022). Protecting Non-communicable Diseases Patients during Pandemics: Fundamental Rules for Engagement and the Case of Lebanon. In HEALTHINF (pp. 306-315).
- Bizri, A. R., Khachfe, H. H., Fares, M. Y., & Musharrafieh, U. (2021). COVID-19 pandemic: an insult over injury for Lebanon. Journal of community health, 46, 487-493.
- Cheaito, R., Cheaito, M. A., Hallak, R., & El Majzoub, I. (2020). Outpatient Management of Cancer Patients During the COVID-19 Pandemic. Mediterranean Journal of Emergency Medicine & Acute Care, 2(1).
- Downey, E., Fokeladeh, H. S., & Catton, H. (2023). What the COVID-19 pandemic has exposed: The findings of five global health workforce professions.
- Hajjar, M. S., Atallah, G. M., Faysal, H., Atiyeh, B., Bakhach, J., & Ibrahim, A. E. (2021). The 2020 Beirut explosion: a healthcare perspective. Annals of burns and fire disasters, 34(4), 293.
- Hassan, B., & Arawi, T. (2020). Care for non-COVID-19 patients: a matter of choice or moral obligation? Frontiers in Medicine, 737.
- Karak, F. E., Rawadi, E., Sawan, J., & Haddad, F. G. (2021). The impact of disasters on cancer care in Lebanon. Future Oncology, 17(6), 629-631.
- Khalife, M. (2022, November 27). Impact of Covid-19 on Elective Surgeries. Master's Thesis, Hospital Administration, Islamic University of Lebanon.
- Mjaess, G., Karam, A., Chebel, R., Abi Tayeh, G., & Aoun, F. (2021). COVID-19, the economic crisis, and the Beirut blast: what 2020 meant to the Lebanese health-care system. Eastern Mediterranean Health Journal, 27(6), 535-537.
- Moujaess, E., Kourie, H. R., & Kattan, J. (2020). Targeted therapies for cancer during the COVID-19 pandemic: a threat or a blessing? Pharmacogenomics, 21(11), 731-733.
- Moussa, M., Chakra, M. A., Papatsoris, A. G., & Dellis, A. (2021). The impact of COVID-19 disease on urology practice. The Surgery Journal, 7(02), e83-e91.
- Noureldin, Y. A., Elmohamady, B., El-Dakhakhny, A. S., Omar, M., Desoky, E. E., Ghazwani, Y., ... & Farahat, Y. (2022). How did the first year of the COVID-19 pandemic affect urology practice in Arab countries? A cross-sectional study by the Arab Association of Urology Research Group. Therapeutic Advances in Urology, 14, 17562872221079492.

Sabbagh Dit Hawasli, R., & Nabhani-Gebara, S. (2020). Optimizing the role of ambulatory chemotherapy in response to the COVID-19 pandemic. Journal of Oncology Pharmacy Practice, 26(8), 2011-2014.

Seif Rabiei, M. A., Keramat, F., Sedighi, I., Jalili, E., Nikooseresht, M., Talebi, S. S., & Kharghani Moghadam, S. M. (2023). Evaluation of the Response Rates of Hospitals in the Prevention and Control of COVID-19 in Hamadan Province, Iran. Health Services Insights, 16, 11786329231187825.

AUTHORS

Hayat AKOUM, PhD in Public Health; Master Degree in Health Management; Diploma in International Classification of Diseases; Quality Consultant in Healthcare Institutions and Primary Healthcare Centers; Associate Professor at the Lebanese University and Jinan University, Lebanon. She has authored/co-authored a good number of public health research papers.





Prof. Dr. Elias M. CHOUEIRI has been very active in academic and research settings for over 35 years. He is the author/co-author of over 20 books and booklets, and hundreds of refereed publications, technical reports, conference presentations and newspaper articles. He has won more than 20 awards for his scholarship, and has held faculty and managerial positions at several public and private institutions in Lebanon and the USA. He is a member of the WSO Board of Directors, and serves as WSO Liaison Officer to the United Nations. Besides, he assumes the roles of Director of the WSO National Office for Lebanon, Chairperson of the WSO Highway Transportation Committee, and Chairperson of the WSO Transportation of Dangerous Goods Committee.

Dr. Hikmat AKOUM, has been a lecturer at the Faculty of Public Health (Branch 5), Lebanese University, since 1998, and director of the Faculty of Public Health (Branch 5) since 2019. She received her Ph.D. in Biology and Health Sciences from Lille 1 University of Science and Technology, France, and Immunology and Molecular Biology from the Pasteur Institute, France, in 1997. She has authored/co-authored a good number of research papers in peer-reviewed scientific journals on allergy, immunology, bacteriology, gerontology, and hospital risk management.



CITATION:

Akoum, H., Choueiri, E., & Akoum, H. (2023). *Navigating Uncharted Waters: The Disruption and Resilience of Elective Surgery Amidst the COVID-19 Pandemic in Lebanon*. World Safety Journal, XXXII(3), 25–35. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.8372066



World Safety Journal

A peer-reviewed journal, published by the World Safety Organization

Journal Homepage: https://worldsafety.org/wso-world-safety-journal/



Lessons Learned from the Styrene Gas Leak at LG Polymers: Enhancing Industrial Safety, Regulatory Compliance, and Community Resilience!

Jayandran Mohan^{1*}

¹ Member of IOSH, IIRSM, ICOH, IAOH, WSO, and ANZMH

KEYWORDS

ABSTRACT

Styrene Gas Leak LG Polymers Visakhapatnam India On May 7, 2020, a styrene gas leak at LG Polymers in Visakhapatnam, India, revealed severe weaknesses in industrial safety, regulatory supervision, and corporate responsibility. In this incident, which occurred in a densely populated urban area, hazardous styrene gas was released, resulting in fatalities, injuries, and environmental contamination. The primary aim of this paper is to comprehensively discuss the styrene gas leak incident that occurred at LG Polymers in Visakhapatnam. It will delve into various aspects of the incident, including its causes, impacts, response measures, and implications. By analyzing the incident in detail, this paper seeks to provide a thorough understanding of the events surrounding the gas leak, its consequences for human health and the environment, and the lessons learned for industrial safety and emergency preparedness.

1. INTRODUCTION

he styrene gas leak, which took place at the LG Polymers factory in the industrial city of Visakhapatnam on May 7, 2020, serves as a jarring reminder of the potential repercussions that can result from insufficient industrial safety procedures (The Associated Press, 2020; BBC News, 2020; Firstpost, 2020; India Today, 2020a; India Today, 2020b; India TV News, 2020; The Times of India, 2020).

Because it happened so early in the morning, locals and the authorities in charge of the area were caught off guard. The emission of hazardous styrene gas into the environment triggered an instant state of pandemonium and panic in the neighborhoods that were immediately next to the release site. During the initial phase of the response, the authorities concentrated on reducing the severity of the immediate disaster by evacuating vulnerable communities and providing medical aid. The incident involving the leak of styrene gas had significant repercussions that reached beyond the immediate area. As a result of the incident, concerns were raised over the safety of storing and processing hazardous chemicals in proximity to highly populated areas. It sparked a broader conversation about striking a balance between the development of industry, the protection of public safety, and the preservation of the environment.

^{*} Corresponding Author: jayandranhse@gmail.com

The aim of this paper is to engage the reader in the story of the event by providing a succinct review of the event's primary characteristics, its broader consequences, and the pressing need to solve the challenges that it emphasizes. It paves the way for a thorough investigation into the incident's causes, repercussions, and responses, as well as the lessons that can be learned to prevent similar catastrophes in the future.

2. BACKGROUND AND CONTEXT

LG Polymers, a division of the South Korean multinational company LG Chem, oversaw a chemical manufacturing facility in Visakhapatnam. The operations of the facility were centered on the production of a variety of styrene-based products, all of which are vital components in the creation of plastics, packaging materials, and other consumer goods. The manufacturing of polystyrene and expandable polystyrene often involves the utilization of styrene, which is an odorless liquid that has a characteristic appearance. Because of its toxicity and flammability, working with styrene can be fraught with danger, especially during production and storage. Styrene can present significant risks to both human and environmental health if it is not controlled and handled appropriately. Styrene can cause irritation of the eyes, skin, and respiratory tract in people who come into contact with it; if this irritation is allowed to persist, it could lead to more serious health problems. The event brought to light how critically important it is for industries that deal with dangerous chemicals to maintain strong safety precautions at all times.

It is important to note that the LG Polymers factory is situated in a highly populated part of Visakhapatnam, as this is an important feature of the backdrop. The location of the factory in proximity to residential areas raises concerns over the compatibility of potentially dangerous businesses with the growth of urban areas. The incident brought to light the possible dangers that are connected with situating chemical factories in heavily populated regions and the necessity of adopting an approach to industrial site selection that is predicated on a full risk assessment. In addition to this, the occurrence took place at a time when global uncertainty was at an all-time high as a result of the COVID-19 epidemic.

The pandemic's shutdowns and disruptions had an impact on the operations of a variety of different businesses, including LG Polymers. The decision to restart operations after a maintenance shutdown without undertaking a complete examination and maintenance of equipment and systems sheds light on the difficulties that industries confront when attempting to adapt to changing conditions while simultaneously ensuring that safety regulations are adhered to. The incident highlighted the regulatory loopholes that exist in the enforcement and oversight of industrial safety standards. This was brought to light as a result of the incident. Even though there were procedures in place to ensure the safe handling of hazardous chemicals, the incident brought to light flaws in the way that regular safety audits and inspections were conducted.

3. CHAIN OF EVENTS

The chain of events that led up to and followed the styrene gas leak disaster at LG Polymers in Visakhapatnam provides a detailed explanation of how a number of interconnected circumstances culminated in a catastrophic event with far-reaching ramifications. These events took place in Visakhapatnam. This historical chronology sheds light on the important moments and decisions that ultimately led to the release of hazardous gas and the consequences of that release (The Associated Press, 2020; India Today, 2020b; Pathak, 2020).

- Prior to the incident, the LG Polymers plant had been shut down for maintenance, which is a standard practice that is performed to ensure that all the facility's machinery and systems are operating as intended. On the other hand, the lockdown and operational disruptions brought on by the pandemic had an effect on maintenance activities, which in turn helped pave the way for further failures.
- A turning point was reached when it was decided to get operations back up and running after the maintenance stoppage. There was probably a lot of pressure to quickly get manufacturing back up and running after the pandemic because of the difficulties it presented. This choice, while understandable in the context of the strains being placed on the economy, had significant repercussions. The hasty decision to restart operations at the facility without first completing a thorough evaluation of its machinery and safety systems turned out to be a grave error.
- The incident took place as a result of a malfunction in the cooling system. This device was in charge of preventing the vaporization of styrene, which is a chemical that is very flammable and hazardous. The insufficient maintenance performed on the cooling system during the period in which it was offline meant that it was not operating at its full potential. As a direct consequence of this, the temperature inside a storage tank that was holding styrene started to increase, which in turn caused a chemical reaction to start.
- The temperature increase evaporated the styrene in the storage tank, increasing tank pressure. Pressure buildup exceeded the tank's capacity, causing a catastrophic break. The breach released a lot of styrene gas into the environment, creating a dangerous chemical cloud that spread over nearby residential districts.
- The gas leak had a major impact immediately. People exposed to the gas panicked as the deadly vapors spread swiftly. The situation was reported to local authorities, who took swift action. The problem escalated quickly due to the leak's size and lack of planning.
- The affected areas were evacuated and treated for exposure to toxic gases. Gas victims received medical treatment. It demonstrated the difficulty of organizing an emergency response to a major disaster. The local health care system labored to care for gas-exposed patients and meet medical supply needs.

Last but not least, the events leading up to LG Polymers' styrene gas leak incident demonstrate the importance of proper maintenance, inspection, and emergency response for hazardous companies. The decision to resume operations without safety procedures, technical issues, and minimal emergency preparedness caused a disaster that devastated everyone. This series of terrible events underscores the need for care, prudence, and rigorous safety procedures in dangerous product industries.

4. CAUSES AND CONTRIBUTING FACTORS

The styrene gas leak incident that occurred at LG Polymers in Visakhapatnam was the consequence of a complex interplay of causes and contributing factors that ultimately resulted in a terrible end. These factors include technical failures, human errors, and regulatory shortcomings, all of which collectively highlight the importance of taking a comprehensive approach to industrial safety (Basu, 2020; Bhashkar, 2020; Ellis-Petersen et al., 2020; India Today, 2020b; NHRCI, 2020; Observer Research Foundation, 2020; Pathak, 2020).

- **Technical Failure**: The malfunction of the cooling system, which was intended to prevent the styrene in the storage tanks from vaporizing, was the primary example of a failure on the part of the technology involved in this disaster. A crucial omission was that there was insufficient maintenance performed on this system throughout the maintenance stoppage period. Due to a problem with the cooling system, the temperature within the storage tank was allowed to increase, which led to the beginning of the styrene vaporization process. The subsequent increase in pressure caused the tank's capacity to be exceeded, which resulted in the tank rupturing and hazardous gas being released into the environment.
- **Human Factors**: Errors caused by humans contributed significantly to the outcome of the incident. A crucial error in judgment was made when it was decided to commence operations before undertaking a comprehensive inspection of the equipment and safety systems. It's possible that influences from the outside world, like the state of the economy or the difficulties brought by the COVID-19 pandemic, played a role in the making of this choice. The fact that plant staff lacked both the capacity to communicate with one another and awareness of safety regulations and emergency procedures increased the severity of the catastrophe.
- Regulatory Oversight: The incident drew attention to a number of shortcomings in regulatory
 oversight and enforcement. Even though there were standards and safety protocols in place to
 oversee the handling of dangerous chemicals, the incident suggested that these safeguards
 were not being followed properly. Unsafe practices were allowed to continue because there
 were not enough safety audits and inspections being conducted on a regular basis. This led to
 concerns over the efficiency of the regulatory structure designed to guarantee the safety of the
 industrial sector.
- Maintenance Practices: A critical component that played a role in laying the groundwork for the disaster was the absence of adequate maintenance performed during the period of outage. It is extremely important for businesses that deal with hazardous materials to do regular maintenance on their machinery and other systems to guarantee that they continue to operate effectively. The lack of maintenance on the cooling system made it susceptible to malfunction, which made the situation worse.
- Emergency Preparedness: The incident brought to light that we were not adequately prepared for emergency situations. The severity of the tragedy and the rapid spread of the deadly gas cloud complicated effective emergency management, even though local authorities and emergency services were responding to the situation. The preparedness to deal with widespread chemical disasters was shown to have holes as a result of this.

Last but not least, the styrene gas leak incident that occurred at LG Polymers was the consequence of a confluence of many variables, including technical failures, human errors, gaps in regulatory monitoring, maintenance procedures, and emergency preparedness measures. These elements, taken together, highlight the necessity of taking a comprehensive approach to industrial safety, one that includes routine maintenance, severe safety regulations, effective communication, vigilant regulatory monitoring, and thorough preparation for robust emergency response plans. The event serves as a sobering reminder of the potential consequences that might arise from disregarding any component of safety in businesses that are potentially hazardous.

5. IMPACT AND CONSEQUENCES

The occurrence of a styrene gas leak at LG Polymers in Visakhapatnam had a significant impact on many facets of human life, the environment, and the socioeconomic fabric of the surrounding area.

(Basu, 2020; Bhashkar, 2020; Ellis-Petersen et al., 2020; India Today, 2020b; NHRCI, 2020; Observer Research Foundation, 2020; Pathak, 2020).

- **Human Casualties and Injuries**: The gas spill caused many injuries and deaths instantly. Locals exposed to toxic styrene gas near the plant suffered acute respiratory distress, eye and skin irritation, and other health issues. Medical facilities struggled to handle the unexpected influx of patients due to the weak emergency response, which increased human casualties. This increased fatalities.
- **Health Effects and Long-Term Consequences**: Gas leak survivors experienced immediate and long-term health issues. Many studies have linked styrene to respiratory, neurological, and reproductive disorders. Concerns regarding long-term health impacts on gas victims emphasized the necessity for continuing monitoring and treatment.
- **Environmental Impact**: Styrene gas emissions hurt nature. The gas condensed on cooler surfaces, polluting the ground and streams. Contamination affected terrestrial and aquatic plant and animal ecosystems. This may have caused styrene to accumulate in the food chain, harming the ecology.
- Economic Repercussions: The incident caused significant economic losses for both individuals and businesses, and these losses were a direct result of the economic repercussions. As a result of the evacuation and the ensuing effects of the gas leak, a number of properties were destroyed, and the infrastructure was rendered inoperable. The local firms that were unable to function as a result of the crisis suffered financial losses as a direct result of the economic implications that were felt throughout the region. Legal actions that sought compensation for losses made the economic hardship worse.
- Legal and Corporate Accountability: The incident led to legal measures being taken against LG Polymers, with impacted individuals and communities seeking recompense for their losses and suffering. These legal actions were brought about as a result of LG Polymers' lack of accountability. The incident brought up questions regarding the accountability of corporations and the duty of companies to put safety above economic concerns. The judicial processes shed light on the more general issue of holding corporations accountable for the actions and negligence of their employees.
- Community Outcry and Psychological Impact: The gas leak caused public indignation and protests in the neighborhoods that were impacted, as well as in other areas. The event generated conversations on the susceptibility of marginalized groups to the effects of industrial disasters and brought attention to the significance of social justice in the relief and restoration efforts following a disaster. In addition, the emotional toll that the incident took on those who survived it, those who witnessed it, and those who responded to it cannot be understated because it has left permanent wounds on the collective psyche of the town.
- Shift in Public Awareness and Policy Focus: The incident served as a catalyst for a shift in both the public's awareness and the focus of policy. Inadequacies in industrial safety procedures, regulatory enforcement, and emergency response mechanisms were brought to light as a result of the accident. Because of this, talks regarding the necessity of a more robust regulatory framework, stringent compliance measures, and improved public knowledge about the possible hazards linked to hazardous sectors were encouraged.

Last but not least, the styrene gas leak disaster that occurred at LG Polymers created a trail of destruction that included the loss of human lives as well as damage to the environment, the economy, and the social fabric of the towns that were impacted. Because of the effects of the disaster, there is an immediate and pressing need for proactive safety measures, efficient emergency response systems, and transparent corporate responsibility. It serves as a sharp reminder that the repercussions of industrial irresponsibility can be severe and far-reaching, and it underscores the significance of preventing such tragedies through stringent safety measures and ongoing attention.

6. GOVERNMENT AND CORPORATE RESPONSE

The response of both the government and LG Polymers following the styrene gas leak incident at the plant in Visakhapatnam demonstrated the complex interplay between regulatory bodies and corporate entities, as well as the responsibilities they bear in the aftermath of a major industrial disaster (Basu, 2020; Bhashkar, 2020; Ellis-Petersen et al., 2020; India Today, 2020b; NHRCI, 2020; Observer Research Foundation, 2020; Pathak, 2020).

- The Government's Response: The early response from the government and local authorities was aimed at limiting the gas leak, giving medical aid to injured individuals, and evacuating residents from the impacted areas. On the other hand, the magnitude of the disaster and the difficulties in coordinating the emergency response activities revealed inadequacies in the readiness for disaster management.
- Investigations and Regulatory Actions: In the aftermath of the occurrence, investigations were begun to investigate the reasons for the incident as well as the variables that contributed to it. The plant's activities were inspected and evaluated by regulatory agencies to determine whether or not they comply with safety rules. The regulatory actions were taken with the intention of holding LG Polymers accountable for its role in the tragedy. This was done in order to highlight how important it is for industries to comply with the safety rules and regulations that are in place.
- Strengthening Industrial Safety: The accident woke up the government, leading officials to rethink industrial safety standards. After it became evident that more enforcement and regular safety audits were needed, discussions began about improving regulatory agencies' oversight of hazardous firms.
- Corporate Response LG Polymers: LG Polymers has issued remarks apologizing for the tragedy. The corporation assured investigators of their complete cooperation and promised to help affected towns and individuals.
- Cleanup and Remediation: LG Polymers promptly initiated a cleanup and remediation project to mitigate the gas leak's environmental impact. This includes cleaning up the soil, purifying the water, and taking further procedures to manage the styrene gas leak and reduce damage.
- **Safety and Operational Improvements**: After identifying the deficiencies that caused the event, LG Polymers improved its safety policies and operational practices. The company overhauled its maintenance practices, emphasizing routine inspections, equipment checks, and safety audits.

• Communication and Transparency: Discussions regarding the significance of open and honest communication between businesses and the general public were sparked as a result of the incident. In order to provide clarification regarding the incident and the events that followed, LG Polymers participated in public comments, released press statements, and cooperated with investigation organizations.

Last but not least, the government's reaction to the incident involving the leak of styrene gas consisted of swift crisis management, investigations, and regulatory efforts to ensure accountability and prevent further events. As the business entity at the core of the incident, LG Polymers displayed a commitment to correcting its mistakes by commencing cleanup activities, making safety enhancements, and participating in transparent communication. The tragedy brought to light the critical roles that corporate accountability and government supervision play in the prevention and management of industrial disasters. It also brought to light the necessity of coordinated efforts to secure the public's safety and the environment's protection.

7. LESSONS LEARNED AND REMEDIAL MEASURES

The styrene gas leak incident that occurred at LG Polymers in Visakhapatnam served as an eye-opening lesson for both the industry as a whole and the regulatory agencies that oversee it. As a result, a complete examination of industry procedures, protocols, and regulations was carried out with the goal of preventing similar tragedies in the future. The incident resulted in a number of lessons being learned and corrective actions being taken (Basu, 2020; Bhashkar, 2020; Ellis-Petersen et al., 2020; India Today, 2020b; NHRCI, 2020; Observer Research Foundation, 2020; Pathak, 2020). These actions were designed to improve industrial safety, regulatory compliance, and emergency preparedness.

- Corporate Safety Improvements: LG Polymers made the required improvements to prioritize safety before economics. The company boosted maintenance, equipment inspections, and safety audits. These measures were taken to reduce technical faults and ensure equipment functionality.
- Training and Preparedness: The incident highlighted the importance of training and preparedness for businesses and emergency response workers. Businesses must invest in comprehensive training programs that teach staff safety, emergency response, and crisis communication. This gives personnel the authority to respond quickly and appropriately in a crisis.
- **Regulatory Reforms**: The disaster forced a review of hazardous industry regulations. The regulators implemented several reforms. Regulatory reform switched to more safety enforcement, more safety audits, and improved compliance oversight. This was done to hold industries accountable for their practices and prevent safety regulation violations.
- Community Awareness and Involvement: The incident highlighted the need for improved community knowledge about the dangers posed by neighboring industrial sites and the importance of including the community in this effort. Residents were informed about potential dangers, emergency response processes, and strategies to keep themselves safe during times of crisis through the implementation of public education campaigns. The active participation of communities in the formulation of emergency plans and catastrophe preparedness methods was actively encouraged.

- Emergency Response Coordination: The difficulties that arose in the brief period of time immediately following the disaster brought to light the significance of efficient emergency response coordination. The need for comprehensive disaster management strategies that take into consideration catastrophic incidents involving significant quantities of hazardous materials has been recognized by national and local governments. Cooperation between different government agencies, open lines of communication, and sufficient resources were given high priority.
- Location and Urban Planning: This occurrence attracted attention to the problem of situating dangerous industries in heavily populated areas. The requirements for developing such facilities in close proximity to residential communities have recently been the subject of reevaluation by policymakers and urban planners. The potential dangers that could arise from situating hazardous industries in close proximity to densely populated areas prompted the proposal of more stringent rules.
- Transparency and Corporate Accountability: The incident highlighted how important it is for firms, regulatory authorities, and the general public to communicate in a transparent manner with one another. Companies were strongly encouraged to swiftly report events, participate in investigations, and accept responsibility for their conduct, and this was done in all capital letters. The tragedy also sparked a wider conversation on the responsibility of corporations to put safety ahead of profits in their decision-making processes.

Last but not least, LG Polymers' styrene gas leak prompted lessons learned and corrective efforts to prevent future disasters. The disaster underlined the need for corporate responsibility, regulatory reform, emergency response coordination, and community engagement. It was a turning point in safer industrial practices, openness, and responsibility to protect communities, the environment, and workers.

8. CONCLUSION

The LG Polymers Styrene Gas Leak disaster in Visakhapatnam shows the serious consequences of industrial safety, regulatory monitoring, and corporate accountability mistakes. After the accident, procedures, regulations, and preparedness across many sectors were reevaluated, resulting in a shared commitment to averting repeat tragedies. The tragedy brought to light the requirement for a more comprehensive and preventative strategy for occupational safety. This highlighted the fact that safety standards cannot be sacrificed for the sake of economic concerns and that the repercussions of neglect can be disastrous not only for human lives but also for the environment. The occurrence shed light on the complex interaction of blunders made by humans, lapses in regulation, and malfunctioning technology that can lead to catastrophic outcomes.

The episode prompted talks regarding the significance of having solid regulatory frameworks, tough compliance procedures, and efficient enforcement mechanisms from the point of view of the government. The apparatus for disaster management was reexamined, with a particular emphasis placed on increasing emergency response capabilities, interagency collaboration, and community involvement in the design of preparatory measures. On the business front, the incident prompted some soul-searching and a paradigm shift toward placing a higher priority on employee safety. The manner in which LG Polymers responded to the incident, which included making improvements to safety, enhancing maintenance processes, and communicating openly, served as a model for corporate accountability and responsiveness.

The tragedy highlighted the necessity for firms to be proactive in recognizing and eliminating possible hazards, placing the well-being of employees, communities, and the environment as their first priority. In larger terms, the disaster generated a wider public dialogue on topics such as urban planning, industrial location, and the coexistence of hazardous industries and communities. It sparked calls for the rethinking of industrial zones in order to provide a safe distance from population centers, minimize potential dangers, and promote a harmonious relationship between industrial progress and human well-being.

The styrene gas leak that occurred at LG Polymers in Visakhapatnam ultimately acted as a catalyst for change, motivating stakeholders from a wide variety of businesses, regulatory authorities, and communities to work together toward a safer and more resilient future. It demonstrated the critical importance of maintaining a heightened state of alertness at all times, adhering to stringent safety measures, advocating for regulatory reform, and holding corporations accountable for their actions. The lessons learned from this catastrophe will continue to guide the policies, procedures, and solutions put in place as a result of it. This will ensure that the disastrous effects will not occur again and that the protection of human lives, the environment, and society in general will continue to be of the utmost importance.

REFERENCES

Basu, M. (2020). How styrene, chemical being blamed for Vizag gas leak deaths, affect human body. The Print.

Bhashkar, U. (2020). LG Polymers admits leaking vapor from gas storage tank caused Vizag tragedy. The Livemint.

Ellis-Petersen, H., Safi, M., Dhillon, A., Chandrasekhar, A. (2020). Gas leak at chemical factory in India kills at least nine and hospitalises hundreds. The Guardian.

Pathak, A. (2020). Technical glitch in refrigeration unit caused Visakhapatnam gas leak: Official. India TV

The Associated Press – AP (2020, May 31). Probe blames safety lapses for deadly India gas leak.

BBC News (2020, May 7). Hundreds injured and eight dead in Indian gas leak.

Firstpost (2020, May 13). Vizag gas leak: LG Chem plant operated with state permits since 1997 despite lacking environmental clearance from Centre.

India Today (2020a, May 7). Massive gas leak in Visakhapatnam, thousands affected, Centre monitoring situation: 10 points.

India Today (2020b, May 7). Vizag gas leak: How events unfolded & why it happened

India TV News (2020, May 13). Vizag gas tragedy: LG Polymers lacked environmental clearance before styrene gas leak.

National Human Rights Commission of India - NHRCI (2020, May 7). NHRC notice to Andhra Pradesh Government and Centre over deaths and sufferings to several people due to styrene gas leakage in Vizag District.

Observer Research Foundation (2020, June 4). The cause behind Visakhapatnam gas leak tragedy.

The Times of India (2020, May 7). Gas Leak in Vizag: Glitch in refrigeration unit led to Vizag gas leak. Visakhapatnam News - Times of India.

AUTHOR

Jayandran Mohan holds an NVQ Level VI Diploma in Safety, is an ISO 45001 and 14001 Internal Auditor, and is a member of IOSH, IIRSM, ICOH, IAOH, WSO, ANZMH, CMIOSH, RSP, and SIIRSM. He has over 19 years of experience in Occupational Health, Safety, and the Environment. He has written over 20 OHSE articles in national and international HSE magazines; his latest research paper "Bio Gas Holder HAZOP Study and Semi-Quantitative Fire Risk Assessment of Organic Matter: Keys for Fire Accident Prevention in Bio Mass Plants" appeared in the Journal of Safety Engineering in July 2018. Further, he has authored two books on safety management.



CITATION:

Mohan, J. (2023). Lessons Learned from the Styrene Gas Leak at LG Polymers: Enhancing Industrial Safety, Regulatory Compliance, and Community Resilience! World Safety Journal, XXXII(3), 36–45. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.8372070



World Safety Journal

A peer-reviewed journal, published by the World Safety Organization

Journal Homepage: https://worldsafety.org/wso-world-safety-journal/



Integrating STEM Education and OHS: A Comprehensive Approach for Effective Learning!

Mireille B. Choueiri^{1*} and Elias M. Choueiri²

- ¹ Member of the Beirut Bar Association, Lebanon
- ² WSO Board Member and Liaison Officer to the United Nations; Professor at several Lebanese universities

KEYWORDS

ABSTRACT

STEM Education OHS Integration This paper explores the complex relationship between STEM (science, technology, engineering, and mathematics) education and occupational health and safety (OHS) practices, proposing an in-depth analysis of their integration for improved educational outcomes. It gives educators, policymakers, and researchers who want to create a holistic and effective learning environment an in-depth look at the different benefits of STEM education and OHS principles, a look at different ways to combine them, and detailed case studies.

1. INTRODUCTION

ducation is the cornerstone of societal development in the ever-changing landscape of the 21st century, shaping individuals who will lead, innovate, and navigate the complexities of a globalized world. The modern era is characterized by accelerated technological advancements, interconnected economies, and heightened social and environmental awareness. As a result, the demands on education have expanded beyond the traditional domains of knowledge dissemination, necessitating the integration of diverse disciplines to produce individuals who are well-rounded and prepared for complex challenges (Hanks, 2014).

STEM education, an approach that transcends traditional academic silos, is at the forefront of contemporary educational paradigms. STEM education has risen to prominence due to its transformative potential in equipping students with the essential skills required for the swiftly evolving digital landscape. By emphasizing hands-on learning, problem-solving, and collaboration, STEM education cultivates a mindset of curiosity, adaptability, and resiliency that not only prepares students for specific careers but also for the continuous learning required by the ever-changing technological realm (Trybula, 2016; Love, 2019; Shendell, 2020).

This paper argues for the symbiotic incorporation of STEM education and OHS principles as a groundbreaking educational approach that transcends conventional boundaries. The integration is not intended to dilute the emphasis on technical proficiency but rather to enhance it with ethical

^{*} Corresponding Author: choueirimireilleb@gmail.com

considerations, environmental consciousness, and safety consciousness. This integration results in an education that cultivates individuals who are not only adept at developing technological solutions but also dedicated to protecting the health, safety, and ethical integrity of individuals and the environment.

2. BACKGROUND

The power of STEM education resides in its capacity to cultivate not only technical proficiency but also a profound understanding of how different disciplines intersect and interact to solve complex real-world problems. Through initiatives that require the integration of multiple subject areas, students develop the ability to think critically, creatively, and innovatively—skills necessary for success in a variety of professions. In addition, STEM education is a catalyst for bridging the gap between theoretical knowledge and practical application, enabling students to translate their learning into tangible solutions that address real societal problems (Trybula, 2016; Shendell, 2020; Kimble-Hill, 2021; Cranmer, 2022; Love, 2023).

Concurrently, the modern world has witnessed a heightened emphasis on OHS practices as a result of a heightened awareness of the human and environmental consequences of technological advancements. The OHS principles comprise a holistic view of safety that extends beyond physical well-being to include psychological and environmental dimensions (Love, 2020; Love et al., 2022; Love, 2023; Love et al., 2023).

OHS principles emphasize the identification and mitigation of risks, the establishment of safe work environments, and the promotion of responsible practices that place an emphasis on the health and safety of individuals and their environments. This requires not only compliance with regulations but also the development of a proactive mindset that anticipates and prevents potential risks. It extends to educational institutions, recreational spaces, and even residences, highlighting the interdependence of safety and well-being in every aspect of life (Hanks, 2014).

As STEM education prepares students with technical proficiency and an innovative mindset, the incorporation of OHS principles creates a holistic educational approach that cultivates students with a profound sense of responsibility for their own and others' well-being. In a world where technological advancements have the potential to bring about both transformative benefits and unintended consequences, the interplay between STEM and OHS is not only complementary but essential (Hanks et al., 2014; Kelley et al., 2016; Trybula, 2016; Horton et al., 2018; Love et al., 2020; Shendell, 2020; Cranmer, 2022; Marge, 2023; Love, 2023).

In Sections 3 through 6, we will explore how STEM education influences OHS and increases public awareness (Hanks et al., 2014; Kelley et al., 2016; Trybula, 2016; Horton et al., 2018; Love, 2019; Love et al., 2020; Shendell, 2020; Kimble-Hill, 2021; Cranmer et al., 2022; Love, 2022; Love et al., 2022a; Love et al., 2022b; Love, 2023; Love et al., 2023; Marge, 2023; Olawoyin et al., 2023). The following information is illustrative and by no means exhaustive, but it covers the major points.

3. SYNERGY BETWEEN STEM EDUCATION AND OHS

The integration of STEM education and OHS principles exemplifies a potent synergy that transcends the mere convergence of disciplines. It represents a paradigm in education that combines technical expertise with a profound commitment to safety, ethics, and responsible innovation. This section examines the complex relationship between STEM education and OHS principles, casting light on how

their harmonious coexistence produces well-rounded individuals equipped to excel in a complex and interconnected world.

3.1 Advantages of STEM Education: Nurturing Technical Proficiency and Innovation

STEM education is an educational philosophy that bridges the gaps between science, technology, engineering, and mathematics, nurturing an interdisciplinary learning approach that reflects the interconnectedness of the modern world. STEM education empowers students with a skill set that transcends traditional academic boundaries by emphasizing experiential learning, collaborative problem-solving, and the application of theoretical concepts in practice. The ability of STEM education to cultivate critical thinking, creativity, and innovation is one of its primary benefits. Students learn to approach problems with a combination of analytical rigor and inventive ingenuity through hands-on projects and real-world challenges. This innovative mindset is not limited to the realms of science and technology; it also encompasses the creation of novel solutions in engineering, mathematics, and even non-STEM fields. This adaptability and originality are essential for addressing complex problems that require innovative solutions. In addition, STEM education fosters collaborative abilities. Numerous STEM projects necessitate interdisciplinary collaboration, simulating the real-world dynamics of contemporary workplaces in which professionals from diverse backgrounds work together to address complex problems. Students learn to communicate effectively, leverage one another's assets, and navigate the complexities of team dynamics by engaging in collaborative projects.

3.2 OHS Principles: A Holistic Approach to Safety and Responsibility

The principles of OHS go beyond the notion of safety as the avoidance of physical injury. The OHS perspective is multidimensional, encompassing physical, mental, and environmental health. Emphasis is placed on preventing accidents, protecting mental health, and nurturing environments that prioritize the well-being of individuals and their surroundings. OHS principles emphasize the identification and mitigation of risks, the promotion of preventative measures, and the development of a safety-conscious culture. These principles are especially important in environments where technology is profoundly integrated, as technological advancements frequently introduce new forms of risk and danger. The incorporation of OHS principles into STEM education highlights the significance of contemplating safety implications during the design, development, and implementation of technological solutions. This strategy correlates with the overarching objective of OHS, which is to ensure the health and safety of individuals in diverse environments. Moreover, OHS principles encourage ethical accountability and environmental sustainability. OHS fosters a sense of responsibility for the impact of technological decisions on both humans and the environment by recognizing the interconnectedness of safety with larger societal and ecological concerns. This is consistent with the broader appeal for responsible innovation—innovation that not only advances technology but also upholds ethical standards and ecological equilibrium.

The convergence of STEM education and OHS principles is more than an amalgamation of ideas; it is a harmonious synergy that molds individuals into competent innovators and responsible members of society. STEM education gives students the technical and cognitive skills to improve technology and solve complicated issues. While this is going on, OHS principles instill a strong sense of responsibility for both human and environmental well-being, ensuring that ethical and safety considerations accompany technological advancement.

4. STRATEGIES FOR HOLISTIC INTEGRATION: NURTURING RESPONSIBLE INNOVATORS

STEM education and OHS principles are dynamically integrated to create a learning environment that fosters responsible innovators who value safety, ethics, and the well-being of people and the environment. This section discusses STEM-OHS integration initiatives to give students the abilities and sensitivities to handle complicated issues with competence and accountability.

4.1 Contextual Learning: Bridging Theory and Reality

The combination of STEM and OHS is based on contextual learning. This technique integrates STEM principles into OHS-related real-world scenarios. Thus, educators bridge theoretical knowledge with practical application, helping students realize knowledge domains' interdependence and the tangible repercussions of their decisions. In a chemistry class, contextual learning may entail examining the chemical properties of substances used in common household products while discussing their potential health and environmental effects. This method not only enhances students' knowledge of chemistry but also instills in them a sense of responsibility regarding the ethical implications of their decisions.

Contextual learning also encompasses STEM initiatives in which students develop creative solutions to real-world problems. By incorporating OHS considerations into project design, educators encourage students to consider not only technical feasibility but also safety, ethics, and environmental sustainability.

4.2 Project-Based Learning: Fostering Ethical Decision-Making

Fostering Ethical Decision-Making Project-based learning provides a dynamic platform for the integration of STEM education and OHS principles. This strategy encourages students to collaborate on initiatives that reflect the multidisciplinary nature of actual problems. Involvement in such endeavors not only sharpens technical abilities but also accentuates ethical decision-making and a profound sense of responsibility. Imagine a project in which students are tasked with designing smart city infrastructure that optimizes energy consumption. This project involves engineering and technology but also requires consideration of environmental impact, public safety, and citizen wellbeing. By requiring students to strike a balance between technical innovation and OHS awareness, educators foster comprehension of the global implications of their decisions.

In accordance with experiential learning principles, project-based learning allows students to learn from both success and failure. Students internalize the significance of responsible innovation and the repercussions of disregarding safety considerations as a result of undertaking complex projects requiring adherence to safety protocols.

4.3 Case Studies and Simulations: Immersive Learning Environments

Immersive Learning Environments Case studies and simulations generate immersive learning experiences that emphasize the intersection of STEM education and OHS principles. Case studies provide students with real-world scenarios in which STEM knowledge intersects with OHS challenges, requiring them to analyze ethical dilemmas, evaluate risks, and make informed decisions. Simulations go a step further by providing students with interactive experiences that mimic real-world scenarios. In a virtual laboratory simulation, for instance, students may be required to navigate the challenges of a

chemical leak while considering the safety of personnel and the environment. By allowing students to engage virtually in situations requiring the application of STEM and OHS concepts, educators enable students to develop analytical thinking, ethical reasoning, and crisis management skills.

As students immerse themselves in scenarios in which their decisions have direct effects on the well-being of individuals and the environment, case studies and simulations also foster a heightened sense of empathy. This visceral connection improves knowledge retention and reinforces the notion that STEM and OHS are not separate disciplines but rather interconnected aspects of responsible innovation. The strategies for integrating STEM education and OHS principles transcend traditional learning compartments. They reflect a change in pedagogy that acknowledges the interdependence of knowledge domains and the significance of holistic competencies. These strategies empower students to become not only technically competent but also responsible innovators who place an emphasis on the well-being of individuals and the environment.

5. CASE STUDIES: DEMONSTRATING PRACTICAL APPLICATION

Through the lens of these real-world scenarios, students can directly observe how the integration of STEM knowledge and OHS awareness yields responsible and innovative solutions. This section explores two case studies—robotics lab safety and chemistry experiments—to illustrate the practical implementation of this integration and its transformative effect on both technical competence and ethical responsibility.

Case Study I: Robotics Lab Safety: Navigating Innovation and Protection

The robotics facility is emblematic of the intersection of technological innovation and safety concerns. Integrating OHS principles into a robotics laboratory enhances students' comprehension that technological advancements must be aligned with safety measures to prevent accidents and protect individuals' health and safety. Imagine a scenario in which students are tasked with designing a manufacturing-assist robot. As they construct and program the robot, they are confronted with OHS considerations, such as ensuring that the robot's movements pose no danger to human operators and integrating emergency shutdown mechanisms. Students learn that technical expertise is most valuable when combined with ethical considerations that prioritize the safety of individuals working alongside these devices by incorporating these principles into their designs. This case study encourages students to consider the broader implications of their work beyond their immediate endeavors. They begin to understand that robotics has applications in disciplines ranging from healthcare to manufacturing and that each application requires a unique safety strategy. This understanding instills a culture of ethical responsibility that extends beyond the classroom and into the real world, where their innovations may have a significant impact on society.

Case Study II: Chemistry Safety and Environmental Responsibility

Integrating occupational safety and health principles into chemistry laboratory exercises transforms routine classroom activities into profound teachings on responsible scientific inquiry. This approach teaches students engaging with chemicals and substances ethical considerations and environmental responsibility in addition to fundamental chemical concepts. Consider a chemistry experiment involving the synthesis of a compound. By incorporating OHS principles, students would not only concentrate on achieving the desired chemical reaction but also assess the potential dangers posed by the chemicals involved. This encourages them to don safety equipment, conduct experiments in well-

ventilated areas, and dispose of waste properly. Students learn that responsible scientific inquiry requires more than academic curiosity; it also necessitates an awareness of the hazards and ethical implications of their actions. This case study illustrates the relationship between chemistry and environmental stewardship. Students begin to consider the environmental impact of chemicals used in laboratory experiments and investigate methods to reduce their ecological footprint. By considering the broader ramifications of their actions, students develop a heightened sense of responsibility that extends beyond the classroom and prepares them to become conscientious citizens who consider the effects of their actions on human health and the environment.

In summary, STEM education and OHS principles translate theoretical knowledge into responsible and innovative actions in Case Studies I and II. These real-world instances show students how technical work is ethical. In addition to improving their technical skills, students learn to prioritize safety, ethics, the environment, and people.

6. BENEFITS AND CHALLENGES

STEM education and OHS principles provide a paradigm shift in education that goes beyond technical expertise. This strategy develops skilled innovators who can flourish in multiple fields while promoting ethical responsibility and safety. STEM education and workplace health and safety promote well-rounded skills. Students learn critical thinking, creative problem-solving, and effective communication in addition to technical skills. These skills enable people to solve problems from many perspectives, supporting ethical and safe innovation. In an interconnected world where technology crosses boundaries, STEM and OHS equip students for the complexity of a range of careers. This technique ensures graduates have technical abilities for their fields and a strong sense of safety, ethics, and environmental sustainability. Integrating STEM and OHS ideas with their practical applications motivates students. Tangible projects that bridge theory and practice help students feel connected and purposeful. This enhanced participation improves knowledge retention and understanding of STEM and OHS connections.

STEM instructors, STEM specialists, and OHS professionals must work together to integrate STEM and OHS principles. To give students a well-rounded education, these two areas must be properly planned and coordinated into a coherent curriculum. STEM education and OHS may require updated instructional materials and safety equipment. Educational institutions must balance safety and cost while providing hands-on learning tools. Effectively training educators to teach integrated content is difficult. The technical parts of STEM and OHS must be taught alongside the pedagogical methods that enhance their impact. Teachers need ongoing professional development to properly assist students through both fields' intricacies. STEM education with OHS principles has huge potential. This educational method prepares students to be technically competent and socially responsible, enabling a new generation of innovators who emphasize human and environmental well-being. While tough, the barriers are surmountable. The dedication of educators, institutions, and stakeholders to holistic student development is essential for this integration to succeed.

7. CONCLUSION

STEM education and OHS principles represent a paradigm shift in education that transcends traditional limits. It reflects an advance in pedagogy that gives pupils technical skills for modern occupations and a deep sense of responsibility for others and the environment. STEM education and OHS concepts represent the 21st century's dynamic needs for innovation, technology, ethics, and safety. By

integrating these disciplines, instructors develop students who understand the consequences of their actions and ideas, not just technical skills. This integration emphasizes that innovation is powerful but requires ethical and safety management. This prepares students for a world where technological improvements can transform sectors but also require more safety awareness. Students will be ready to lead in a way that protects technical development and individual well-being when they graduate.

Teachers, institutions, industry professionals, and politicians must integrate STEM and OHS. Aligning curricula, assigning resources, and training instructors to deliver integrated content are needed. Beyond the classroom, industries must value technical, safe, and ethical personnel. Integration creates an imaginative, responsible workforce, making the planet more sustainable, egalitarian, and secure. Integration generates talented innovators and safety advocates who can address complex problems. Technical expertise, critical thinking, creative problem-solving, safety, and ethics are their strengths. These characteristics will position innovators at the forefront of addressing the complex challenges of our time. They will advance technological development while contemplating the effects of their decisions on human welfare, societal values, and environmental sustainability. By incorporating OHS principles into innovation processes, these individuals will nurture a culture of safety, ethics, and responsibility that permeates industries and communities.

Last but not least, the integration of STEM education and OHS principles is more than a theoretical concept; it is a vision for education that reflects the changing demands of society. It propels students beyond the confines of traditional academic divisions and prepares them to be change agents. As technological advancements continue to reshape our world, it is essential that the leaders of the future possess not only technical expertise but also the wisdom to utilize innovation responsibly. In the expanding intersection of STEM and OHS, education emerges as a catalyst for progress, fostering a harmonious relationship between competence and responsibility.

REFERENCES

- Cranmer, A., & Chandler-Grevatt, A. (2022). Health and safety in science. In Science Teaching in Secondary Schools (pp. 99-118). SAGE Publications Ltd.
- Hanks, J. C., Tate, J., Fazarro, D., Trybula, W., McLean, R. J., Dutta, S., ... & Russell, Z. (2014, November). Fostering Ethical, Social, Environmental, Health, and Safety Awareness in Tomorrow's Engineers and Technologists. In ASME International Mechanical Engineering Congress and Exposition (Vol. 46637, p. V014T08A029). American Society of Mechanical Engineers.
- Horton, J., Cameron, A., Devaraj, D., Hanson, R. T., & Hajkowicz, S. A. (2018). Workplace safety futures: the impact of emerging technologies and platforms on work health and safety and workers' compensation over the next 20 years. Canberra, ACT, Australia: CSIRO.
- Kelley, T. R., & Knowles, J. G. (2016). A conceptual framework for integrated STEM education. International Journal of STEM education, 3, 1-11.
- Kimble-Hill, A. C. (2021). Incorporating identity safety into the laboratory safety culture. ACS Chemical Health & Safety, 28(2), 103-111.
- Love, T. S. (2023). Embedding Safety in Integrative STEM Teaching Methods Courses for Pre-Service Elementary Educators. Journal of Technology Education, 34(2).
- Love, T. S., Roy, K. R., & Sirinides, P. (2023). A national study examining safety factors and training associated with STEM education and CTE laboratory accidents in the United States. Safety science, 160, 106058.
- Love, T. S. (2022). Examining the influence that professional development has on educators' perceptions of integrated STEM safety in makerspaces. Journal of Science Education and Technology, 31(3), 289-302.
- Love, T. S., Roy, K. R., Gill, M., & Harrell, M. (2022a). Examining the influence that safety training format has on educators' perceptions of safer practices in makerspaces and integrated STEM labs. Journal of safety research, 82, 112-123.

- Love, T., Sirinides, P., & Roy, K. (2022b). Examining factors associated with accidents in CTE and STEM education labs: A national safety study.
- Love, T. S., Roy, K. R., & Sirinides, P. (2021). Factors with the greatest impact on safety in Pennsylvania's T&E courses.
- Love, T. S., Duffy, B. C., Loesing, M. L., Roy, K. R., & West, S. S. (2020). Safety in STEM education standards and frameworks: A comparative content analysis. Technology and Engineering Teacher, 80(3), 34-38.
- Love, T. S. (2019). Examining the progress of safety in STEM education. Technology and Engineering Teacher, 78(6), 15-17.
- Love, T. S. (2013). Addressing safety and liability in STEM education: A review of important legal issues and case law. The Journal of Technology Studies, 28-41.
- Marge, M. (2023). Protecting the Health and Safety of Civilians in the Future Space Workforce. Journal of Space Safety Engineering, 10(1), 22-26.
- Olawoyin, R., & Ogutu, J. (2023). The future of the US safety & health workforce: Opportunities for academic diversity, equity, and inclusion through a leak-proof career pathway. Journal of Safety Research.
- Shendell, D. G., Shah, N. N., & Jones, L. E. (2020). Supporting Students and Young Professionals in Environmental and Occupational Health, Safety, Science, and Policy-Related Graduate Programs. Journal of Environmental Health, 82(8).
- Trybula, W., Fazarro, D., Hanks, C., & Tate, J. (2016). Nanotechnology Safety Education. Global Perspectives of Nanoscience and Engineering Education, 223-235.

AUTHORS

Mireille B. CHOUEIRI, LLM, is an experienced attorney-at-law, with exposure to a wide variety of legal areas, including arbitration, commercial law, public policy and human rights. She has represented clients in cases pertaining mainly to civil and real estate disputes. She has graduated from top law schools in the United States of America, France and Lebanon. She is fluent in English, French, and Arabic, and is proficient in Spanish. She is a member of WSO's National Office for Lebanon, and serves as vice president of Lebanese Association for Public Safety.





Prof. Dr. Elias M. CHOUEIRI has been very active in academic and research settings for over 35 years. He is the author/co-author of over 20 books and booklets, and hundreds of refereed publications, technical reports, conference presentations and newspaper articles. He has won more than 20 awards for his scholarship, and has held faculty and managerial positions at several public and private institutions in Lebanon and the USA. He is a member of the WSO Board of Directors, and serves as WSO Liaison Officer to the United Countries. Besides, he assumes the roles of Director of WSO's National Office for Lebanon, Chairperson of the WSO Highway Transportation Committee, and Chairperson of the WSO Transportation of Dangerous Goods Committee.

CITATION:

Choueiri, M., & Choueiri, E. (2023). *Integrating STEM Education and OHS: A Comprehensive Approach for Effective Learning!* World Safety Journal, XXXII(3), 46–53. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.8372086

World Safety Organization (WSO)

The WSO was founded in 1975 in Manila, The Republic of the Philippines, as a result of a gathering of over 1,000 representatives of safety professionals from all continents at the First World Safety and Accident Prevention Congress. The WSO World Management Center was established in the United States of America in 1985 to be responsible for all WSO activities, the liaison with the United Countries, the co-operation with numerous Safety Councils, professional safety/environmental (and allied areas) organizations, WSO International Chapters/Offices, Member Corporations, companies, groups, societies, etc. The WSO is a non-profit, non-sectarian, non-political organization dedicated to: "Making Safety a Way of Life ... Worldwide."

World Safety Organization Activities

WSO publishes WSO Newsletters, World Safety Journal, and WSO Conference Proceedings.

WSO provides a network program linking various areas of professional expertise needed in today's international community.

WSO develops and accredits educational programs essential to national and international safety and establishes centers to support these programs.

WSO receives proposals from professional safety groups/ societies for review and, if applicable, submits them to the United Countries for adoption.

WSO presents annual awards: The James K. Williams Award, Glenn E. Hudson International Award, J. Peter Cunliffe Transportation Award, Concerned Citizen, Concerned Company/Corporation, Concerned Organization, Educational Award, WSO Chapter/National Office of the Year, and Award for Achievement in Scientific Research and Development.

WSO provides recognition for safety publications, films, videos, and other training and media materials that meet the WSO required educational standards.

WSO establishes and supports divisions and committees to assist members in maintaining and updating their professional qualifications and expertise.

WSO has Chapters and National/International Offices located throughout the world, providing contact with local communities, educational institutions, and industrial entities.

WSO organizes and provides professional support for inter- national and national groups of experts on all continents who are available to provide expertise and immediate help in times of emergencies.

Benefits of Membership

WSO publishes the "WSO Consultants Directory" as a service to its Members and to the Professional Community. Only Certified Members may be listed.

WSO collects data on the professional skills, expertise, and experience of its Members in the WSO Expertise Bank for a reference when a request is received for professional expertise, skill, or experience.

WSO provides a network system to its Members whereby professional assistance may be requested by an individual, organization, state, or country or a personal basis. Members needing assistance may write to the WSO with a specific request, and the WSO, through its Membership and other professional resources, will try to link the requester with a person, organization, or other resource which may be of assistance.

WSO provides all Members with a Membership Certificate for display on their office wall and with a WSO Membership Identification Card. The WSO awards a Certificate of Honorary Membership to the corporations, companies, and other entities paying the WSO Membership and/or WSO Certification fees for their employees.

Members have access to WSO Newsletters and other member- ship publications of the WSO on the WSO website, and may request hard copies by contacting the WSO World Management Center. Subscription fees apply to certain publications.

Members are entitled to reduced fees at seminars, conferences, and classes given by the WSO. This includes local, regional, and international programs. When Continuing Education Units (CEUs) are applicable, an appropriate certificate is issued.

Members who attend conferences, seminars, and classes receive a Certificate of Attendance from the WSO. For individuals attending courses sponsored by the WSO, a Certificate of Completion is issued upon completion of each course.

Members receive special hotel rates when attending safety pro- grams, conferences, etc., sponsored by the WSO.

Membership

The World Safety Organization has members who are full time professionals, executives, directors, etc., working in the safety and accident prevention fields, including university professors, private consultants, expert witnesses, researchers, safety managers, directors of training, etc. They are employees of multinational corporations, local industries, private enterprises, governments, and educational institutions. Membership in the World Safety Organization is open to all individuals and entities involved in the safety and accident prevention field, regardless of race, color, creed, ideology, religion, social status, sex, or political beliefs

Membership Categories

Associate Membership: Individuals connected with safety and accident prevention in their work or individuals interested in the safety field, including students, interested citizens, etc. Affiliate Membership: Safety, hazard, risk, loss, and accident prevention practitioners working as full time practitioners in the safety field. Only Affiliate Members are eligible for the WSO Certification and Registration Programs. Institutional Membership: Organizations, corporations, agencies, and other entities directly or indirectly involved in safety activities and other related fields. **Sustaining/Corporate** Member: Individuals, companies, corporations, organizations or other entities and selected groups, interested in the international effort to "Make Safety A Way of Life ... Worldwide."

The WSO Membership Application is included on the following pages and is also available on the WSO website: https://worldsafety.org/quick-downloads/

WSO – Application for Membership

[✓] Application Fee \$20.00 USD Associate Membership \$65.00 USD Affiliate Membership \$90.00 USD Institutional Membership*) \$195.00 USD Corporate Membership*) \$1000.00 USD	PLEASE specify your area of professional expertise. This information will be entered into the WSO "Bank of Professional Skills," which serves as a pool of information when a request for a consultant/information/expertise in a specific area of the profession is requested.
*) In case of institution, agency, corporation, etc., please indicate name, title, and mailing address of the authorized representative. (Please print or type.) NAME (Last, First, Middle) Mr. Ms. Mrs. Dr. Engr. BIRTHDATE: POSITION/TITLE: COMPANY NAME AND ADDRESS:	Occupational Safety and Health (OS&H) Environmental Safety and Health (EH&S) Fire Safety/Science (FS&S) Safety/Loss Control Science (S&LC) Public Safety/Health (PS&H) Construction Safety (CS) Transportation Safety (TS) Industrial Hygiene (IH) Product Safety (PRO) Risk Management (RM) Hazardous (Toxic) Materials Management (HAZ) Nuclear Safety (NS)
HOME ADDRESS: Preferred	Aviation Safety (AS) Ergonomics (ERG) Petroleum (PS) Oil Wells (OW) Other:
BUSINESS PHONE: FAX: CELL PHONE: HOME PHONE:	PAYMENT OPTIONS For secure Credit Card Payment, please visit the SHOP on WSO's website (https://worldsafety.org/shop) and select "WSO Membership Application Fee" to make your payment. You will receive an emailed invoice for the Membership Fee upon approval.
E-MAIL ADDRESS(ES): PROFESSIONAL MEMBERSHIP(S), DESIGNATION(S), LICENSE(S): EDUCATION (degree(s) held):	Check or Money Order payable to WSO may be mailed with application packet to: WSO-WMC, Attn: Membership Coordinator, PO Box 518, Warrensburg MO 64093 USA. International postal money orders or bank drafts with a U.S. routing number are acceptable for applicants outside the United States. For alternate payment arrangements, please contact WSO-WMC.
REFERRAL If you were referred by someone, please list his/her name(s), chapter, division, etc.: WSO Member:	Annual dues hereafter will be billed and payable on the anniversary date of your membership. U.S. funds only. By submitting this application, you are accepting that WSO will use the information provided to perform an independent verification of employer, credentials, etc.
WSO Chapter: WSO Division/Committee: Other:	Mail or email completed form, along with current résumé/CV: WSO World Management Center PO Box 518 Warrensburg, Missouri 64093 USA Phone 660-747-3132 FAX 660-747-2647 membership@worldsafety.org

Instructions | Complete all applicable fields and mail to WSO World Management Center, PO Box 518, Warrensburg, MO 64093 USA, email to membership@worldsafety.org, or fax to 1-660-747-2647. For assistance completing this application, please call 1-660-747-3132, or email questions to membership@worldsafety.org.

Membership Level Choose Or		
☐ College/University Student Member	•	
You will receive all member benefits including	•	orld Safety Journal
and WSO NewsLetter, as well as access to I	_	
☐ Middle/High School Student Member		
You will receive all member benefits including		ld Safety Journal
and WSO NewsLetter, excluding access to V	VSO'S Mentor Program.	
Last Name/Family Name		
		OM OF
First Name/Given Name	Initial	(Gender)
Birthdate MM / DD / YYYY (Application must include exact bit	irthdate with year to be processed.)	
Current Street Address 🔲 On Campus 🚨 Off Camp	OUS (Attach separate sheet if you nee	ed more room for your address.)
City	State/Province	Country
		□ Landline □ Mobile
Zip/Postal Code Telephone Num	iber (including area code)	(Type)
Permanent Street Address		
Cimalen Occiracio		
City	State/Province	Country
City	Statest Tovince	•
7: /Death Code	the first feet and the	□ Landline □ Mobile
Zip/Postal Code Telephone Nun	nber (including area code)	(Type)
Send mail to: Current Address Permanent Ad	ld	
Send mail to: Current Address C Permanent Ad	iuress	
Email Address(es)		
COLLEGE/UNIVERSITY STUDENT		
Category: Undergraduate Graduate/Post-Gradu	iate	
outegory. a dimengrammate a crammateri ost-cramm	aute.	
Degree(s) Sought/Obtained		
Degree(s) Sought/Obtained		
Name of College/University	Campu	5
WINDLE LUNGUL COLLEGE CTURENT		
MIDDLE / HIGH SCHOOL STUDENT		
🗆 I am a Middle Schooler in: 🗅 6th Grade 🗅 7th G	rade 🗆 8th Grade	
□ Iam a High School: □ Freshman □ Sophomore	□ Junior □ Senior	
a rama mym ownoor. A rresminan a sopnomore	- Junior - Senior	
Name of School		
Approximate Date of Graduation (MM / YYYY)		

If you were referred by someone, please list name(s division, etc.:	s), chapter,
WSO Member:	
WSO Chapter/National Office:	
WSO Division/Committee:	
Other:	
What Interests You? Please specify your area(s) of interest. These areas will allow you to connect with others who share simil throughout the world. [] Occupational Safety and Health (OS&H) [] Environmental Safety and Health (EH&S) [] Fire Safety/Science (FS&S) [] Safety/Loss Control Science (S&LC) [] Public Safety/Health (PS&H) [] Construction Safety (CS)	
[] Transportation Safety (TS) [] Industrial Hygiene (IH) [] Product Safety (PRO) [] Risk Management (RM) [] Hazardous (Toxic) Materials Management (HAZ [] Nuclear Safety (NS) [] Aviation Safety (AS) [] Ergonomics (ERG) [] Petroleum (PS) [] Oil Wells (OW) [] Other:)
Required Signatures & Permission I subscribe to the above record and when approved will be gove Constitution and By-Laws of WSO and its Code of Ethics as I co member. I furthermore agree to promote the objectives of the W and whenever possible. X Applicant Signature	emed by the ontinue as a
FOR MID/HIGH SCHOOLERS ONY: WSO subscribes to the Fational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA) philosophy in protecting and information. WSO may disclose "directory" information such name, WSO Student Chapter affiliation, name of school, grader along with group or individual photos in WSO NewsLetters, New eNews, on WSO website, and on WSO's social media accounts. My student has permission to participate as outlined about the My student has permission to participate with exclusions:	student privacy n as a student's in school, etc., vsFlashes, i. ve.
X Parent/Guardian Signature (Mid/High Student)	Date
WSO Student Chapter Mentor Signature	Date

WSO – National Offices

WSO National Office for Algeria

c/o Institut des Sciences et de la Technologie (I.S.T.)

attn.: Mr. Ferhat Mohia, Director contact: ferhatmohia@yahoo.fr

WSO National Office for Australia

c/o Curtin University of Technology attn.: Dr. Janis Jansz, Director contact: j.jansz@curtin.edu.au

WSO National Office for Austria

c/o Payesh System Mehr Engineering Company

attn.: Dr. Majid Alizadeh, Director contact: majidealizadeh@gmail.com

WSO National Office for Cameroon

c/o Cameroon Safety Services

attn: Mr. Clement B. Nyong, Director contact: ny.clement@yahoo.com

WSO National Office for Canada

c/o Apex One Management Group attn.: Mr. Michael Brown, Director

contact: michael.brown@worldsafetycanada.ca |

mike@apexone.com

website: worldsafetycanada.ca

WSO National Office for Ghana

c/o Ghana National Fire Service attn.: Mr. Peter Oko Ahunarh, Director contact: pahunarh23@gmail.com

WSO National Office for India

c/o Indian Society of Safety Engineers (I.S.S.E) attn.: Mr. T. Shankar, Director contact: support@worldsafety.org.in

WSO National Office for Indonesia

c/o Prosafe Institute

attn.: Mr. Soehatman Ramli, Director contact: soehatmanramli@yahoo.com

WSO National Office for Iran

c/o Payesh System Mehr Engineering Company attn.: Mrs. Fatemeh Gilani, Director contact: gilani@imsiran.ir

WSO National Office for Iraq

c/o NAYA Engineering Services & Training attn.: Dr. Eng. Khaldon Waled Suliman, Director contact: naya_engineering_services@yahoo.com

WSO National Office for Lebanon

c/o Ministry of Transport

attn.: Dr. Elias M. Choueiri, Director contact: elias.choueiri@gmail.com

WSO National Office for Myanmar

c/o Win Oshe Services Co., Ltd attn.: Mr. Win Bo, Director contact: winbo@osheservices.com

WSO National Office for Nigeria

c/o DanaRich Creative Concept, LTD

attn.: Mr. Soji Olalokun, WSO-RSD, Director

contact: info@worldsafety.org.ng website: worldsafety.org.ng

WSO National Office for Pakistan

c/o Greenwich Training & Consulting attn.: Mr. Tayyeb Shah, Director contact: doctimes@gmail.com

WSO International Office for Philippines

attn.: Engr Alfredo A. De La Rosa Jr., Director

contact: info@wsophil.org

WSO National Office for Saudi Arabia (KSA)

c/o The Academy of Sciences for Medical Education

attn.: Mr. Rocky Binuya, Director contact: info@aos-ksa.com | binuya.rocky@gmail.com website: https://aos-ksa.com/en

WSO National Office for United Arab Emirates

c/o Tatweer Industrial Inspection & Training

Services LLC

attn.: Miss Nazya Robin, Quality Manager &

Director


contact: info@tiits.ae

WSO National Office for Vietnam

c/o Safety Training & Consulting Limited attn.: Mr. Binh Pham, WSO-CSI(ML), Director

contact: binh.pt@worldsafety.org.vn

binh.pt@safety.edu.vn website: worldsafety.org.vn



World Safety Organization Code of Ethics

Members of the WSO, by virtue of their acceptance of membership into the WSO,

are bound to the following Code of Ethics regarding their activities associated with the WSO:

12.00

Members must be responsible for ethical and professional conduct in relationships with clients, employers, associates, and the public.

40.00

Mem bers must be responsible for professional competence in performance of all their professional activities.

4.00

Mem bers must be responsible for the protection of professional interest, reputation, and good name of any deserving WSO member or member of other professional organization involved in safety or associate disciplines.

000

Members must be dedicated to professional development of new members in the safety profession and associated disciplines.

50.00

Members must be responsible for their complete sincerity in professional service to the world.

50.00

Members must be responsible for continuing improvement and development of professional competencies in safety and associated disciplines.

000

Members must be responsible for their professional efforts to support the WSO motto:

"Making Safety a Way of Life...Worldwide."



Published by the WSO National Office for Lebanon www.worldsafety.org info@worldsafety.org | elias.choueiri@gmail.com