WORLD SAFETY JOURNAL

ESP – Enhanced Safety Principles

ISSN 1015-5589 Vol. XX No. 1, 2011 © copyright 2011, WSO



- Perceptions of the Pre-Employment Medical: Are We All Reading from the Same Page?
- Injury Management Programs and their Relationship with an Organization's Overall Health and Safety Management Program
- Occupational Noise Induced Hearing Loss: A Literature Review of its Impact in the Australian Capital Territory
- Effects on the Worker, Family, and Employer Following an Amputation of the Leg Due to a Workplace Incident in Indonesia
- In Memory of Dr. V. Harry Adrounie



WORLD SAFETY ORGANIZATION (WSO)

Profile

The WSO was founded in 1975 in Manila, The Republic of the Philippines, as a result of a gathering of over 1,000 representatives of safety professionals from all continents at the First World Safety and Accident Prevention Congress. The WSO World Management Center was established in the United States of America in 1987 to be responsible for all WSO activities, the liaison with the United Nations, the co-operation with numerous Safety Councils, professional safety/environmental (and allied areas) organizations, WSO International Chapters/Offices, Member Corporations, companies, groups, societies, etc. The WSO is a not for profit corporation, non-sectarian, non-political movement to "Make Safety a Way of Life".

World Safety Organization Activities

The World Safety Organization:

*Publishes WSO Newsletters, World Safety Journal - ESP, and WSO Conference Proceedings.

Provides a network program linking various areas of professional expertise needed in today's international community.
Develops and accredits educational programs essential to national and international safety and establishes centers to support

these programs.

Annual awards include the World Environmental/Occupational Safety Person Award, WSO James William Award, WSO Educational Award, WSO Concerned Citizen Award, WSO Concerned Safety Professional, WSO Concerned Company/Corporation Award, WSO Concerned Organization Award, Chapter/International Office of the Year Award,

WSO Award For Achievement In Scientific Research and Development and International Award.

Provides recognition for safety publications, films, videos and other training and media materials that meet the WSO required educational standards.

Receives proposals from professional safety groups/societies for review and if applicable, submits them to the United Nations for adoption.

Establishes and supports divisions and committees to assist members in maintaining and updating their professional qualifications and expertise.

Chapters and International Offices located throughout the world provide contact with local communities, educational and industrial entities.

Organizes and provides professional support for international and national groups of experts on all continents who are available to provide expertise and immediate help in times of emergencies.

Membership Benefits

The World Safety Organization:

Publishes the "WSO Consultants Directory" as a service to its Members and to the Professional Community. Only WSO Certified Members may be listed.

Collects data on the professional skills, expertise and experience of its Members in the WSO Expertise Bank for a reference when a request is received for professional expertise, skill, experience.

Provides a network system to its Members whereby professional assistance may be requested by an individual, organization, state or country on a personal basis. Members needing assistance may write to the WSO with a specific request and the WSO, through its Membership and other professional resources, will try to link the requester with a person, organization or resource which may be of assistance.

Provides all Members with a Membership Certificate for display on their office wall and with a WSO Membership Identification Card.

Awards a certificate of Honorary Membership to the corporations, companies and other entities paying the WSOMembership and/or WSO certification fees for their employees.

Members receive WSO Newsletters, and other membership publications of the WSO.

Members are entitled to reduced fees at seminars, conferences and classes, given by the WSO. This includes local, regional and international programs. When continuing Educational Units are applicable, an appropriate certificate is issued.

Members who attend conferences, seminars and classes receive a Certificate of Attendance from the WSO. Forindividuals attending courses sponsored by the WSO, a Certificate of Completion is issues upon completion of each course.

Members receive special hotel rates when attending safety programs, conferences etc., sponsoredby the WSO.

Journal Editor

Dr. Janis Jansz, F.S.I.A. Director of the WSO International Office for Australia, and Member of the WSO Board of Directors

WSO Board of Directors:

Mr. Perry L. Ballard Dr. Elias M. Choueiri Mr. Gary D. Cudworth Eng. Alfredo De La Rosa Jr. Mr. Richard G. Ellis Mr. Herb "Safety" Everette Mr. Wayne Harris Ms. Debra Hilmerson Mr. Edward E. Hogue Mr. James "Perry" Huckabay Dr. Janis K. Jansz Mr. Lon S. McDaniel Mr. Tony D. Ploughe Dr. James R. Reese Dr. Donald E. Rhodes Mr. David H. Roberson Mr. Eric S. Roberts Dr. Vlado Z. Senkovich Dr. Michael L. Thomas Mr. Kenton W. Thompson Mr. Dennis B. Vaughan Ms. Brenda J. Williams

Disclaimer

Opinions expressed by contributors in articles or reproduced articles are the individual opinions of such contributors or the authors and not necessarily those of the World Safety Organization. Reproduction of articles or abstracts contained in this journal is approved providing the source is acknowledged.

Advertising in the Journal:

1/4 page Advertisement \$35 (USD) ½ page Advertisement \$65 (USD) Full page Advertisement \$100 (USD)

Advertising in the Journal benefits your business because people world wide are able to read about what you offer. This benefits our Journal Readers because they learn about your products and/or Services.

Table of Contents	Page
Perceptions Of The Pre-Employment Medical: Are We All Reading From the Same Page? by: Phillip Meyerkort	1
Injury Management Programs And Their Relationship With An Organization's Overall Health And Safety Management Program by: Cindy A. Bateman	5
Occupational Noise Induced Hearing Loss: A Literature Review Of Its Impact In The Australian Capital Territory by: Sally Smith	14
Effects On The Worker, Family And Employer Following An Amputation Of The Leg Due To A Workplace Incident In Indonesia	18
In Memory of Dr. V. Harry Adrounie	22

#

ARTICLE SUBMISSION

Articles for inclusion in this journal will be accepted at anytime. However there can be no guarantee that the article will appear in the following journal issue.

All articles shall be written in concise English and typed with a minimum font size of 12 point. Articles should have an abstract of not more than 200 words. Articles shall be submitted as Time New Roman print and on a 3.5" diskette with the article typed in rtf (rich text format) and presented in the form the writer wants published. On a separate page the author should supply the author's name, contact details, professional qualifications and current employment position. This should be submitted with the article.

Writers should include all references and acknowledgments. Authors are responsible for ensuring that their works do not infringe on any copyright. Failure to do so can result in the writer being accountable for breach of copyright. The accuracy of the references is the author's responsibility.

References.

Articles should be referenced according to the <u>Publication Manual of the American Psychological</u> <u>Association 2002</u>. For example. Books are referenced as follows.

Author. (Year of publication). *Title of publication*. Place of Publication: Publisher.

Articles are referenced as follows.

Author (Year). Title of article. *Name of Journal. Volume* (Issue), Page numbers of article. Internet information.

Name of author. (Year of publication). *Name of article*. [on-line]. Available WWW; http:// and the rest of the internet path address. [Access date]._

Submissions should be sent to:

Debbie Burgess

World Safety Organization

106 W Young Avenue, Suite F, PO Box 518

Warrensburg Missouri, 64093, United States of America

Or Emailed to editorialstaff@worldsafety.org

Articles, where ever possible, must be up-to-date and relevant to the Safety Industry. All articles are Blind Peer Reviewed by at least two referees before being accepted for publication.

WSO International Office's and Directors

Australia:

Dr. Janis Jansz c/o School of Public Health, Curtin University of Technology, Perth 6845 Western Australia, Phone: (61 8) 9266 3006 Fax: (61 8) 9266 2358 email: j.jansz@curtin.edu.au

Czech Republic:

Dr. Milos Palecek c/o Occupational Safety Research Institute Jenuzalemska 9 11652 Prague 1, Czech Republic email: palecek@vubp-praha.cz

Ghana:

Mr. Kofi M. Amponsah c/o Amponsah Architects PO Box 93883 Accra, Ghana email: amponsah@africaexpress.com

Lebanon:

Dr. Elias M. Choueiri c/o Ministry of Transportation PO Box 401 Hazmieh, Lebanon email: <u>eliasch@inco.com.lb</u>

Macedonia:

Mr. Milan Petkovski c/o Macedonian Occupational Safety Association Makedosnko Zdruzenie Za Zastita Pri Rabota, U1 "Nevena Georgieva Dunja", Br. 13 Lokal 1 1000 Skopje Macedonia email: kontakt@mzzpr.org.mk www.mzzpr.org.mk

Malaysia:

Dr. James C. Fernando c/o OSHALOG Fire Safety Consultant Services SDN BHD Lot 2331, Ground Floor, Jalan Dato Muip, Piasau Bulatan Commercial Center, 98000 Miri, Sarawak, East Malaysia email: <u>asafe@pd.jaring.my</u>

Marianas Islands:

Mr. Marvin C. "Ike" Iseke c/o Networld CNME, Inc., Middle Road, H.K. Pangelianna Bldg., Chalan Laulan PO Box 7724 SVRB Saipan MP 96950

Republic of the Philippines:

Eng. Alfredo A. De La Rosa, Jr. 2nd Floor, Xanland Place Building, 323 Katipunan Avenue 1108 Quezon City, Philippines email: <u>info@wsophil.org</u>

Russia:

Prof. Dr. Edouard Petrossiants c/o Research Center for Socio-Economic Studies of OS&H, Obolenskiy per., 10 Moscow, Russia 119829 CIS email: <u>ohrantr@fednews.ru</u>

Singapore:

Dr. M. Jeyaraj c/o Dynamic Security Pte Ltd, 151 Chin Swee Road 06-15 Manhattan House Singapore 03116 email: dynamicz@singnet.com.sg

Taiwan, Provence of the Republic of China:

Dr. Shuh Woei Yu c/o Safety and Health Technology Center / SAHTECH Rm. 413, Bldg 52, No. 195, Sec 4, Chung Hsing Road Chutung, Hsinchu 31040 Taiwan ROC 310 email: <u>swyu@sahtech.org</u>

United Arab Emirates:

Maj. Gen. (Ret) Abdul Aziz Al Bannai c/o First Security Group LLC email: <u>WSO-UAE@firstsg.com</u>

Vietnam:

Mr. Bihn Pham c/o Tuong Khoa Trading Service Company Limited 26/5 Le Van Tho Ward 11, Go Vap Ho Chi Minh city, Vietnam Email: <u>www.worldsafety.org.vn</u>

Membership: The World Safety Organization has members that are full time professionals, executives, directors, etc., working in the safety and accident prevention fields and include university professors, private consultants, expert witnesses, researchers, safety managers, directors of training, etc. They are employees of multinational corporations, local industries, private enterprises, governments and educational institutions. Membership in the World Safety Organization is open to all individuals and entities involved in the safety and accident prevention field. Regardless of race, color, creed, ideology, religion, social status, sex or political beliefs.

Membership Categories

- Associate Member: Individuals connected with safety and accident prevention in their work or interest in the safety field. This includes students, interested citizens, etc.
- ✓ Affiliate Membership: Safety, hazard, risk, loss and accident prevention practitioners working as full time practitioners in the safety field. Only Affiliate Members are eligible for the WSO Certification and Registration Programs.
- Institutional Member: Organizations, corporations, agencies and other entities directly or indirectly involved in safety activities and other related fields.

Annual Membership fee in United States Dollars is as follows:

Student Membership	\$ 35.00	Associate Membership	\$ 55.00
Affiliate Membership*)	\$ 80.00	Institutional Membership**)	\$185.00
Corporate Membership**)	\$1,000.00	Please circle the membership you are applying for	•

*) For your countries fee rate, please contact the World Management Center at <u>info@worldsafety.org</u> **)For this membership, please indicate name, title and mailing address of the authorized representative.

Please print or type:		
rieuse print or type.		
Name (Last, first, middle):		
Complete Mailing Address (please i	ndicate if this is a Home or Work addre	ess):
Work Telephone Number:	Fax N	Jumber:
Home Telephone Number:	em	ail:
For Affiliate Members only Only FULL TIME PRACTITIONE Membership. Briefly describe your	RS in the safety/environmental/acciden present employment position, or enclose	nt prevention and allied fields are eligible for the WSO Affiliate e your CV.
Please specify your area of professio as a pool of information when a requ	nal expertise. This information will be est for a consultant/information/expert	entered into the WSO "Bank of Professional Skills" which serves is a specific area of the profession is requested.
() Occupational Safety & Health	() Fire Safety/Science	() Environmental Health & Safety
() Security/Safety	() Safety/Loss Control Science	() Public Health/Safety
() Construction Safety	() Transport Safety	() Industrial Hygiene
() Safety Research	() Aviation Safety	() Ergonomics
() Product Safety	() Risk Management	() Petroleum Safety
() Nuclear Safety	() HazMat Management	() Other
Please fo	rward Application and check/money	order or charge card information to:
,	WSO, World Management Center, 106	West Young Avenue Suite F,
	PO Box 518, Warrensburg,	MO, 64093, USA
If you would prefer you can pay by V	/isa □; Master card □; Discover □ or	American Express :
Card Number:	Exp	biration Date: Security Code:

Perceptions Of The Pre-Employment Medical:

Are We All Reading From The Same Page?

by: Phillip Meyerkort MBBS (Hon) Bsc (Hon) M. Occ. Med. Prime Health Email: pmeyerkort@med.usyd.edu.au

Abstract

Background: The pre-employment medical assessment (PEM) is a relatively standard practice that is suggested to be driven more by perceived benefits than empirical evidence. This pilot investigation reports the perceptions of the key user groups involved in the PEM process.

Methods: A descriptive cross sectional study design was used to investigate the opinions of assessors, employers and employees involved in PEM. An anonymous survey was distributed to 40 individuals from each group. Areas covered included the objectives and benefits of assessment; consent; and duty of care.

Results: Sixty seven questionnaires were returned (56% response rate), with 21 from assessors, 18 from employees and 28 from employers. All groups considered the main aim of PEM was to reduce the risk of injury (88% of all respondents); and that it benefits employers (99%) and employees (87%). A lower proportion of employees considered safety to be an objective of the PEM, compared to assessor and employer groups (p<0.01). A greater proportion of assessors considered there to be choice to participate in PEM, compared to employer and employee groups (p<0.05). The duty of care of assessors was considered to be the employer by 49% of all respondents and to the employee by 48% of respondents, with no differences between user groups. *Conclusions:* The PEM is perceived as useful in risk assessment and beneficial to employers and employees. There is a lack of agreement regarding consent, duty of care and its role in safety. Greater understanding of user views of the PEM is essential in promoting a focused and acceptable process.

Keywords:

Pre employment medical assessment. Perception. Objectives. Consent. Duty of care.

Introduction

There is little empirical evidence to support the use of pre employment medical (PEM) assessments in preventing occupational injuries and reducing sickness absence (Mahmud et al., 2010; Pachman, 2009, Roelen et al., 2006; Bigos et al., 1992). The ongoing use of PEM assessments has been considered as culturally driven, based more on tradition than evidence (Pachman, 2009; Houghton, Edmonson-Jones and Harris, 1989). The perceptions of PEM assessments have not been explored, despite perceptions being a driving force for their ongoing use. This pilot study investigates the perceptions of PEM assessments of key user groups in the process, including the potential employee, the employer and professional performing the assessment.

In the Netherlands, legislation has been developed that forbids the use of pre employment examinations unless the job poses special requirements for medical suitability (Sorgdrager, Hulshof and van Dijk, 2004; de Kort and van Dijk, 1997). The legitimacy of the need for the assessment is reviewed by a panel before assessment can occur. In other countries, including Australia, the purpose of the PEM assessment is often not as clearly defined (Whitaker and Aw, 1995). There is a shared lack of understanding of the goal of assessment by employers, employees and assessors.

The objective of PEM is considered in terms of determining capacity to work safely (AFOM, 1998; Cox, Edwards and Palmer, 2000; Kelman, 1985) preventing occupational injuries and disease (Sorgdrager, Hulshof and van Dijk, 2004; ILO, 1998) reducing the risk of injury to the worker and others (Sorgdrager, Hulshof and van Dijk, 2004; ILO, 1998); collecting baseline data (AFOM, 1998; Kelman, 1985; Poole, 1999); adhering to legislative requirements (Serra et al., 2007); and identifying personal risks and increased susceptibility to occupational hazards (Poole, 1999). There is a lack of evidence that supports any of these at the prime objective of the PEM.

PEM assessments must be performed in an appropriate ethical framework (Poole, 1999). Employees should be protected from unnecessary examination and investigation (Serra et al., 2007). Consent to participate in these must be informed and freely given (NHMRC, 2007). The participation in a PEM assessment is often a mandatory requirement of the employment selection process. Participants are required to complete a consent form; however the validity of this is uncertain. The concept of consent in the PEM assessment is an area that requires further investigation.

There is a mixed duty of care given the multiple stakeholders (Serra et al., 2007). Assessors have a duty of care to the employer, with whom a contractual agreement exists, but also the potential employee in identifying potential health concerns, maintaining confidentiality and to not discriminate (Kelman, 1985; Sholz, 1998). The duty of care of the assessor is not determined by the party that pays for the assessment (Kelman, 1985) but is considered to be to all parties involved. The degree to which this is understood by key user groups is not clear. The perceived purpose of other occupational health services and the duty of care associated with these not shared between user groups (Bradshaw et al., 2001).

Williams et al. (1994) demonstrated a lack of agreement the between user groups of the perceived purpose of occupational health services between employers, employee representatives and providers of the service. Understanding the nature of these

There was an overall low response rate, with poor response from potential employees and assessors.

The mean age of potential employees was 37.3 ± 13.5 years; the highest education level of the majority of respondents were not university qualified (72% of respondents).

Employers had the highest response rate of 70%. The majority were from the mining sector (39%), and tended to be health and

safety officers (39%).

The largest single group of assessors to respond were medical practitioners, including General Practitioners, doctors working in occupational medicine and Occupational Physicians (38%).

Due to the small sample size, differences within each respondent group were unable to be analysed.

	Employee	,	Assessor		Employer	'S	Total		Kruskal Wa	llis test
	N = 18		N = 21		N = 28		N = 67		statistic	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	χ^2	р
he most important objective	e of assess	ment is								
lisk assessment	10	55.6	9	42.9	13	46.4	32	47.8).122	0.941
afety	5	27.8	11	52.4	9	32.1	23	34.3	11.864	0.003

Table 2. The most important objective of the pre employment medical assessment

A greater number of respondents (48%) considered risk assessment as the most important objective of the PEM. There was no statistically significant difference between groups. The second most important objective was to assess the potential employee's ability to work safely in the role (34%). A significantly greater percentage of assessors considered safety as the most important objective (53%) compared to employees (28%) and employers (32%; $\chi^2 = 11.864$, p<0.05).

Duty of care

The perception of duty of care was assessed by asking respondents to indicate who they perceived the main duty of care to be towards, to the employer or the potential employee. The perceived duty of care of assessors is shown in Table 3.

Table 3.	The	perceived	duty	of care	of	assessors
		P				

	Employee	•	Assessor		Employer	'S	Total		Kruskal V	Vallis
	N = 18		N = 21		N = 28		N = 67		test statistic	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	χ^2	р
The duty of care of the assess	or is to the	е								
Employer	8	44.4	10	47.6	15	53.6	33	49.3	0.439	0.803
Employee	9	50.0	11	52.4	12	42.9	32	47.8	1.221	0.543

Approximately 49% of all respondents considered the duty of care of assessors to be the employer, with no statistically significant difference between groups. Approximately 48% considered duty of care to be to the employee, with no statistically significant difference between groups. These results support the mixed duty of care model suggested by Serra et al.(2007).

Consent

Three aspects of consent were considered, however sufficient data for analysis was only obtained for one element. The results for the perceived ability to choose are shown in Table 4.

	Lavic 4.	The perce	avia aviin	iy to choos	se to partic	apate in th	ICI LIVI.			
	Employee	9	Assessor		Employer	'S	Total		Kruskal V	Vallis test
	N = 18		N = 21		N = 28		N = 67		statistic	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	χ^2	р
nployees have no choice in EM	13	72.2	9	42.9	22	78.6	44	65.7	6.607	0.048

Table 4. The perceived ability to choose to participate in the PEM.

The majority of respondents agreed that employees have no choice in participating in the PEM (65.7%). The number of assessors agreed (43%) was less than the number of employees (72%) and employers (79%). There was a statistically significant difference between groups ($\chi^2 = 6.607$; p =0.048). Assessors perceive a greater level of freedom in consenting of PEM than employees and employers.

Discussion

A pilot study to assess the perceptions of the PEM assessment and determine whether there was agreement amongst user groups was performed. The results indicate that the main perceived objectives of the PEM assessment are risk assessment and determination of a potential employee to safely perform their proposed role. Safety was considered to be more important by assessors than employees and employers. The difference in opinion regarding these two aspects could be as a result of an increasing awareness amongst employers and employees about the risk assessment approach to occupational health and safety. This shift in approach may not yet be shared by those performing PEM assessments. Assessors not only need to maintain their own continuing medical education, but need to actively remain up to date with occupational health and safety standards, approaches and systems. Occupational health focused clinics provide an opportunity to maintain these standards.

The mixed duty of care of professionals performing PEM assessments was demonstrated in this study. Almost 50% of all respondents considered the duty of care to be to the employer and the remaining 50% considered it to be to the employee, with no differences between user groups. This difficulty in determining where the duty of care lies is an ongoing issue with PEM assessments. Kelman¹¹ highlighted that any professional requested to perform an examination owes that person a reasonable duty of care. A duty of care also exists with the employer who has contracted the service. The differences here most likely stem from the understanding of duty of care, and that duty of care, in itself, is a multifaceted concept. Clear definitions and boundaries regarding the PEM need to be established.

Involvement in any assessment requires informed consent from the candidate. In a treatment situation, consent is implied by attendance at a health clinic, or by means of a signed written consent form. The PEM process often forms part of the determination of the most suitable applicant for a proposed role. As such, there is often no choice for a candidate to participate; they are unable to decline participating, and unable to withdraw consent. In these circumstances, the question of informed consent is paramount. Informed consent suggests that an individual is aware of the purpose of the assessment, and freely decides to be involved. Given that there is no conclusive evidence for the use of PEM; and that decision not to participate will often result in not being considered for a role, it can be said that informed consent does not occur in PEM. This ethics of the

PEM need to be further explored.

As the assessment is not for the purpose of treatment, the NHMRC statement on ethical conduct in human research (2007) could be used a framework for developing PEM assessments.

In utilizing a research based framework, approval of the PEM assessment should be obtained from an appropriate committee. In the Netherlands, PEM are performed only with the permission of the Occupational Health Service (de Kort and van Dijk, 1997). A similar approach should be considered in Australia. Although the overall perceptions of the PEM are similar among user groups, a committee of representatives from each group should be formed to review the validity of employers' requests for PEM assessments and ensure that these are ethically conducted.

Limitations

This study was limited by small sample size. Much of the data collected could not be reliably used in the analysis. A larger sample will allow further analysis of the differences between different user groups, and within each user group. The questionnaire used requires further development, including the capture of qualitative data. Though more difficult to collect and analyze, this would provide better data on perception. Self selection bias is present in this study. Anonymous questionnaires would have been completed by those with an interest in the PEM process. A wider group of respondents needs to be engaged in future studies.

Conclusion

The effectiveness of pre employment medical assessments requires further analysis and review. The development and implementation of these screening devices requires involvement of all key user groups to ensure mutual understanding of its purpose and use.

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank Dr Mary Wyatt, Occupational Physician, for her support.

References

Australasian Faculty of Occupational Medicine (AFOM). (1998). Guidelines for health assessment for work. Sydney: RACP.

Bigos S, Battie M, Fisher L, Hansson T, Spengler D,

Nachemson A. (1992). A prospective evaluation of pre employment screening methods for acute industrial back pain. Spine, 17(8), 922 - 6

Bradshaw LM, Curran AD, Eskin F, Fishwick D. (2001). Provision and perception of occupational health in small and medium sized enterprises in Sheffield, UK. Occupational Medicine, 51, 39-44

Conway H, Simmons J, Talbert T. (1993). Effects of occupational medical surveillance programs as perceived by respondents to the Occupational Safety and Health Administration. Journal of Occupational Medicine, 35, 687-697.

Cox RAF, Edwards FC, Palmer K. (2000). Fitness for work: The medical aspects. 3rd edition. Oxford: Oxford Medical Publications.

de Kort W, van Dijk F. (1997). Preventive effectiveness of pre-employment medical assessments. Occupational and Environmental Medicine, 5, 1-6

Harris MM, Dworking JB, J Park. (1990). Pre employment screening procedures: How human resource managers perceive them. Journal of Business and Psychology, 4, 279 - 292

Houghton AM, Edmondson-Jones JP, Harris LA. (1989). Pre-employment Screening: Use or Ornament? Journal of the Society of Occupational Medicine, 39, 51-55

International Labour Organization (ILO). (1998). Declaration on Fundamental Principles and Rights at Work. International Institute for Labour Studies, Geneva. *Kelman GR.* (1985). The pre-employment medical

examination. Lancet, 1231-1233

Mahmud N, Schonstein E, Schaafsma F, Lehtola MM, Fasier JM, Reneman MF, Verbeek JH. (2010). Pre-employment examinations for preventing occupational injury and disease in workers. Cochrane Database of Systematic reviews 2010, Issue 12. Art. No.:CD008881.

DOI:10.1002/14651858.CD008881

National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC). (2007). National Statement on Ethical Conduct in Human Research. Canberra: Australian Government.

Pachman J. (2009). Evidence base for pre employment medical screening. Bulletin of the World Health Organisation, 87(7), 529–534.

Poole CJM. (1999). Can sickness absence be predicted at the pre-placement health assessment? Occupational Medicine, 49, 337-339

Roelen C, van der Pol TR, Koopmans PC, Groothoff JW. (2006). Identifying workers at risk of sickness absence by questionnaire. Occupational Medicine 56, 442-446

Serra C, Rodriguez MC, Delclos G, Plana M, Gomez L,

Benavides F. (2007). Criteria and methods used for the assessment of fitness for work: a systematic review. Occupational and Environmental Medicine, 64, 304-312. *Sholz D.* (1998). How to use pre-employment medical examinations and comply with Anti-Discrimination Legislation, in Aziz N (ed), Coal (pp. 47-55). Coal Operators' Conference, University of Woollongong & the Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy.

Sorgdrager B, Hulshof C, van Dijk F. (2004). Evaluation of the effectiveness of pre-employment screening. International Archives of Occupational and Environmental Health, 77, 271-276

Whitaker S, Aw TC. (1995). Audit of pre employment assessments by occupational health departments in the National Health Service. Occupational Medicine, 45, 75-80

Williams N, Sobti A, Aw TC. (1994). Comparison of perceived occupational health needs among managers, employee representatives and occupational physicians. Occupational Medicine, 44, 205-208.

Injury Management Programs And Their Relationship With An Organization's Overall Health And Safety Management Program

by: Cindy A. Bateman, Curtin University, Email: cindy.bateman@student.curtin.edu.au

Abstract

The purpose of this literature review was to examine the impact that a workplace injury such as a herniated lower disc or "disc protrusion", which manifests as lower back pain, can have on a range of stakeholders and to identify the barriers that may exist that could impact on return to work. This common workplace injury demonstrated why a robust injury management program need to be multi-faceted and forms part of an organizations holistic health and safety management program.

Introduction

A herniated disc, manifesting as chronic back pain, is an occupational injury, which falls into the category of 'sprains and strains' of joints and adjacent muscle. In Australia, Sprains and strains accounted for 48.2% of all Workers Compensation lost time injury claims in 2007/2008 (ASCC, 2009). Note: disorders of muscle, tendons and spinal vertebrate (i.e. dorsopathies) are referred to as occupational diseases.

The complaint of back pain affects everyone at some stage of their life, with 90 percent of us experiencing significant interference with daily activities for at least 48 hours at some stage in our lives. Of these, 40 percent will experience recurring problems with their backs (Brown, 2002). Chronic back pain will be used to examine the direct impact and costs of this condition on employees and their families, and other stakeholders such as the supporting community and employers. The significance of the indirect impacts and associated costs, which are often less extensively understood when it comes to managing a workers return to work, will also be reviewed. This paper will explore how direct and indirect impacts need to be addressed through a multifaceted injury management/return to work (RTW) program, barriers to the success of a RTW program, and the subsequent influence this type of program has on an organization's occupational health and safety program and workplace culture.

Impact of Occupational Injuries

The 'direct costs' associated with an injury or disease related to the back, are fundamentally the same as those that relate to any other type of occupational injury or illness. These costs are recognized as 'insurable costs' and directly relate to the compensation claim for time away from work, and medical and

disability expenses.

The average cost for lost time claims in Australian workplace in 2006/2007 was \$23,747. Of these claims, 22.7 percent



Figure 1 Percentage of claims by body part (2006-2007)

Figure 2 below is a conceptual diagram of an iceberg (SafeSite.com, 2010), it has been adopted extensively in injury management literature and depicts the direct costs as a small portion of the overall costs associated with an injury/illness or disease. The 'indirect' costs are often referred to as 'hidden'

related to incident involving the back and 41.1 percent of serious claims are associated with sprains and strains (ASCC, 2009). Refer to figure 1.0 and 2.0 below.



Figure 2 Serious claims by nature of injury (2006-2007)

costs and are generally not considered 'insurable costs'. The impacts of an incident have been overlayed on the Iceberg model, this provides a snapshot of the complexities of the total costs associated with an incident.



Figure 3 Iceberg- Insurable costs versus uninsurable costs for back injury (adapted by Bateman)

Upon closer review, much of these hidden costs impact on the employer, employee, their family/friends and the community, and far exceed the insurable costs.

Table 1 highlights the broad impacts of the key stakeholders associated with a workplace injury or illness/disease such as a back related injury:

Table 1 - Injury/Illness impact

Stakeholder	Impact/Cost
Employee	 Direct physical pain and suffering (incapacitated due to back pain) Loss of function (e.g. limited mobility due to back injury) Loss of family/community interaction (e.g. unable to play with children, participate in sports/hobbies) Financial impact (e.g. prescribe amount/weekly payments may be considerably less than potential earnings*) No longer able to care for family/friends, home (e.g. domestic responsibilities) Indirect psychological factors (anger, depression, anxiety, fear) Psychosocial impacts - stigma associated with back pain - not visible, made to feel fraudulent, malingerer, intimidated, leading to disincentive to claim. Confusion and stress associated with complexities of the claims process/return to work program <i>Weekly payments capped at \$1904.40 in W.A. In some circumstances, unfit workers may receive less after 13 weeks as payments are reduced.</i> <i>In W.A. employees 65 years or over can only claim weekly wage payments for 12 months after the date of their work related injury/illness</i>
Family & Friends	 Emotional pain and suffering Emotional and physical support/care for the injured/ill worker Impact on their time/availability Financial impact where they are unable to continue to work due to support requirements Financial impact due to additional costs associated with care/support (e.g. travel)
Community	 Emotional impact resulting from a serious injury/illness or fatality to community member(s) (May cause a psychological/emotional response - fear, anxiety, depression) Infrastructure and resources to support the outcomes of an incident + Medical practitioners + Rehabilitation service providers + Government agencies-administration of legislation (e.g. - WorkCover W.A., Dispute resolution directorate) + Insurance agencies -+ Legal resources (e.g. Common Law claims) - Medical/health care system costs
Employer	 Direct compensation - 'insurable' costs Indirect 'insurable costs' (refer to figure 2) Common Law claims * Potential discrimination claims *Where significant disability occurs i.e. 15-30% total bodily impairment exists or 30% or more according to schedule 2 Table (WA Workers Compensation Act)

In the case of the back injury, the primary impairment relating to the physical nature of the injury (e.g. herniated disc) may not have as great an impact as the secondary effects that may occur as a direct result of the injury to the worker, for example chronic back pain. Psychological, environmental and behavioral factors have a very important and central role in the experience of pain. Together they shape the way that a person constructs the meaning of pain, the way in which they cope with pain are important issues to be considered when assessing and managing pain (Unruh & Henriksson, 2002).

In relation to the direct impact on an employer, the following figure depicts the breakdown of direct compensation payment types, with the majority relating to weekly payments for the injured/ill worker (ASCC, 2009).



Figure 4 Workers compensation payments

Legal obligations

Worker's compensation insurance projects employers from financial costs when a worker sustains a work-related injury or disease. It protects injured workers by providing weekly payments to cover loss of earning capacity, payment of reasonable medical and rehabilitation expenses and other entitlements (Workcover WA, 2007).

The legal obligations embodied within the Western Australian Worker's Compensation Act (1981) and Regulations (1982), referred to herein as the 'Act' and 'Regulations' respectively, are summarized below for each of the key stakeholders involved in the Workers' Compensation process.

Government - The West Australian Government, through the WA Workers' Compensation Commission, otherwise know as 'WorkCover W.A.', is the statutory authority responsible for administering, updating and governing compliance with the legislation and supporting documents, including, but not limited to:

- Western Australian Workers' Compensation Act (1981)
- Western Australian Workers' Compensation Regulations (1982)
- Injury Management Code of Practice 2005 (The Code)
- Guidance Notes for the Injury Management Code of Practice

Employer - Workers compensation insurance is compulsory and employers are required to take out a policy with an approved insurance company to cover all the workers they employ.

The employer's legal obligations apply to all 'workers' as defined under the Act (Section 5). The employer's liabilities for contractors and subcontractors are also addressed under the Act.

The Act (Section 164) also has provisions from employers who wish to become 'self-insured' and in doing so provide their own insurance coverage for their workers.

In summary, employers shall:

- Establish an injury management system/program. [Refer to WA Code of Practice (Injury Management), 2005].
- Establish a Return to Work Program (RTW) where a medical practitioner indicates that it is required for an injured worker.
- Provide a copy of the Employers Injury Management System if requested by an employee.

- Once the insurer gives approval, immediately begin workers' compensation payments.
- Forward all accounts and invoices relating to the workers medical treatment and other approved entitlements to the insurer.
- Hold open the position held by the worker for 12 months, if it is reasonably practicable to do so.
- Where the job is not available, or the worker is no longer able to perform the same job, provide a similar position for which the worker is qualified and capable of performing, that is comparable in status and pay.
- If an employer wishes to terminate the employment of a worker within the 12 months time frame mentioned above, they must notify the worker and WorkCover WA 28 days written notice of their intention.
- Refer matters that cannot be resolved to the Dispute Resolution Directorate.
- Injury management team may refer the injured worker to a vocational rehabilitation provider.
- Where a worker is certified as unfit for work, the employer must still pay appropriate workers' compensation weekly payments and employee entitlements.
- Where a worker is certified as partially unfit for work and are dismissed or resign, in some circumstances, the employer may still be responsible for paying appropriate workers' compensation payments.
- An employer has the right to arrange a medical review via the insurer before or after a claim has been accepted.

Employee - Any worker, who suffers a work related injury/illness or disease and needs medical treatment and/or cannot work because of the injury/illness or disease, is entitled to claim workers' compensation (WorkCover, 2009).

In summary, the employee shall:

- · Seek first aid if injured or ill.
- Report the incident to the employer (e.g. supervisor) as soon as possible.
- Visit a doctor, either employers or doctor of own choice and obtain an initial First Medical Certificate.
- Fill in workers' compensation claim form 2B (available from employer, insurer or WorkCover WA).
- Subject to the appropriate conditions, attend appointments with an 'approved' medical practitioner where the employer has arranged for an independent examination.

- Where a claim has been accepted, forward all accounts/invoices relating to the medical treatment and other approved statutory allowances to the employer or their insurer, as soon as reasonably possible.
- Where requested, the employee may need to provide written consent for their employer and doctor/medical practitioner to speak to each other. If an employee does not provide consent, it may be difficult for the employer and their insurer to determine liability for the claim.

Where liability is disputed or deferred, or an employee does not hear from the insurer within 17 days of making the claim on the employer, the employee can contact the WorkCover WA Dispute Resolution Directorate to seek resolution.

While the workers claim is being assessed, the employee is able to request that the employer pay accrued leave (e.g. annual or sick leave). This does not indicate liability being accepted on the behalf of the employer, but provides interim financial support for the employee whilst liability is being determined. Where the claim is approved, weekly payments commence and the leave would need to be re-instated.

If an employee resigns and gets another job, they must inform the employer or insurer in writing within seven days. The employee may still be entitled to partial incapacity payments or other benefits.

Insurer

In summary the Insurer shall:

- Within 14 days, notify the employee and employer, in writing, whether the claim has been accepted, disputed or undecided, and explain the basis for the decision.
- If the employer is a self-insurer, they must notify the employee whether the claim is accepted, disputed or undecided within 17 days of receiving the claim.
- The insurance company may assign an assessor to investigate the claim. The employee can decide whether they agree to be interviewed by the assessor and may also request that a person of their choice accompany them.
- Where an insurer advises the employee that they dispute the claim or are still undecided for more than 10 days after notifying the employee, the claim will be deemed to be in 'dispute'.

Barriers to return to work

While there is a Workers Compensation legal framework to support both the Employer and Employee in relation to Workers Compensation, there are a range of barriers that may exist that impact the processing of a worker's compensation claim and the return to work program.

Injury management is defined in the Act as 'the management of

workers' injuries in a manner that is directed at enabling injured workers to return to work". It is the responsibility of both the employer and employees to cooperate in this process, where it has been medically supported by an approved practitioner.

Where direct cooperation does not occur between the employer and employee, or where other barriers or obstacles exist, the employees ability to return to work or the rate at which they are able to return to work may be impacted.

The following are examples of barriers that may hinder the workers compensation process and return to work program:

Poorly designed return to work program

- The worker is encouraged to return before he/she is fully fit.
- The worker is over-eager to return to work.
- The people involved in the persons return may not be aligned and have conflicts of opinion relating to the return to work process.
- Insufficient employee involvement in return to work program.
- Insufficient involvement from other parties in return to work program (e.g. employer, union).
- Lack of understanding of disability and therefore development of an inappropriate return to work program.
- · Limited return to work alternatives.
- Poor coordination of rehabilitation program and supporting resources.

Diagnosis and Treatment

Delays can be experienced where the impairment is misdiagnosed or treated incorrectly. This is particularly relevant to back injuries and associated back pain. For example, the employee may be sent to multiple medical practitioners, may be given an inappropriate return to work program, may not receive the appropriate claim entitlements, etc.

The more complex the nature of the injury or illness, the more room there is for error and delays, hence the importance of having a clearly defined process for injury management and the supporting infrastructure from relevant medical practitioners.

Claims Administration – Employer, Employee and Insurer At any stage of the claims management process, obstacles or delays can be experienced. For example, if one or more parties does not fulfil their fundamental legal obligations under the Act;

The following two figures depict the average days taken to process claims by each of the key parties in Western Australia 2004-2008 (WorkCover, 2009). Note in Figure 4 there is a longer time frame associated with lodging claims associated with disease than injury. These delays are largely due to the complex nature of disease diagnosis.



Figure 5 Employee - Employer - Insurer claims management duration



Figure 6 Average claims process

Impairment assessment required

Where a worker and employer are unable to agree on the degree of impairment associated with a workplace incident, an approved medical specialist will be required to undertake an 'impairment assessment' to determine the degree of permanent impairment or degree of permanent whole of person impairment. This assessment could potentially delay the claims process, but forms the basis for access to certain workers' compensation entitlements.

Dispute

Where a claim is not agreed between all parties and legal obligations are not fulfilled, the claim may be in dispute and the matter is referred to the WA Dispute Resolution Directorate for a decision. This process may be further delayed if the employer is ordered to make an application for an arbitrator to determine liability.

Disputed claims are an impediment to return to work since they create a conflict of interest in the injured worker. It is not in the

worker's interest to return to work until after the claim is settled, since return to work will impact significantly on the cash settlement.

Dispute claims are more likely to occur if a worker has sustained a more serious injury, experienced greater lengths of time lost, or who failed to return to work, or in cases in which there is conflicting medical opinion regarding the extent and severity of the injuries (WorkCover, 2009).

Psychological factors

The 'psychological factors' identified in Table 1.0, including distress, beliefs and attitudes, pain behaviour, and pain coping strategies, have considerable influence on pain and disability and can have a stronger influence on the outcome than the initial biomedical/physiological factors associated with back pain. It's also worth noting that distress and confusion about previous treatments can have a powerful influence on a patient's reaction to pain and disability (Brown, 2002). Where a worker experiences these additional, secondary impacts, they may present additional barriers to the successful return to work if not diagnosed correctly and managed appropriately.

Gender

There is some evidence in literature to suggest that 'gender' plays a role in relation to the impact of injuries/illness, equity

associated with workers compensation and return to work rates (Guthrie & Jansz, 2006). Figure 6 depicts the average number of days off for a claim, with women requiring more days off than men (WorkCover W.A., 2009).



Figure 7 Average number of days lost

The below injury/illness statistics indicate that women are sustaining a higher rate of sprains and strains and musculoskeletal injuries/illness than men, this is likely to be due to the nature of their work in the industrial environment, but are taking less days off per claim than men for these specific types of injuries/illness (WorkCover W.A., 2009).

1 able 2 Percentage of lost time claims and average dur	ation
---	-------

	Perce	entage of	lost-time c	laims	A	verage du	ration (day	ys)
	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07	2007/08	2003/04	2004/05	2005/06	2006/0
Males								
Sprain\strain	47.4%	46.0%	44.7%	45.5%	78.6	76.9	98.2	95.6
Open wound	16.3%	16.2%	16.1%	15.3%	14.2	18.3	22.3	14.7
Fractures	8.1%	8.7%	9.4%	10.5%	116.0	72.4	68.9	80.8
Contusion & crushing	9.9%	10.9%	11.4%	10.4%	52.4	54.4	69.3	69.4
Other injuries nec *	3.3%	3.3%	3.9%	4.1%	73.8	62.2	67.2	43.6
Musculoskeletal system disorders	3.1%	2.5%	2.8%	2.8%	125.0	127.7	186.9	148.8
Burns	2.3%	2.4%	2.6%	2.4%	6.7	17.3	7.2	18.4
Foreign body	2.9%	3.0%	2.1%	2.2%	7.4	2.2	36.4	5.4
Hernia	2.0%	1.8%	1.7%	1.7%	125.2	21.5	52.2	89.5
Superficial injury	1.5%	1.5%	2.0%	1.6%	54.6	36.9	8.2	41.7
Other diseases	1.6%	1.8%	1.8%	1.5%	54.8	78.3	79.0	44.2
Mental disorders	1.1%	1.1%	0.9%	1.3%	87.3	111.4	125.4	134.2
Skin disorders	0.4%	0.7%	0.6%	0.8%	29.7	61.7	38.7	32.5
Multiple injuries	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	256.8	2310.5	294.6	2.0
Females								
Sprain\strain	57.5%	56.1%	55.0%	54.2%	64.2	72.5	73.0	83.1
Contusion & crushing	11.3%	12.3%	12.4%	12.7%	35.6	34.1	38.0	52.5
Fractures	5.9%	6.2%	6.9%	7.9%	92.2	95.7	105.2	118.3
Open wound	6.8%	6.8%	7.2%	7.1%	19.6	20.7	20.2	25.2
Mental disorders	4.8%	4.5%	4.1%	4.6%	90.0	102.1	97.6	132.1
Musculoskeletal system disorders	5.2%	4.9%	4.5%	4.2%	110.7	108.1	156.7	148.2
Other injuries nec *	2.6%	3.5%	3.2%	3.5%	57.3	53.8	49.3	92.4
Burns	2.1%	2.2%	2.5%	2.2%	82.9	16.5	27.8	16.5
Other diseases	1.8%	1.9%	2.0%	1.8%	50.2	73.8	55.9	70.4
Superficial injury	0.8%	0.7%	1.1%	0.9%	21.3	31.4	8.3	18.2
Skin disorders	0.4%	0.6%	0.6%	0.5%	16.7	47.3	67.1	51.5
Hemia	0.4%	0.1%	0.3%	0.3%	34.6	33.7	62.8	68.8
Foreign body	0.4%	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%	6.2	3.5	4.6	18.4
Multiple jointies	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	253.0	257.5	1533.3	601.3

Literature reviews and research undertaken by Guthrie and Jansz provides evidence that 'gender' plays a role in relation to the impact of injuries/illness, equity associated with workers compensation and return to work rates in Australia (Guthrie &

Jansz, 2006). The financial/economical, physical, psychological and social factors that can impact on an injured or ill worker, have been found to be very apparent in women where they are the primary person responsible for domestic duties and/or of migrant background (Steward, Doyle, Clapham et al).

In the late nineties, Quinlan found that while women make up approx 40% of the workforce, they only represent 27% of the compensation claimants (Quinlan, 2007). Further studies indicate that women are more likely to be intimidated by the workers compensation process which inadvertently acts as a deterrent for making claims, more likely to have claims disputed, less likely to succeed before review boards, receive less compensation due to receiving lower wages than their male counterparts and overall are less likely to return to work at all.

Age

The older people get, the longer it takes them to recover and return to work, and there is also evidence to suggest that the older the person the less likely they are to return to work at all. Refer to Table 11.1 (WorkCover W.A., 2009) below.

Table 3 Number of lost time claims and average working days lost

	N	umber lost-	time claim	Average working days lost					
	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07	2007/08	2003/04	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07	
All persons								2	
15-19	1436	1404	1517	1418	30.4	19.8	25.5	29.8	
20-24	2283	2183	2188	2122	33.1	34.2	31.4	34.9	
25-34	4316	3940	3806	3653	52.4	52.7	63.4	65.0	
35-44	4593	4430	4234	4292	70.0	78.1	83.3	88.6	
45-54	4164	4037	4077	4284	76.2	81.0	94.2	103.3	
55-59	1305	1327	1436	1483	77.1	81.9	76.2	89.9	
60-64	603	608	659	793	81.6	60.3	71.4	88.0	
65+	142	164	177	199	47.2	40.1	55.5	49.0	
Jnknown *	12	7	12	13	21.0	15.7	28.3	13.3	
Total	18854	18100	18106	18257	60.6	62.6	69.5	75.2	

Socioeconomic status

Research also indicates that blue-collar workers are less likely to return to work than white-collar workers. This finding may be related to the fact that blue-collar workers may experience more of the negative factors associated with the nature of their work e.g. repetitive tasks, closely supervised, little decision making, performing long hours which may be away from home, feeling that their tasks are under-valued or useless, and not receiving the financial gains they feel they deserve.

Workplace Occupational Health and Safety Programs and Culture

The culture of an organization, in particular the 'health and safety culture' has been seen to impact how people respond to injuries/illness in the workplace, both in a positive and negative way. For example, a negative workplace culture in which workers are made to feel undervalued for having an injury/illness, by fellow employees and/or employer, will drive certain behaviours and in doing so can initially create specific barriers to reporting workplace injuries and illness and is more likely to negatively influence the injury management process.

Evidence from workers compensation statistics/performance and literature reviews suggests that the success of return to work programs is related to higher perceived standards of occupational health and safety characteristics of workplaces, better perceived methods of information dissemination to workers about their rights and entitlements and by the status of the claim for workers compensation (disputed versus not disputed) (Kenny, 1998).

Discussion

Return to work following soft tissue injuries such as low back pain and repetitive strain injuries has become a critical issue for employers as well as for insurers due to the high costs associated with this disability.

Incurring an occupational injury/illness has many consequences for a worker. Each of the consequences has the potential to impact on the other, so that a loss of income impacts simultaneously on self-esteem, marital relationships and standard of living. These person variables interact with system variables to influence the return to work outcomes (Tate, 1992).

Figure 7 below depicts how the impact of an occupational injury/illness to a worker is embedded in a complicated web of reciprocal relationships with other individuals, groups and social institutions (Dembe, 2001). It highlights why an injury management program will need to address the broad range of impacts and legal obligations underpinning all of the relationships depicted here and why there exists so many opportunities for barriers to impede the return to work process if not managed effectively.



Figure 8 The complex relationships- social context of occupational injuries and illness

Given the multi-dimensional nature of the impact an injury or illness can have on a worker, combined with the complexities associated with the legal system supporting workers compensation, it becomes evident that an equally multi-faceted injury management/return to work program is required if a worker is to be restored successfully back into the workplace.

Such a program will need to incorporate the services of a multi disciplinary team, and may include services from psychologists, rehabilitation/medical specialists, and legal representatives to interpret the complex nature of the legislation that underpins a workplace injury/illness.

Case studies undertaken on workers in relation to their level of satisfaction with their employer and associated occupational health and safety programs directly reflects on the number of claims disputes raised and the likelihood of the workers returning to work after an injury/illness. In general workers are 'happier' from a workplace in which there is a clear health and safety policy, formalized structures for information sharing and reporting, operational health and safety committees, training programs, health and safety procedures and the presence of occupational health and safety personnel (Kenny, 1998). These workplaces valued return to work programs and incorporated them into their overall health and safety programs.

To overcome the medical and legislative barriers associated with

a worker's compensation claim, it is important that those involved in assessing the claim understand the nature of the impairment and subsequent loss of ability. For example, back problems have a nasty tendency to become chronic and the outcome is heavily dependent on the attitude of the patient and the healthcare professional. Unhelpful beliefs must be challenged and individuals involved in their own recovery program (Brown, 2002). The classification of the disability will influence the claims entitlements, the mental state/outlook of the employee, and will determine the type of return to work program required.

The return to work program should be a collaborative process in which all parties involved receive the appropriate level of information, education and training to support the program and to ensure that they understand the full impact of the primary and secondary impacts of an injury or illness.

Conclusion

Disability attributable to back pain in people of working age is one of the most spectacular failures of modern health care in the industrialized world. Its greatest impact is on the lives and families of those affected (Brown, 2002).

If employers do not manage an injury or illness effectively through a robust multidimensional return to work program that is clearly communicated to all stakeholders, directly engages and values the employees input and addresses all facets of the direct and indirect consequences of chronic back pain, the chances of successful rehabilitation of the worker will be greatly diminished, as will be the likelihood of developing and sustaining a positive occupational health and safety culture.

Recent research has brought into sharp focus the importance of the employer-employee relationship, appropriate channels for information dissemination and advocacy in the workplace for the injured worker (Kenny, 1998). A workplace in which these positive values are instilled within all parties associated with the injury management program will be more likely to overcome the range of barriers described previously, including an intolerance of the detrimental social impacts of age and gender and the stigma associated with back injuries, and will have a strong influence on the workforce to the extent that it may also reduce the likelihood or severity of the secondary impacts that a worker may experience, such as chronic back pain.

A positive health and safety culture that is fostered by an employer who recognizes the value in prevention rather than being driven by workers compensation costs, will implement an injury management program as part of the organizations holistic Health and Safety management program.

Failure to recognize the potentially complex nature of workplace injuries/illness and their relationship with an organizations overall health and safety management program increases the risk of unsuccessful rehabilitation of workers and increased costs (direct and indirect) of work place injury/illness to workers, employers, and the community (Kenny, 1998).

References

Australian Safety and Compensation Council (ASCC). (2009, March) *A compendium of workers compensation statistics. Australia 2006/2007* (16th Edition). Author.

Brown, G. (2002, November). Occupational Health. Jansz, J. (2010). Compensation and Injury Management 593 Study Guide (Topics 1,2,3). Bentley, WA: Curtin University.

Dembe, A.E. (2001). The Social Consequences of Occupational Injuries and Illnesses. *American Journal of Industrial Medicine*, 40, 403-417.

Guthrie R, Jansz, J. (2006). Women's Experience in the Workers Compensation System. *Journal of Occupational Rehabilitation*. *16*(3), 474-488.

Kenny, D. (1998). Returning to work after workplace injury: Impact of Worker and Workplace Factors. *Journal of Applied Rehabilitation Counselling*, 29(1) 13-19.

Quinlan, M. (2007). Organisational restructuring / downsizing. Occupational health & safety regulations and workers' health and well being. *International Journal of Law and Psychiatry.* 30(4-5), 385-399.

SafeSite.com (2010). You need a program. Canadian HES consultants.

Tate, D. (1992) Factors influencing injured employees return to work. Journal of *Applied Rehabilitation Counselling*, 23(2), 17-20.

WorkCover Western Australia. (2005). *Workers Compensation and Injury Management Code of Practice*. Perth, Western Australia: Government Printer.

WorkCover W.A. (2009). *Workers' Compensation in Western Australia Statistical Report 2004/05 to 2007/08*. Perth, Western Australia: Government Printer.

WorkCover WA. (2007). Understanding Workers Compensation and Injury Management - For Employers. Perth, Western Australia: Government Printer.

WorkCover WA. (2009). Workers Compensation and Injury Management - Important information for Workers. Perth, Western Australia: Government Printer.

Occupational Noise Induced Hearing Loss: A literature review of its impact in the Australian Capital Territory

By Sally Smith, Adv Dip App Sc, and Bachelor Nursing, Currently undertaking a Graduate Certificate in Occupational Health and Safety at Curtin University, Email: <u>sally.l.smith@postgrad.curtin.edu.au</u>

Abstract

Objective: The aim of this article was to review current literature surrounding the topic of Occupational Noise Induced Hearing Loss (ONIHL), its impact on sufferers and the barriers on returning to work.

Materials and Method: The review of literate was undertaken using EBSCO and OVID databases and within Google Scholar search engine. Literature included in this review was all published after the year 2000, with particular attention to Australian statistical and legislative data.

Results: ONIHL is a condition predominately of men, presenting in the 50-55 year age group. It is of complex aetiology with much research linking it to prolonged and excessive noise exposure in a workplace setting. The research explored the impacts ONIHL had on employees, their families, employers, insurers and the wider community. Barriers were encountered by all key stakeholders in returning employees to work.

Conclusion: ONIHL is a growing problem and likely to be under-reported due to stigma associated with hearing loss and the age in which the condition presents. The complex issues surrounding the condition require further research to assist those currently suffering the condition and effectively prevent future employees developing debilitating levels of hearing loss.

Introduction

The detrimental effects associated with excessive or repetitive noise exposure within a work environment was first discussed by the pioneers of Occupational medicine centuries ago. Occupational Noise Induced Hearing Loss (ONIHL) accounts for 16% of adult acquired hearing loss worldwide (Nelson et al. 2005). In Australia it is estimated that 1 million employees are exposed to potentially injurious noise levels annually, with approximately 16 500 workers' compensation claims recorded between July 2002 to June 2007, for industrial deafness involving permanent impairment due to noise (Burgess, 2010). A number of the research articles suggested that assessing the magnitude of ONIHL by number of compensation claims would lead to gross underestimation of the problem (Burgess, 2010; Center for Disease Control and Prevention, 2001). The burden of disease was estimated at \$2.9 billion (Access Economics, 2006).

Occupational hearing loss is predominately caused by repeated exposure to excessive noise over prolonged periods causing irreversible sensorineural damage to the cochlea (Kurmis and Apps, 2007, Thorne et al, 2008). Other contributing factors include exposure to vibrations, ototoxic chemical and smoking (Burgess, 2010; Concha-Barriento, Campbell-Lendrum and Steenland, 2004; Kurmis and Apps, 2007; Rachiotis, Alexopoulos and Drivas, 2006). ONIHL manifests slowly, with few obvious symptoms. Some employees experience symptoms of tinnitus, or ongoing ringing in the ears. For others their only symptoms include difficulties in communication, especially in the presence of background noise (Concha-Barriento et al, 2004,Kurmis et al, 2007; Nelson et al. 2005). ONIHL is not a finite condition, hearing loss increases with ongoing noise exposure.

Globally the industries with the highest reported incidence of ONIHL include construction, military, utilities, mining, transport, and manufacturing, with Australian data concurring (Australian Safety and Compensation Council, 2006; Center for Disease Control and Prevention, 2001; Concha-Barriento et al, 2004; Nelson et al. 2005). Statistically men have the highest incidence of ONIHL compensation claims, with 94% of the claims made in 2001/2 made by men in the 55-59 year age group (Australian Safety and Compensation Council, 2006).

The primary treatment for ONIHL should be prevention. Prevention can be undertaken through implementation of organizational and engineering controls and development and adherence to International and National Standards. Engineering controls include decreasing sound produced, muffling, acoustic barriers, and lastly PPE (Australian Safety and Compensation Council, 2006; Center for Disease Control and Prevention, 2001). Organizational controls include the implementation of workplace noise assessments, employee audiometric monitoring, education programs and comprehensive record keeping (Australian Safety and Compensation Council, 2006; Center for Disease Control and Prevention, 2001; Palmer,Cox and Brown, 2007).

Research Methodology

In undertaking this literature review, searches were undertaken in EBSCO and OVID databases and within Google Scholar search engine. Search terms included "occupational hearing loss", "work and hearing loss", "NIHL" and finally "ONIHL". Only articles published in English, with the full text available online and a publish date of after 2000 were included in this review. Of the 2042 articles sourced during these searches, sixteen articles were included in this review. These articles were preferred as they cited Australian statistical and legislative data. Reference was also made to two Australian Standards and various legal documents pertaining to the Workers Compensation laws associated with ONIHL. These documents were then sourced on the web, to provide a detailed understanding of their relevance to the topic of ONIHL.

Results

Impacts on Employee

There has been significant research into the effects that working in noisy environments has on employees. Noise has been found to cause tinnitus, hypertension, fatigue and sleeplessness, impaired communication, increased risk of accidents and injuries (due to decreased ability to recognise warning signs), impaired decision making, annoyance, and memory loss to mention just a few (Australian Safety and Compensation Council, 2006; Nelson et al. 2005; Palmer et al, 2007; Picard et al 2008; Timmins, 2010).

The insidious nature of ONIHL means that it often goes unnoticed until significant hearing loss has occurred. The most notable effect of ONIHL appears to be impaired communication, in work, social and family settings (Australian Safety and Compensation Council, 2006; Concha-Barriento et al, 2004; Palmer et al 2007). People with ONIHL also report feelings of loneliness and isolation, anxiety and depression, irritability, decreased quality of life and poor self-esteem associated with the stigma (actual or perceived) attached to hearing loss (Kurmis, et al, 2007; Rachiotis, et al 2006; Shield, 2006; Yorgason, Piercy and Piercy, 2007).

Impact on Families/Socially

Hearing loss in a family member can causes elements of both unity and division between those involved. Research indicates that interdependence occurs between spouses, with one member often acting as an interpreter or a hearing aid especially in a public setting (Shield, 2006; Yorgason et al, 2007). The appearance of everything being 'normal' appears to be an important outcome for all family members of person affected by ONIHL. This unity especially found between spouses can also have negative impact on their relationship. Yorgason et al state "hearing spouses often performed caregiver-like roles and it is often difficult to keep a healthy balance between support and autonomy" (2007, p. 223). Upsetting this balance can lead to frustration, anger and resentment. Shield (2006) discuss how communication impairment at home may lead to a poor relationship with children, as they sometimes gravitate towards the normally hearing parent for discussion and decision-making.

Socially the effects of ONIHL are often devastating. Sufferers of ONIHL have been found to withdraw from all situations involving group discussions, noisy public places, or social activities choosing not to form or maintain previously established relationship (Shield, 2006; Timmins, 2010; Yorgason et al, 2007). This lack of interest in maintaining a social network can also increase the strain on the family unit. Social isolation can be linked to the stigma associated with hearing loss. ONIHL sufferers often report hearing loss to be associated with being stupid or old and believe their opinions are less valued, and don't want to both people by continually making them repeat themselves (Concha-Barriento et al, 2004; Shield, 2006).

Impact on Employer

ONIHL has multiple effects on the employer and the workplace. The employer can suffer direct costs associated with workers compensation claims and medical and rehabilitative expenses (Concha-Barriento et al, 2004). However the indirect costs are extensive and costly. These include losses to productivity, higher staff turnover, high levels of absenteeism and costs associated with the implementation of engineering and organizational controls to prevent excessive noise exposure (Brouwer et al, 2002; Concha-Barriento et al, 2004). Research undertaken by Access Economics (2006) disagrees, finding that staff with hearing impairment often have less time off work, and work harder to cover their disability. Decreased or inefficient communication has been linked with an increased potential for injury and accidents within a workplace (Kovalchik et al 2008; Picard et al, 2008)

Employee Obligations

In the Australian Capital Territory (ACT) employees are covered by the legislation written in the Workers Compensation ACT 1951 [A1951-2] (ACT Parliamentary Council, 2010 a) and Workers Compensation Regulation 2002 [SL2002-20] (ACT Parliamentary Council, 2010 b). If the employee is injured or the workplace causes illness the employee is mandated and therefore obliged to:

- "- Early notification of injury or illness (p.92)
- take part and cooperate in the establishment of a personal injury plan (p.92)
- comply with reasonable obligations imposed on the worker under the worker's

personal injury plan, including any obligation to receive medical treatment or

- rehabilitation services (p.92)
- Nominate a medical practitioner for care of injury, and authorize the nominated
- practitioner to provide relevant information, and
- The injured worker must make all reasonable efforts to return to work with the

worker's pre-incapacity employer (that is, the employer liable to pay compensation

to the worker) as soon as possible, considering the nature of the injury (p.94)"

(ACT Parliamentary Council, 2010a)

The National Occupational Health and Safety Commission (2000 and 2004) Codes of Practice state, in addition to complying with legislation, employees must adhere to "established workplace procedures and cooperate in all activities that have as their objective the protection of hearing at work and the minimisation of ONIHL" (p.8).

Barriers hindering return to work for Employee

When returning to work following the lodgement of a workers' compensation claim for ONIHL, employees can encounter barriers which hinder the smooth transition in returning to the

workforce. Employees often suffer alterations in communication, this was often present before the lodgement of the claim, however the amount of hearing loss will have been assessed, which may affect the role within the workplace and how their coworkers interact with them. This may lead to retraining for another job or altering the work environment in which they are used to. Often workers with hearing impairment were treated as if they were less intelligent and old (Sheild, 2006; Yorgenson et al 2007). Due to the complex aetiology of ONIHL workers compensation cases can be prolonged in reaching a conclusion (Kurmis et al, 2007). This long time-frame associated with establishing validity of workers compensation claim, may work as a barrier of distrust, frustration, anger and resentment between employee and employer.

Barriers encountered by employer

There are many issues that act as barriers for the employer in having a employee with ONIHL return to the workplace. Predominately the issues surround safety; recognizing the potential for injury caused by noise, assessment of noise in workplace and implementation of noise control measures, establishing a workplace culture of noise awareness and hearing protection, and education of staff. Work health and Safety Legislation require employers to make reasonable adjustments to the workplace to accommodate the employees return to work (ACT Parliamentary Council, 2010 a and b; Timmons, 2010) an example may include implementation of multi-sensory warning and alert signals. When the level of ONIHL is assessed to be inappropriate for return to the employees previous position and reasonable adjustments are unable to be made the employer is required to retrain the employee to a suitable role (ACT Parliamentary Council, 2010 a). This may often prove difficult as the employee may not have enough suitable roles available. especially in the case of small businesses. Many of the barriers encountered by the employer are financial, such as higher insurance and workers compensation premiums, ongoing audiology assessment and medical expenses, implementation of noise control methods and staff education and training.

Barriers encountered by Insurer

ONIHL is an ongoing condition, often with increasing disability therefore has ongoing assessment of client needs, ongoing medical, audiology and hearing equipment expenses (Carroll, 2003). A barrier for the insurer is often the establishment, implementation and ensuring the employee adheres to the returnto-work plan. The literature indicates that people with hearing impairment are often in denial of their level of hearing loss and do not want to be recognised as different (Timmons, 2010; Shield, 2006; Yorgenson et al 2007).

Further Research Opportunities

To gain a thorough understanding of the impacts of ONIHL on the key stakeholders in a workplace setting further research is imperative. Areas of particular need include the indirect costs of ONIHL, areas such as effects on productivity, staff absenteeism, increased risk of accidents and injury, and a decreased earning potential. There was also few studies specifically discussing the social implications of ONIHL, research tended to cite the effects of general hearing loss rather than the specific impact on sufferers of ONIHL.

Conclusions

ONIHL is a growing problem, likely to be under-reported due to stigma associated with hearing loss and the age in which the condition presents. The effects of the condition are not restricted to the affected employee but have implications on family, social networks, employers, and insurers. In understanding ONIHL and how it affects key stakeholders we have established possible barriers in an affected employee returning to work. The reviewing of literature also highlighted some knowledge deficits in ONIHL, in which further research could promote a greater understanding of the disease process and impacts for the employee and employers, with a further goal of assisting those currently suffering the condition and effectively preventing future employees developing debilitating levels of hearing loss.

References

Access Economics. 2006. Listen Hear! The economic impact and cost of hearing loss in Australia: A National Health Report.

http://www.accesseconomics.com.au/publicationsreports/show report.php?id=71. Accessed 5th March 2011.

ACT Parlimentary Counsel (a). 2010. Workers Compensation ACT 1951 (A1951-2).

RLINK"http://www.legislation.act.gov.au/a/1951-2/current/pdf/1951-

2.pdf"<u>http://www.legislation.act.gov.au/a/1951-</u> 2/current/pdf/1951-2.pdf. Accessed 8th March 2010.

ACT Parlimentary Counsel (b). 2010. Workers Compensation Regulation 2002 (SL2002-20): made under the Workers Compensation ACT 1951.

http://www.legislation.act.gov.au/sl/2002-20/current/pdf/2002-20.pdf . Accessed 8th March 2010.

Australian Safety and Compensation Council. 2006. Workrelated Noise Induced Hearing Loss in Australia. <u>http://www.safeworkaustralia.gov.au/AboutSafeWorkAustralia</u>/<u>WhatWeDo/Publications/Documents/418/WorkRelated_Nois</u> e Induced Hearing.pdf . Accessed 5th March 2011.

Brouwer. W.B., Exel. N.J., Koopmanschap. M. A., and Rutten. F.F. 2002. Productivity costs before and after absence from work: as important as common? *Health Policy, vol 61,* p.173-187. Retrieved from EBSCO database 3rd March 2011.

Burgess. M. 2010. Inquiry into Hearing Health in Australia. Submission from Safe Work Australia.

http://www.aph.gov.au/SENATE/COMMITTEE/CLAC_CTT E/hearing_health/submissions/sub172.pdf. Accessed 5th March 2011.

Carroll. R.L. 2003. Compensation for occupational noise induced hearing loss in Australia and New Zealand. *International Congress Series 1240*, p. 161-163. Retrieved from Ovid database 3rd March 2011.

Center for Disease Control and Prevention. 2001. Work related hearing loss. <u>www.cdc.gov/niosh/topics/noise/</u> Accessed 5th March 2011.

Concha-Barrientos. M., Campbell-Lendrum. D., and Steenland. K. 2004. Occupational Noise. *Environmental*

Burden of Disease Series, No.9

www.who.int/quantifying_ehimpacts/publications/en/ebd9.pdf. Accessed 5th March 2011.

Kovalchik. P. G., Matetic. R.J., Smith. A.K., and Bealko. S.B. 2008. Application of Prevention through design for hearing loss in the mining industry. *Journal of Safety Research, 39*, p. 251-254. Retrieved from EBSCO database 3rd March 2011.

Kurmis. A., and Apps. S. 2007. Occupational Noise Induced Hearing Loss. *International Journal of Occupational Medicine and Environmental Health 2007: 20 (2)*, p. 127-136. Retrieved from Ovid database 3rd March 2011.

National Occupational Health and Safety Commission. 2000. National Standard for Occupational Noise [NOHSC: 1007(2000)] 2nd Ed.

http://www.safeworkaustralia.gov.au/AboutSafeWorkAustralia /WhatWeDo/Publications/Documents/278/NationalStandardF orOccupationalNoise_NOHSC1007-2000_PDF.pdf. Accessed 8th March 2010.

National Occupational Health and Safety Commission. 2000. National Code of Practice for Noise Management and Protection of Hearing at Work [NOHSC: 2009(2004)] 3rd Ed.http://www.safeworkaustralia.gov.au/AboutSafeWorkAustr alia/WhatWeDo/Publications/Documents/279/NationalCodeOf Practice_NoiseManagementAndProtectionOfHearingAtWork_ 3rd%20Edition_NOHSC2009-2004_PDF.pdf. Accessed 8th March 2010.

Nelson. D. I., Nelson. R. Y., Concha-Barrientos. M., and Fingerhut. M. 2005. The Global Burden of Occupational Noise-Induced Hearing Loss. *American Journal of Industrial Medicine*, 48. p.446-458. Retrieved from Ovid database 3rd March 2011.

Palmer. K. T., Cox. R. A., and Brown. I. 2007. Fitness for Work: The medical aspects. 4th Edition.

Picard. M., Girard. S.A., Simard. M., Laroeque. R., Leroux. T., and Turcotte. F. 2008. Association of work-related accidents and noise exposure in the workplace and noise-induced hearing loss based on the experience of some 240,000 person years of observation. *Accident Analysis and Prevention, vol 40,* p.1644-1652. Retrieved from Ovid database 3rd March 2011.

Rachiotis. G., Alexopoulos. C., and Drivas. S. 2006. Occupational exposure to noise, and hearing function among electro production workers. *Auris Nasus Larynx, 33*, p. 381-385. Retrieved from EBSCO database 3rd March 2011.

Shield. B. 2006. Evaluation of the social and economic costs of hearing impairment: a report for hear-it. <u>http://www.hearit.org/multimedia/Hear_It_Report_October_2006.pdf</u>. Accessed 5th March 2011.

Standards Australia, AS/NZS 1269 Occupational noise management Parts 0-4, Standards Australia, Sydney.

Thorne. P.R., Ameratunga. S.N., Stewart. J., Reid. N., Williams. W., Purdy. S.C., Dodd. G., and Wallaart. J. 2008. Epidemiology of noise-induced hearing loss in New Zealand. Journal of the New Zealand Medical Association vol 121. Retrieved from EBSCO database 3rd March 2011.

Timmins. P. 2010. Overcoming barriers to effective noise control and hearing loss: Occupational noise-induced hearing loss in Australia. <u>http://www.apo.org.au/research/occupational-noise-induced-hearing-loss-australia</u>. Accessed 5th March

2011.

Yorgason. J. B., Piercy. F. P., and Piercy. S. 2007. Acquired hearing impairment in older couple relationships: An exploration of couple resilience processes. *Journal of Ageing Studies*, *21*, p. 215-228. Retrieved from EBSCO database 3rd March 2011.

Effects On The Worker, Family And Employer Following An Amputation Of The Leg Due To A Workplace Incident In Indonesia

by: Graham John Almond, Master of Labour Law and Relations, B.Ed(Bus), Head of People and Sustainable Development (Corporate System Manager) at PT Leighton Contractors Indonesia, email: gezzo2006@yahoo.com.au

Abstract

A workplace incident that results in an amputation of the leg has lasting effects on the worker, the employer, and family. Much analysis of the trauma has occurred in western societies, however, little is known about the effects of limb (leg) amputation in Indonesia. This is largely due to the poor recognition and Indonesian government focus of occupational health and safety as a critical en-abler to protecting workers in this emerging country. In Indonesia, religion has an impact on one's feelings after an incident and little by way of monetary support from Government institutions is available to assist family and workers through this difficult time. Even less emphasis on return to work programs prevails under law and the services available are limited. The value of family is the underlying feature of the injured workers' positive psychology. A case study of one worker involved in an industrial accident leg amputation is used to draw similarities and differences to western research.

Key Words

Jamsostek. Effects of amputation of the leg. Indonesian trauma accident. Industrial accident in a developing country.

Introduction

As a large archipelago covering some 17,508 islands with over 238 million people, Indonesia is a growing democracy whose main source of personal income remains from agriculture (Leighton, 2011). With the majority of the population being Muslim and 33 provinces each having power to pass its own laws (Leighton, 2011), there is one national State Owned Enterprise, Jamsostek, that covers injury compensation, management and rehabilitation for private sector workers. Jamsostek derives its power from *Employee Social Security Scheme (Jamsostek)*, Law No.3 year 1992. As contained in the 2009 Jamsostek Annual Report (2010, p.4), "as a social security provider for workers, PT Jamsostek (Persero) strives to become the trusted provider among stakeholders and the public."

However, for injured workers who have a leg amputation due to a workplace incident, Jamsostek and the employer legally provide very limited to no support to injury management, rehabilitation or economic needs of their injured worker. As no literary information can be found on the effects of a leg amputation on an Indonesian worker, by virtue of limitations under law to assist the worker, it becomes apparent that religion, the family and one's own will is crucial in leg ampute injured workers being able to return to work in any capacity whatsoever.

Research Methodology

A review of published literature relating to leg amputations was conducted using the following search engines:

www.google.com

http://scholar.google.com/ www.yahoo.com www.anzwers.com.au www.elsevierehealth.com www.informahealthcare.com www.findarticles.com

The key search words were "Indonesia workplace accident and leg amputation", "Indonesia occupational health and safety" and "Indonesian worker accident rehabilitation". Despite hundreds of thousands of documents being found under google and yahoo, a very small result showed up for answers. However, of all documents found, none related to Indonesian study outcomes of leg amputation patients, employer and family. Eslevierehealth.com could assist with journals once clicking the side bar selection, most of which were paid subscription based and non Indonesian. Informahealthcare.com also provided some non-Indonesian journal review opportunities, but again, most were subscription based. Findarticles.com helped discover the Journal of Rehabilitation which again was not Indonesian.

Further searches occurred using the website provided by Jamsostek, Australian Journal of Physiotherapy, American College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine, Safe Work Australia, The Asian Network for the Rights of Occupational Accident Victims, and National Centre for Boitechnology Information. Limited information was found however the law for Indonesia from Jamsostek was not available in English and was obtained from an Indonesian Industrial Relations colleague in Indonesia.

There was difficulty in accessing the Curtin University library collection of books as the literature review was drafted mainly on

mine sites, airports and 2 star hotels which have limited bandwidth capacity.

By virtue of the limited literary information available on an Indonesian worker, his family and his employer, one can turn to international research which has been mainly western in practice to assist determining whether there are likely to be comparable considerations given the difference in ethnic culture, social security and worker compensation rights and general socio-economics. To assist with the ethnic cultural dimension, a telephone interview occurred on 10 March 2011 with an employee (Iwan) from the writer's company who suffered a leg amputation in October 2010 as a result of a truck collision.

Effects of the Amputation on the Employee

A study looking at the depressive symptoms of a limb loss found 34.7% or patients who suffered a traumatic limb loss suffered depression (Darnall, Ephrain, Wegener, Dillingham, Pezzin, Rossbach, & MacKenzie, 2005). While the same study found patients experiencing phantom pain were 2.92 times more likely to suffer depressive symptoms, no research evidence can be found in Indonesia to validate this in a society with Islamic beliefs. While we could assume a similar ratio could apply in Indonesia, in times of pain, Indonesian Muslims will look to the Qur'an for guidance in life due to their belief that Allah will guide the way as he hears one's prayers (Dodge, 2003).

During the interview with Iwan, he confirmed he felt pain in the residual limb for several months. At the time of interview, he continues to feel chronic pain around the scar and lower part of the stump. To overcome this pain and maintain cognitive focus, he advised he accepts pain relief medication (as it is an accepted way of life for Islamic followers) and prayed to Allah for healing and good health with his wife and immediate family almost every hour.

Iwan understood the future changes he would have to make to his life on return to his home to accommodate his physical disability (Barrett and Browne, 2010). These changes were possible wheel chair access to his home, outpatient physical therapy / rehabilitation, and wearing a prosthetic leg. He also acknowledged to give him the best chance of any recovery, he needed to give his best effort and focus on the inpatient rehabilitation exercises he is currently going through. Yet he was unaware that he may suffer from future psychological reactions or the challenge of coping with a change in his job and that he may require occupational therapy as part of his rehabilitation and return to work. This personal view concurs with the research of Livneh, Antonak, & Gerhardt, 1999; Matsen, Malchow, & Matsen, 2000 (as cited in Wald and Alvaro (2004)) that psychological adjustment gradually occurs post injury for accident- caused amputation.

As Iwan was unsure what employment he may be suitable for, he felt he could do whatever he was asked to do and had a belief that he would return to his pre-injury position and a prosthesis will ensure there is no loss of function of the leg. His greatest emotional concern / anxiety suffered was being the bread winner for his wife, 2 children and parents. The grief of losing his leg has led to anxiety as he is an early 20's man with an education level to year 10 and his income earning capacity was what concerned him about his future quality of life rather than the

physical appearance/body image of the injury itself. No literature review can be found in Indonesia about the quality of life experienced by Indonesian trauma accident leg amputees or psychological stressors and anxiety suffered. Hence, the writer is unable to compare Indonesians experiences such as Iwan's to research findings like Wald and Alvaro (2004) that relate to psychological factors in work related amputations. Furthermore, while a random sample of one person is not sufficient empirical evidence to write a report on, and it is too early to confirm Iwan's longer term quality of life perception, what was consistent with Iwan and the study undertaken by Zidarov, Swaine and Gauthier-Gagnon (2009) was his body image and associated psycho social disturbances did not seem to be a factor in his perception of his future quality of life during his period of admission. The writer can only assume this reasoning is due to the fact the Qur'an places all equal and "no individual is superior to another" (Dodge, 2003, p.5) and next to god, family is the most important feature in a Muslim's way of life (Dodge, 2003, p.8). What is becoming apparent with Iwan, is he is beginning to show signs of anxiety which, according to Wald and Alvaro (2004), should be addressed in his rehabilitation.

Effects of the Injury on the Employee's Family

While no research can be identified online as to the stress or other trauma effects of a leg amputation on Indonesian families, Disability Online (2011) provides the following guidance for families:

- Be aware that this is an extremely stressful time for both the patient and the family.
- Be active in finding support and ways to cope with this stress.
- Make sure that there are plenty of opportunities for
- communication within the family.
- Ask the hospital staff what to expect during the recovery period.
- Realize that healing after a major trauma is a slow process and may take months.
- Keep a journal this helps many patients; some like to take photographs.
- Hospital staff can help the patient and the family through this tough time.

In the Indonesian culture, family is vitally important. In this relationship, the concept of mutual assistance and consultation (Culture and Religion in Indonesia 2011) are critical. Combined with religious beliefs, Muslims have a strong sense of brotherhood (Dodge, 2003). As diversity and human equality are vital features in life (Dodge, 2003), Indonesian's look beyond physical appearances and look at the persons intrinsic worth. Irrespective of the financial strain placed on families to manage medical costs, with the strong family unit being the next most important belief after God, when a holistic view is taken regarding the effects on the family, Indonesian family support demonstrates overwhelming acceptance of who the person is rather than the physical disabilities they now live with. While the writer is unable to find any empirical evidence to back up this position, an assumption can be made that the western view of impact on families is similar to the Indonesian view yet the westerners need for social support groups (Barrett and Browne, 2010) or grouping patients together according to similar functional needs to foster group spirit (Longmuir, n.d.)

may not be the same for Indonesians.

Effects of the Injury on the Company and by Jamsostek Where the incident itself involved other workers or the nature of the work relates to others performing the same task, counselling, debriefing and other psychological support should be considered to assist workers overcome their own physical and psychological hindrances incurred (Disability Online. 2011). While this may temporarily affect workplace productivity, such support should also help return a workplace back to normal productivity levels sooner. Whether or not such services are offered in Indonesian workplaces is subject to the employer. While the writer is aware larger foreign companies may have employee assistance program to support individual worker stressors, by virtue of Iwan Kusmawan from SPN (National Workers Union) comments earlier in this review, one could assume if productivity is placed first, it is likely the perception of productivity is to get work immediately started and forgo employee welfare/counselling programs.

Consistent with Millstein, Bain and Hunter (1985) study of 1000 Ontario amputees, as an industrial amputee, Iwan's recent preliminary vocational assessment deemed him suited to a more sedentary occupation rather than the truck serviceman role he performed in the mine pit. While Iwan works for a responsible employer where occupational health and safety and injured worker rehabilitation is accepted as the responsibility of the employer, the Jamsostek law allows companies to use legal rights which un-intentionally in the long run can influence whether an injured employee can return to work. Furthermore, the insurer (Jamsostek) is also financially restricted on the financial limit they can afford to pay an injured worker. Effects Jamsostek have on the Company and the worker by virtue of the *Employee Social Security Scheme (Jamsostek)*, Law No.3 year 1992 are:

1. In 2009, 96,314 workplace accidents occurred and a claim was made to Jamsostek (Jamsostek 2009 Annual Report, 2010, p.74). "Formal social insurance fund membership is concentrated mainly amongst employees of larger sector enterprises" (ILO Sub Regional Office for South East Asia, 2009). Hence, many workers are not covered for Jamsostek social security which prevents them from accessing entitlements due under law as a result of a workplace accident. Interestingly, this view was backed up at the 2010 Asian Network for Human Rights and Occupational Accident Victims conference in Bandung, Indonesia. Kusmuwan (2010) from SPN (National Workers Union) noted at the conference:

"There are approximately 3000 factories in Indonesia. However, there are insufficient supervisors to monitor these. Less than half of companies regularly report occupational accidents, which makes available figures unreliable. Even less is known about occupational diseases. It is clear that workers are prioritized second to financial considerations. Investment data is considered more important to collect than that on labour conditions.

Around 70 million workers are engaged with the informal sector. These workers are not recognized, they receive no protections, and are frequently exploited. Only 30% of formal workers have joined Jamsostek (worker social insurance membership). The state of OSH conditions for women workers is in a particularly poor state. Overall, this lack of OSH protection for so much of the workforce amounts to a situation in which workers are effectively 'selling their bodies' for wages."

2. Under Indonesian Jamsostek Law at Chapter IV, there is no strict requirement for an employer to provide rehabilitation efforts as this is covered by Jamsostek as one of its services. Likewise in Chapter IV, the employer is not obliged to pay the employee an income as Jamsostek covers up to one year of basic income albeit on a reducing scale.

3. An employer is allowed to "opt out" of Jamsostek coverage for employment injury if they elect to take on a private health insurance scheme (ILO Subregional office for South East Asia, 2009, p.4). This means the employer can forgo the Jamsostek premium and provide better health care benefits as an overall package and this could reduce the current government level of rehabilitation services in favor of other more immediate lifestyle benefits such as improved dental and optical. A public system consequence of this opt out provision ensures reduced capacity and funding available for injury management services across the archipelago.

4. The insurer, Jamsostek, has 121 branches (Jamsostek 2009 Annual Report, 2010, p14) across Indonesia. Under Chapter IV of the Jamsostek Law, given claim costs of transport for an injured worker are limited to Land Rp 400.000, Sea Rp 750.000, Air Rp 1.500.000, with the vast number of islands and limited office numbers, claims and other associated administration for an injury pose geographical challenges for some injured workers (or employer on behalf of the worker) to make a claim and access benefits. Furthermore, under Chapter IV, medical expense claims are capped at Rupiah 12 million (approximately US\$1300.00). Hence, unless a company is willing to pay the difference or has a more generous private health hospital benefit package, the family is largely left to pick up the medical claim excess.

5. By virtue of the minimum wage for Jakarta being Rp1,290,000 (Human Resources Community, 2011), given Jamsostek's financial limitations and cost coverage, often a leg amputee worker cannot afford to seek rehabilitation or vocational training assistance let alone a prosthesis. Hence, in the absence of measurable data, we could assume a return to work in the preinjury position may not occur. Alternatively, any injury compensation paid by Jamsostek for the disability may be used by the family to cover ongoing medical costs. Unfortunately, and despite the finding by Millstein, Bain and Hunter (1985) that the amputee's own attitude had the largest impact on job placement, while no comparative study can be found on Indonesian workers, one could assume financial capacity to obtain appropriate assistance with medical, prosthesis and rehabilitation costs will have a greater level of influence.

The legal obligations on the employer may be weak to facilitate return to work, however, research from Wald and Alvaro (2004) suggests a structured and individually tailored return to work plan by the rehabilitation team, employer, and worker is essential. They cite the plan should address both physical and psycho social issues. There are no barriers preventing an Indonesian employer accepting this as a responsibility under their

OHS policy and procedure. Where required, vocational rehabilitation (Wald and Alvo, 2004) should also be incorporated. Longmuir (n.d.), in his article "Principles and Techniques in Rehabilitation" provides a solid outline of what a treatment plan should include and could be a useful summary guide for employers in Indonesia to embrace or ensure Jamsostek cover for the amputee. Likewise, Kenny (1998) provides useful contents for a occupational rehabilitation program. Notwithstanding this need for employers to accept greater responsibility in the rehabilitation process, further legislative reform is required in Indonesia to ensure rehabilitation of employees with a work related injury or ill health occurs as Jamsostek, according to Sijabat (Jakarta Post, 30/11/2010), is coming under fire to reform its injury and accident management focus and play a more strategic role. Should such occur, we may see greater expectations placed on employers which would affect the way they respond to injury management.

In the Indonesian landscape, the interaction between various stakeholders is not optimally aligned and the Macro System conceptual model constructed by Friesen, Yassi and Cooper (2000) cannot be implemented without rigorous legal reform and financial stability being a cornerstone of the system thereby empowering injured workers to have a say with their employer and Jamsostek on their return to work desires. What is disappointing with the Indonesian Jamsostek system and the employer opt out opportunity, is the system shifts from a safety management focus to a healthcare focus and despite the micro system level of the worker having the will and positive attitude to overcome their trauma and return to a normal life, the system breaks down at the meso system level as rehabilitation efforts are not a primary focus of injury management due to a health care system taking over the return to work feature of a safety management system. To ascertain return to work being seriously regarded, one just needs to review the website of Indonesia's leading safety directory (http://indosafetydirectory.com) where it is evident no training is offered nor topics mentioned on return to work/rehabilitation/injury management or dealing with employees affected by trauma accidents.

Conclusion

The effects of amputation trauma are well documented in western societies. In emerging Indonesia, little research can be found on the effects to workers, family, and the company. Legal frameworks contained in Jamsostek Law are not as contemporary as western societies (e.g. the Western Australian *Workers' Compensation and Injury Management Act 1981)* which force better communication and consultation amongst stakeholders. By virtue of Islamic religious beliefs influencing a way of life, Allah is believed to have a say on the future of one's life and this is more readily accepted than perhaps in western societies where rehabilitation thinking, effort and practice is more advance.

References

American College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine. (2011) Retrieved from <u>http://www.acoem.org</u>

Asuransi Jiwa Manulife Indonesia. (2008). *Indonesia Social Security and Private Employee Benefits 2008*. Retrieved from <u>http://www.igpinfo.com/iginfor/shared/country_info/summarie</u> <u>d/indonesia.pdf</u> Barrett, T. & Browne, D. (Eds.). (2010). *Rehabilitation Work and Beyond* (2nd ed.). Vineyard Publishing Pty Ltd, Guildford, Western Australia.

Culture and Religion of Indonesia. (2011). Retrieved from http://www.indonesiatravel.org.uk/culture-religion-ofindonesia.html

Darnall, B. D. Ephraim, P. Wegener, S. T. Dillingham, T. Pezzin, L. Rossbach, P. And MacKenzie, E. J. (2005). Depressive Symptoms and Mental Health Service Utilization Among Persons With Limb Loss: Results of a National Survey. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 86. 650-658. Retrieved from <u>http://download.journals.elsevierhealth.com/pdfs/journals/000</u> <u>3-9993/PIIS000399930401364.pdf</u>

Disability online. (2011). Retrieved from http://hnb.dhs.vic.gov.au/dsonline/dsarticles.nsf/pages/trauma_ explained?opendocument

Dodge, C. H. (2003) *The Everything Understanding Islam Book*, Avon MA, Adams Media

Find Articles. Retrieved from <u>http://findarticles.com</u>

Friesen, M. N. Yassi, A. And Cooper, J. (2001). Return to Work: The Importance of Human Interactions and Organizational Structures. *Work.* 17. 11-22. Retrieved from <u>http://edocs.library.curtin.edu.au/eres_display.cgi?url=dc6009</u> <u>6176.pdf©right=1</u>

Human Resource Community. (2011). Retrieved from http://HRCentro.com/umr/dki_jakarta/non_kab/non_sektor/20

ILO Subregional office for South East Asia. (2009). Indonesia: Providing health insurance for the poor. Retrieved from

http://ilo.org/public/english/region/asro/bangkok/events/sis/do wnload/paper25.pdf

Indonesia Safety Directory. (2011). Retrieved from http://indosafetydirectory.com

Jamsostek, P. (2008). *The Laws and Regulations of The Republic of Indonesia on The Employees' Social* Security. Indonesia, PT Jamsostek, Jakarta

Jamsostek. (2010). Jamsostek 2009 Annual Report. Retrieved from

http://www.jamsostek.co.id/content_file/file47_annual_report_ 2009.pdf

Jamsostek. (2011). Retrieved from http://www.jamsostek.co.id/content/i.php?mid=3&id=17

Kenny, D. T. (1998) Returning to Work After Workplace Injury: Impact of Worker and Workplace Factors. *Journal of Applied Rehabilitation Conselling*. 29(1). 13-19. Retrieved from

http://edocs.library.curtin.edu.au/eres_display.cgi?url=dc6020 7749.pdf

Kusmawan. I. (2010). Asian Network for the Rights of

Occupational Accident Victims Annual Conference 2010, Bandung, Indonesia – Short Report. Retrieved from http://www.amrc.org.hk/node/1071

Leighton. (2010). *Cross Culture Training*. Indonesia, Leighton Operational Effectiveness unit, Balikpapan.

Longmuir, D. O. (n.d.). Principles and Techniques in Rehabilitation Practice. *Australian Journal of Physiotherapy*, 2(1), 35-48. Retrieved from <u>http://ajp.physiotherapy.asn.au/AJP/vol_02/1/AustJPhysiother</u> <u>v2iLongmuir.pdf</u>

MedicineNet.com. (2011). Retrieved from http://www.medterms.com/

Millstein, S. Bain, D. And Hunter, G. A. (1985). A Review of Employment Patterns of Industrial Amputees – factors influencing rehabilitation. *Prosthetics and Orthotics International*. 9. 69-78. Retrieved from <u>http://informahealthcare.com/doi/pdf/10.3109/0309364850916</u> <u>4708</u>

Pezzin, L. E. Dillingham, T. R. MacKenzie, E. J (2000).
Rehabilitation and Long Term Outcomes of Persons with Trauma- Related Amputations. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 81.
292-300. Retrieved from http://download.journals.elsevierhealth.com/pdfs/journals/000
3-993/PIIS0003 999300900741.pdf

Safe Work Australia. http://www.safeworkaustralia.gov.au

Sijabat. R. M. (2010, November 30). Jamsostek needs major reform for strategic role, *The Jakarta Post*. Retrieved from <u>http://portal.bumn.go.id/jamsostek/#newsDetail-1</u>

Sturup, J. Thyregod, J. S. Jensen, J. S. Retpen, J. B. Boberg, G. Rasmussen, E. and Jensen, S. (1988). Traumatic amputation

of the upper limb: the use of body-powered prostheses and employment consequences. *Prosthetics and Orthotics International*, 12, 50-52. Retrieved from <u>http://informahealthcare.com/doi/pdf/10.3109/0309364880907</u> <u>9390</u>

The Asian Network for the Rights of Occupational Accident Victims http://www.anroav.org/

Vitali, M. Redhead, R. G. (year unknown) VII The Modern Concept of the General Management of Amputee Rehabilitation Including Immediate Post Operative Fitting, National Centre For Biotechnical Information. 251-260. Retrieved from

http://ncbi.nlm.nik.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2312158/pdf/annrcse 00237-0051.pdf

Wald, J. And Alvaro, R (2004). Psychological Factors in Work Related Amputation: Considerations for Rehabilitation Counsellors. *Journal of Rehabilitation*. 70(4). 6-15. Retrieved from

http://proquest.umi.com.dbgw.lis.curtin.edu.au/pqdweb?index =8&did=774858861&SrchMode=3&sid=1&Fmt=6&VInst=P ROD&VType=PQD&RQT=309&VName=PQD&TS=114974 8514&clientId=22212&aid=1&cfc=1

Wikipedia (2011). Retrieved from http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/indonesia

Zidarov, D. Swaine, B. Gauthier-Gagnon, C. (2009). Quality of Life of Persons With Lower –Limb Amputation During Rehabilitation and at 3-Month Follow-Up. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* 90, 634-645 Retrieved from

http://download.journals.elsevierehealth.com/pdfs.com/journal s/0003-9993/P11s000399930900077f.pdf

Dr. V. Harry Adrounie, 1915-2010: A Lifetime of Public Health Service

Professor Peter A Leggat, MD, PhD, DrPH, FAFPHM, FACTM, FACRRM, FFTM ACTM, FFTM RCPSG, FSIA, FAICD, FACE, FRGS, Professor and Deputy Head (Campus Head), School of Public Health, Tropical Medicine and Rehabilitation Sciences, and Associate Dean for Faculty Affairs, Faculty of Medicine, Health and Molecular Sciences, James Cook University, Townsville, Queensland, Australia

Abstract

Dr. V. Harry Adrounie was born April 15, 1915, Battle Creek, United States of America (USA). He served in the U. S. Army and U.S. Air Force from 1941-1968, retiring with the rank of Lieutenant Colonel and then served in a variety of Government, University and other roles until his passing on February 9, 2010, in Hastings, MI, USA. He forged the discipline of sanitation later environmental health in the USA and had a major academic and professional impact on public health internationally, especially in Southeast Asia. He was President of the National Environmental Health Association (N.E.H.A.), USA, 1961-62 and served on the World Safety Organizations'(WSO) Board of Directors for many years. He was awarded the Walter S. Mangold Award in 1963 from the N.E.H.A. and WSO World Safety Person of the Year in 1993.

Introduction

Lieutenant Colonel (Ret'd) Dr. V. Harry Adrounie passed away suddenly on Tuesday, February 9, 2010, at his home in Hastings at the age of 94 after a lifetime of public service to environmental health at local, national and international level. He developed, implemented and managed environmental health programs on a world-wide basis and developed and operated clinical laboratories for large hospitals and medical services. His career accomplishments are manifold and inspiring, just some of which have been listed in Table 1. He was regarded as an international authority on environmental and public health.

Early life, studies and career

Dr V. Harry Adrounie was born on April 29, 1915 in Battle Creek, to Dr. Haroutune and Dorothy (Kalaidijian) Adrounie. When Harry was eight months old, his family moved to Lacy and then again moved to Hastings in 1922. He graduated from Hastings High School in 1931. He received his Bachelor of Science (in 1940) and Bachelor of Arts (in 1959) degrees from St. Ambrose University in Davenport, IA, U.S.A. He then earned his Master of Science/Doctor of Philosophy (Ph.D.) in Environmental Health and Ph.D. in Community Health at Western States University for Professional Studies, Missouri, U.S.A. He was certified with the American Board of Industrial Hygiene and a registered Sanitarian in Michigan, California, Pennsylvania and North Carolina. At least two papers retrieved on PubMed indicate the breadth of his knowledge on public health, even early in his career (Adrounie, 1954; 1958).

Table 1. A Selection of Dr. V. Harry Adrounie's Accomplishments^{*,#}

- President of National Environmental Health Association (N.E.H.A.), 1961–62.
- Walter S. Mangold Award, 1963, N.E.H.A (E.H.O.P.A.C., 2010).
- Founder Diplomate and Diplomate Emeritus of the American Academy of Sanitarians (AAS).
- Twenty-seven years service in the United States (U.S.) Army (1941-49) and United States Air Force (U.S.A.F.) (1949-68) retiring with the rank of Lieutenant Colonel.
- More than 65 years teaching environmental and public health in the U.S.A.F. and universities in several countries, including U.S.A., the Middle East and South America.

- Developed, implemented, and managed environmental health programs on a world-wide basis and developed and operated clinical laboratories for large hospitals and medical services.

- World Safety Person of the Year 1993, World Safety Organization (Anonymous, 1993).

- American Public Health Association Environmental Alliance for Senior Involvement Award 2003.
- The V. Harry Adrounie Laboratory Data Quality Assurance Act named in his honor by the State of Michigan on July 24, 2004.
- Spearheaded the development of a curriculum kit to educate school-age children on a variety of health-related topics

while serving as chairman of a national children's health committee.

[*Adapted from Michael (2010)]

^{(#}The Mangold Award recognizes outstanding contributions to the preservation of the environment by the environmental health professional.)

Dr. V. Harry Adrounie enlisted in the U.S. Army in 1941, but transferred into the U.S.A.F. in 1949, where he remained until his retirement in 1968 advancing to the rank of Lieutenant Colonel. He was Technical Director of ARA Environmental Services 1968-1970; Director of Environmental Health Division in Chester County Health Department, 1970-1975; Director of the Berrien County Health Department 1975-1978; Professor of Environmental Health, School of Public Health, University of Hawaii, Manoa, 1978-1980; Professor of Environmental Health, American University, Beirut, Lebanon, and the American University, Armenia; and Dean and Professor at the School of Public Health, Western States University for Professional Studies, 1980-1983.

Achievements

Dr. V. Harry Adrounie has held various offices for various groups including: U.S. Representative for the U.S. Interdepartmental Commission on Nutrition 1959-1961; member of the Environmental Issues Commission, Bush for President Campaign in 1988; co-chairman Barry County Bush for President Campaign; former Chairman of the Barry County Solid Waste Planning Commission; former Vice-Chairman Hasting City Planning Commission; co-founder of Science Advice and Policy Board, Michigan Ground Water Survey Inc.; Chairman of Advisory Council South Central Michigan Commission on Aging; past adult leader for the Boy Scouts; named Alumnus of the Year for Hastings High School in 1961; Life Member of the Veterans of Foreign Wars; founder and Life Member of Michigan Association Local Environmental Health Administrators; former President 1961-1962 and Life Member of National Environmental Health Association (NEHA); Founder Diplomat and Diplomat Emeritus of the American Academy of Sanitarians (AAS); Vice President, National Academy of Sanitarians, 1958 (Anonymous, 1958); a Diplomat with American Academy of Industrial Hygiene; Life Member of the Association of Military Surgeons of the United States; a Charter Member of the International Public Health Society; Life Member and certified rifle marksmanship instructor for the National Rifle Association: former President of the Michigan Environmental Health Association; U.S. Air Force Association; former Commander of the American Legion: co-founder of the Indonesian Environmental Health Association; Life Member of the Elks and Moose; and former President of Kiwanis. He also received an award for 10 years of service and contributions to Underwriters Laboratories Inc., an independent, nonprofit product-safety testing and certification organization. He had served for a decade on the Underwriters Laboratories' Environmental and Public Health Council. Within the World Safety Organization (W.S.O.), he was a member of the Board of Directors, the Certification Board and the Editorial Board at various times during the late 1980s and 1990s, as well as being a regular at W.S.O. conferences during that period (Anonymous, 1993). He would often drive to W.S.O. conferences in his latest Lincoln Town Car.

Family

Dr. V. Harry Adrounie is survived by his wife, Agnes; sons, H. Michael ©. Dolores) Adrounie of Ypsilanti, V. Patrick (Debra) Adrounie of Fairfax Station, VA; grandchildren, Ryan, Kate, Tom and David; great grandchildren, Conrad and Valentin; several nieces and nephews. He was proceeded in death by his parents Haroutune and Dorothy (Kalaidijian) Adrounie; and sister, Zabelle. A celebration of his life was held at Wren Chapel by Lauer Family Funeral Homes on Saturday, February 27, 2010, in Hastings. Interment with full Military Honors took place on Thursday April 1, 2010, at Arlington National Cemetery in Washington, DC.

Conclusion

His life of public health service is well summed up by Rear Admiral (Ret'd) Dr. Jerrold M. Michael (2010: p 47), formerly of the U.S. Public Health Service, who indicated that Harry was less focused on career destination, but on the journey and the goodness of the people he met along the way:

"He stood ready to assist in any worthy project and astute at passing the credit for accomplishments to others on his team." He was also generous in his assistance of young professionals and students; a comment echoed by the current President of NEHA (Roberts, 2010). A quote remembered by one of his colleagues, Elaine Gilbert (2004):

"Whatever you're doing, if it's worthwhile, you should never give up".

References

Adrounie VH. (1954) Training aids for field sanitation. *Medical Technician Bulletin*. 5(3): 110-113.

Adrounie VH. (1958) A thesis on the art of military preventive medicine. *Military Medicine*. 122(3): 181-186.

Anonymous. (1958) NAS Conference in 22nd Session. *American Journal of Public Health*. 48(12): 1687-1688.

Anonymous. (1993) World Safety Person of the Year 1993. W.S.O. News-Letter. Nov./Dec.: 1.

Environmental Health Officers Professional Advisory Committee (EHOPAC). (2010) Environmental Health Officer Awards and R e c i p i e n t s . U R L <u>http://ehopac.org/EHOPAC_Award_History.pdf</u> (accessed March 20, 2011)

Gilbert E. (2004) New State Law Named after NEHA Past President Dr. V. Harry Adrounie. *Journal of Environmental Health*. 67(5): 1.

1-2.

Michael JM. (2010) In Memoriam: Dr. V. Harry Adrounie. *Journal of Environmental Health*. 72(8): 46-47.

Roberts WC. (2010) Mentoring: How you can touch and shape the future of environmental health. *Journal of Environmental Health*. 72(8): 4-5.

WSO Code of Ethics

Members of the WSO, by virtue of their acceptance of membership into the WSO, are bound to the following Code of Ethics regarding their activities associated with the WSO:

1.Members must be responsible for ethical and professional conduct in relationships with clients, employers, associates and public.

2.Members must be responsible for professional competence in performance of all their professional activities.

3.Members must be responsible for the protection of professional interest, reputation and good name of any deserving WSO member of member of other professional organization involved in safety or associated disciplines.

4.Members must be dedicated to professional development of new members in the safety profession and associated disciplines.

5.Members must be responsible for their complete sincerity in professional services in the world.

6.Members must be responsible for continuing improvement and development of professional competencies in safety and associated disciplines.

7.Members must be responsible for their professional efforts to support the WSO motto "Making Safety A Way Of Life...Worldwide".

> Published by the:WSO World Management Center 106 W Young Suite F, PO Box 518 Warrensburg, Missouri, 64093 U.S.A. Telephone (660) 747-3132 Fax (660) 747-2647 <u>www.worldsafety.org</u> <u>info@worldsafety.org</u> <u>editorial_staff@worldsafety.org</u>

> > © 2011 U.S. \$15.00